	Case4:11-cv-06342-PJH Document85	Filed10/17/12 Page1 of 4
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	CEVE ASTIANA and TAMAD DAVID	Civil Case No.: CV 11-06342-PJH (EDL)
11 12	SKYE ASTIANA and TAMAR DAVIS LARSEN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	
13	Plaintiffs,	AMENDED ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY
14	VS.	DISCOVERT
15	THE HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and JASON	Date: September 25, 2012 Time: 9:00 a.m.
16 17	NATURAL PRODUCTS, INC., a California Corporation;	Judge: Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte Courtroom: E, 15th Floor
17	Defendants.	
10		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	AMENDED ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY	Civil Case No.: CV-11-06342-PJH (EDL)

Case4:11-cv-06342-PJH Document85 Filed10/17/12 Page2 of 4

Having duly considered Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Responses to Discovery
 dated August 21, 2012, the supporting and opposing papers, and the arguments of counsel at the
 September 25, 2012 hearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4 The Motion to Compel is denied to the extent it seeks discovery as to products 5 that Plaintiffs did not purchase. September 25, 2012 Transcript of Proceedings ("Transcript"), 6 p. 3:21-23. The scope of the case for discovery purposes will be determined at such time as the 7 Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss the Revised Second Amended Complaint is considered 8 and resolved by the Court. Until such time, discovery shall remain restricted to the products 9 purchased by Plaintiffs or matters that are not product specific. Upon a ruling by the Court on 10 Defendants' pending Motion to Dismiss the Revised Second Amended Complaint, Defendants 11 shall supplement their production of documents and answers to any interrogatories consistent 12 with Judge Hamilton's ruling, unless the case is dismissed with prejudice. If necessary, within 13 five (5) days of Judge Hamilton's ruling, the Parties shall confer regarding the timing and scope 14 of such supplemental production. If the Parties cannot agree on the timing and scope of such 15 production, they shall submit a joint letter setting forth their respective positions to this Court 16 for resolution within ten (10) days of the Judge Hamilton's order. Transcript, pp. 23:25-24:5.

Subject to the meet and confer between the parties regarding the submission of a
draft order subsequent to the September 25, 2012 hearing, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

19 The Motion to Compel as to <u>Document Request No. 11</u> is granted in part and 20 denied in part. Defendants agree to produce a complete ingredient list for each product 21 allegedly purchased by Plaintiffs in the State of California. Defendants shall also produce 22 documents regarding the designation of the ingredients as natural or unnatural to the extent such 23 documents exist and are within the possession, custody, or control of Defendants, and 24 documents sufficient to identify the suppliers of each ingredient to the extent such documents 25 exist and are within the possession, custody or control of Defendants. Transcript p: 23:13-19. 26 Defendants shall supplement their production to conform to any ruling by Judge Hamilton on 27 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Revised Second Amended Complaint subject to the post-28 ruling conferral process described above.

AMENDED ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY

1

Civil Case No.: CV-11-06342-PJH (EDL)

Case4:11-cv-06342-PJH Document85 Filed10/17/12 Page3 of 4

The Motion to Compel as to <u>Document Request No. 13</u> is granted. Defendants
 agree to search for and produce Defendants' written return policy for unused or unsold
 merchandise to the extent such policy exists and is within the possession, custody, or control of
 Defendants.

5 The Motion to Compel as to <u>Document Request No. 17</u> is granted in part and 6 denied in part. Defendants agree to search for and produce an administrative complaint filed 7 with the United States Department of Agriculture by the plaintiffs in All One God Faith v. Hain 8 *Celestial Group, Inc.*, No. C 09-3517, a case previously before this Court, to the extent such 9 documents exist and are within the possession, custody, or control of Defendants. Defendants' 10 counsel represented to the Court that no discovery has been taken in the following cases: All 11 One God Faith, Inc. v. The Hain Celestial Group, Inc., Case No. CV-09-3517-SI (N.D.Cal.), or 12 Crivier v. The Hain Celestial Group, Inc., et al., Case No. CV-12-3054-GW(PLAx) (C.D. Cal.). 13 The Motion to Compel as to Document Request No. 18 is granted in part. 14 Defendants agree to search for and produce documents concerning Celestial Seasonings, Inc. v. 15 R.C. Bigelow, Inc., Case No. 1:95-cv-1549-EWN (D. Colo.), and any other action brought by 16 Defendants against competitors based on the use of the word "natural" to the extent such 17 documents exist and are within the possession, custody, or control of Defendants. Transcript, p. 18 24:10-19. If no such documents are within Defendants' possession, custody or control, 19 Defendants will provide a declaration stating this fact. Transcript, pp. 6:8-8:14.

20 The Motion to Compel as to Document Request No. 19 is granted in part and 21 denied in part. Defendants shall produce documents related to the value of sales in California 22 during the putative class period limited to sales of the products identified at paragraphs 9 and 11 23 of the Revised Second Amended Complaint ("RSAC") to the extent such documents exist and 24 are within the possession, custody or control of Defendants. Transcript p. 13:13-17. Consistent 25 with the limitation set forth at the beginning of this Order, those paragraphs of the RSAC 26 identify those products within the Jason brand which Plaintiffs purchased. Defendants shall 27 supplement their production to conform to any ruling by Judge Hamilton on Defendants'

28

Case4:11-cv-06342-PJH Document85 Filed10/17/12 Page4 of 4

Motion to Dismiss the Revised Second Amended Complaint subject to the post-ruling conferral
 process described above.

The Motion to Compel as to <u>Interrogatory No. 1</u> is granted in part and denied in
part. Defendants will provide an answer with regards to the products Plaintiffs purchased.
Defendants shall supplement their production to conform to any ruling by Judge Hamilton on
Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Revised Second Amended Complaint subject to the postruling conferral process described above.

8 The Motion to Compel as to <u>Interrogatory No. 3</u> is granted in part and denied in 9 part. Defendants agree to state, to the extent possible, the value of sales in California for the 10 products allegedly purchased by Plaintiffs during the putative class period. Transcript p. 13:13-11 17. Defendants shall supplement their production to conform to any ruling by Judge Hamilton 12 on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Revised Second Amended Complaint subject to the post-13 ruling conferral process described above.

3

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: ___October 17____, 2012

The Honorable Elizabeth D. Laporte United States Magistrate Judge

AMENDED ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY