1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo (State Bar No. 168389) Howard Hirsch (State Bar No. 213209) Lucas Williams (State Bar No. 264518) 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs ROSMINAH BROWN and	d		
8	ERIC LOHELA	-		
9	William J. Friedman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) wfriedman@cov.com Samantha J. Choe (State Bar No. 252002) schoe@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP			
11	One Front Street San Francisco, CA 94111			
12	Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091			
13 14	Attorneys for Defendant THE HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC.			
15				
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
18 19	ROSMINAH BROWN and ERIC LOHELA, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated.	Case No. C 11-03082 LB		
20	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF TO RESET		
21	VS.	CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES (N.D. Cal. Local Rules 7-11 & 6-2)		
22				
23	THE HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC., a Delaware Corporation,			
24	Defendant.			
25				
26				
27				
28				

STIPULATED MOT. FOR ADMIN. RELIEF; [PROPOSED] ORDER – Case No. C 11-03082 LB

STIPULATED MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF TO RESET CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 and 6-2, Plaintiffs Rosminah Brown and Eric Lohela ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant The Hain Celestial Group, Inc. ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties") hereby request that the Court reset the case management deadlines in accordance with the dates set forth herein.

On February 27, 2012, the Court entered the operative case management order ("CMO") which established all of the pertinent litigation deadlines through trial, including the briefing schedule on Defendant's first motion to dismiss. ECF No. 25. The CMO set a class certification filing deadline of August 17, 2012. *Id.* On July 18, 2012, pursuant to stipulation of the Parties, the Court vacated the class certification deadlines set by the CMO, and ordered that such deadlines be subsequently rescheduled. ECF No. 57. On August 1, 2012, the Court denied Defendant's first motion to dismiss, and directed the parties to meet and confer regarding all case deadlines and propose new dates. ECF No. 58. On August 9, 2012, Defendant filed a motion to certify an interlocutory appeal and to stay the case pending appeal with a hearing date of September 20, 2012. ECF No. 60. On August 21, 2012, Plaintiffs' filed their first amended complaint. ECF No. 68.

On September 13, 2012, Plaintiffs submitted a proposal for revised case management deadlines along with the Parties' joint case management statement in advance of the September 20, 2012 case management conference, whereas Defendant contended that all deadlines should be stayed. ECF Nos. 77 & 77-1. On September 24, 2012, the Court granted Defendant's motion to certify an interlocutory appeal but declined to stay the case. ECF No. 79. However, the Court did not rule on Plaintiffs' proposed case management deadlines, as the Parties' and the Court's focus at the hearing was on the motion to certify interlocutory appeal and stay case pending appeal. *See* September 20, 2012 Transcript of Proceedings, at 23:20 -27:7.

On September 28, 2012, pursuant to stipulation of the Parties, the Court extended Defendant's deadline to respond to the First Amended Complaint until October 8, 2012, with Plaintiffs' opposition to any motion to dismiss due November 12, 2012 and Defendant's reply

Case3:11-cv-03082-LB Document98 Filed11/26/12 Page3 of 5

brief due November 26, 2012. ECF No. 81. Defendant filed its second motion to dismiss and				
motion to strike on October 9, 2012 and Plaintiffs filed their oppositions thereto on November 12,				
2012 with a hearing date set for December 20, 2012. ECF Nos. 85-87. Finally, on November 20,				
2012, Plaintiffs agreed to Defendant's request for a brief extension of Defendant's reply briefs in				
support of its second motion to dismiss and motion to strike until November 30, 2012 at 12:00				
p.m. Eastern Time/9:00 a.m. Pacific Time in order to accommodate Defendant's counsel's holiday				
travel schedules.				
Meanwhile, the Parties have had multiple discovery disputes. On July 2, 2012, the Parties				
submitted a joint discovery dispute letter regarding Defendant's obligation to respond to Plaintiffs				
first set of discovery requests while the first motion to dismiss was pending and the relevance of				
three categories of information sought by Plaintiffs. ECF No. 52. On August 10, 2012, the Court				

submitted a joint discovery dispute letter regarding Defendant's obligation to respond to Plaintiffs first set of discovery requests while the first motion to dismiss was pending and the relevance of three categories of information sought by Plaintiffs. ECF No. 52. On August 10, 2012, the Court ruled that Defendant's objection to discovery until disposition of its first Motion to Dismiss was moot and that the categories of information sought by Plaintiff were relevant and declined to stay discovery. ECF No. 64. On October 26, 2012, the Parties submitted four additional discovery dispute letters related to Plaintiffs' first and second sets of discovery requests as to Defendant's burden objections and the relevance of certain categories of information. ECF Nos. 88-91. The Court has not ruled on those letters.

The operative CMO's December 7, 2012 non-expert discovery cutoff is less than three weeks away (ECF No. 25) and non-expert discovery has not been completed. Moreover, as described above, the CMO's class certification deadlines have already been vacated, while the remaining deadlines are rapidly approaching. Accordingly, the Parties request that the Court adopt the case management schedule set forth below:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

Event	Current Deadline Per 2/27/12 CMO	New Date Proposed by Parties
Defendant's Reply Brief In Support of Second Motion to Dismiss	11/26/2012 (per 9/28/2012 Order)	11/30/2012 at 12 p.m. Eastern Time/9:00 a.m. Pacific Time
Opening brief for class certification	8/17/2012 (vacated per 7/17/12 Order)	4/15/2013
Opposition brief for class certification	10/4/2012 (vacated per 7/17/12 Order)	6/4/2013
Reply brief for class certification	10/31/2012 (vacated per 7/17/12 Order)	7/09/2013
Last day to hear motion for class certification	11/15/2012 (vacated per 7/17/12 Order)	8/01/2013
Non-expert discovery completion date	12/7/2012	8/8/2013
Expert disclosures required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	12/21/2012	8/22/2013
Rebuttal expert disclosures	1/11/2013	9/12/2013
Expert discovery completion date	2/1/2013	10/3/2013
Last hearing date for dispositive motions	3/21/2013	11/01/2013
Meet and confer re pretrial filings	4/9/2013	11/8/2013
Pretrial filings due	4/18/2013	11/18/2013
Oppositions, Objections, Exhibits, and Depo Designations due	4/25/2013	11/25/2013
Final Pretrial Conference	5/9/2013, at 10:30 a.m.	12/9/2013, at 10:30 a.m.
Trial	5/20/2013, at 8:30 a.m.	12/16/2013, at 8:30 a.m.
Length of Trial	3 days	no change

- 3 -

1	The Parties' proposed schedule generally pushes back the dates in the CMO by			
2	approximately eight months. The Parties believe that this schedule is reasonable and necessary.			
3	The parties request that the date for submission of the Defendant's replies to Plaintiffs' opposition			
4	to the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike as well as the non-expert discovery completion date			
5	be considered separately if the Court has concerns with the other proposed case management			
6	dates. For these reasons, the Parties respectfully request that the Court adopt the schedule set forth			
7	above.			
8	Dated: November 20, 2012 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP			
9				
10	By: <u>/s/ Mark N. Todzo</u> Mark N. Todzo			
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs ROSMINAH BROWN and ERIC LOHELA			
12				
13				
14	Dated: November 20, 2012 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP			
15	By: /s/ William J. Friedman			
16	William J. Friedman Attorneys for Defendant			
17	THE HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC.			
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
19				
20	Dated: November 26, 2012			
21	The Honorable Laurel Beeler United States Magistrate Judge			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	- 4 -			