	Case4:11-cv-06342-PJH Document3	7 Filed04/19/12 Page1 of 4
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 William J. Friedman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) wfriedman@cov.com Simon J. Frankel (State Bar No. 171552) sfrankel@cov.com Margaret D. Wilkinson (State Bar No. 244965 mwilkinson@cov.com COVINGTON & BURLING LLP One Front Street, 35th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091 Attorneys for Defendants THE HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP and JASON NATURAL PRODUCTS, INC. 	⁵⁾ ATES DISTRICT COURT
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	MARY LITTLEHALE, SKYE ASTIANA,	Civil Case No.: 4:11-cv-06342-PJH
14	and TAMAR DAVIS LARSEN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR
15	Plaintiff,	ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED
16		COMPLAINT AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT
17		CONFERENCE
18	THE HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and JASON NATURAL PRODUCTS, INC., a	(Local Rule 6-2(a))
19	California Corporation;	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22 23		
23 24		
24		
26		
20		
28		
	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	Civil Case No.: 4:11-cv-06342-PJH

Case4:11-cv-06342-PJH Document37 Filed04/19/12 Page2 of 4

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 of the Local Rules for the Northern District of
California, Plaintiffs Mary Littlehale, Skye Astiana, and Tamar Davis Larsen (collectively,
"Plaintiffs") and Defendants The Hain Celestial Group, Inc. ("Hain Celestial") and JASON
Natural Products, Inc. ("JASON") (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their respective
counsel, jointly request that the Court enter an order extending Defendants' time to respond to
the First Amended Complaint ("FAC") and continuing the Case Management Conference
("CMC") as detailed below.

8 On March 16, 2012, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint 9 ("Motion to Dismiss"). On April 6, 2012, Plaintiffs filed the FAC, and no opposition to the 10 Motion to Dismiss was filed. While Defendants do not concede that the FAC cures the defects 11 advanced by the Motion to Dismiss, Plaintiffs and Defendants have agreed that the original 12 complaint is superseded by the filing of the FAC (and effectively withdrawn) and that, in the 13 interests of judicial economy, the Motion to Dismiss should be deemed withdrawn, without 14 prejudice to Defendants moving to dismiss the FAC.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15, Defendants' deadline for
responding to the FAC is presently April 20, 2012. Plaintiffs have agreed to grant Defendants
an additional three weeks, to May 11, 2012, to respond to the FAC.

18 Defendants plan to file a Motion to Dismiss the FAC. Under the applicable 19 Local Rules, the hearing on such a motion to dismiss would occur after the Case Management 20 Conference ("CMC"), currently scheduled for May 3, 2012. The Parties agree that it would be 21 beneficial for the Court to have an opportunity to consider the Motion to Dismiss the FAC and 22 the likely direction of the pleadings prior to the CMC. The Parties therefore agree that the CMC 23 should be continued to the date of the hearing on the Motion to Dismiss the FAC. With the 24 Court's permission, the Parties respectfully request that both the CMC and the hearing be 25 scheduled for the same date and time in late June to accommodate the travel schedule of 26 Defendants' lead counsel, William Friedman, who will be traveling internationally from China 27 where he is currently based to appear before the Court on the Motion to Dismiss.

28

	Case4:11-cv-06342-PJH Document37 Filed04/19/12 Page3 of 4		
1	Accordingly, the Parties have agreed to, and jointly request that the Court enter		
2	an order setting, the following proposed schedule:		
3	(a) Defendants' deadline to file a Motion to Dismiss the FAC is extended to		
4	and including May 11, 2012.		
5	(b) Plaintiffs' deadline to file an Opposition to Defendants' Motion to		
6	Dismiss the FAC is extended to and including June 6, 2012.		
7	(c) Defendants' deadline to reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition is extended to and		
8	including June 13, 2012.		
9	(d) Both the hearing on the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the FAC and the		
10	CMC are continued to June 27, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.		
11	The Declaration of Simon J. Frankel in Support of Stipulated Request for an		
12	Order Changing Time provides the information required by Local Rule 6-2(a)(1)–(3).		
13	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
14 15	DATED: April 17, 2012 STEMBER FEINSTEIN DOYLE PAYNE & KRAVEC LLC		
15	By:/s/		
10	Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. Attorneys for Plaintiff		
18	MARY LITTLEHALE		
19	DATED: April 17, 2012 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP		
20	By:/s/		
21	Simon J. Frankel Attorneys for Defendants		
22	THE HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC. and JASON NATURAL PRODUCTS		
23			
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
25	DATED: <u>April 19</u> , 2012 By: <u>The Honorable For States</u> April 19		
26	United States Discussion 12		
27	With DISTRICT OF ST		
28	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING 3 Civil Case No.: 4:11-cv-06342-PJH TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE		

Case4:11-cv-06342-PJH Document37 Filed04/19/12 Page4 of 4

1	ECF CERTIFICATION	
2	I, Simon J. Frankel, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being	
3	used to file this Stipulated Request for Order Extending Time to Respond to Amended	
4	Complaint and Continuing Case Management Conference. In compliance with General Order	
5	45.X.B, I hereby attest that Joseph N. Kravec, Jr. has concurred in this filing.	
6		
7	DATED: April 17, 2012 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	
8	By: <u>/s/ Simon J. Frankel</u>	
9	Simon J. Frankel	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING 4 Civil Case No.: 4:11-cv-06342-PJH TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	