JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States inSeptember 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Nu Image, Inc.				DEFENDANT John Does 1-18						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles, CA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				ON OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Dunlap, Grubb & Weave 20175; 703-777-7319	Address, and Telephone Number r, PLLC; 199 Liberty St	reet SW, Leesburg	g, VA	Attorneys (If Know	wn)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)	III. C	TIZENSHIP OF		CIPA	L PARTIES (
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citiz	(For Diversity Cases Onle en of This State	PTF D		Incorporated or Prin of Business In This		PTF 4	DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	1 2 (J 2	Incorporated and Proof Business In A		5	□ 5
			1	en or Subject of a reign Country	3 (3	Foreign Nation	····	O 6	O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			n ar	ODERITHDE/PENALT	v I	RANI	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES 1
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted ☐ Student Loans ☐ (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 70 Stephen Product Liability PRISONER PETTIO 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oti 550 Civil Rights 550 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	ARTY	CAPPETTURE/PENALT 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 81 260 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relation 10 Railway Labor Act 21 Family and Medical 1 Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Empl. Ret. Inc. 22 Security Act IMMIGRATION 23 Naturalization Applica 24 Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) 25 Other Immigration Actions	42:381	2 Appeas 3 Withdi 28 US COPER 0 Copyrio 1 Patent 1 Trader 1 HIA (2 Black 3 DIWC 4 SSID (5 RSI (4 COPERA 0 Trader 1 Tr	1 28 USC 158 rawal C 157 TY RIGHTS ights mark SECURITY 1395ff) Lung (923) //DIWW (405(g)) Fitle XVI	375 False C	laims Act capportions at und Bankin recention ber Influence Organization erer Credit at TV es/Comment et at TV	ment g ced and ions odities/ ctions atters mation ocedure
	ite Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened San	ransferred in nother distr	ict	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	l 17 US.C. § 101 e	use:	re filing	(Do not cite jurisdictiona	al statutes u	nless di	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$ 000.00			HECK YES only J RY DEMAND:		complai	nt:
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DO	OCKE	Γ NUMBER			
DATE	•	SIONATUREJOE AT	CFORNEY	/						
08/16/2012		4×	15/							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	(OV D.IT	A DDI ADDIO TES		про	r		мас пи)GE		
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGI	E		MAG. JUI	NE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

No Imag, Inc. V.	John Does	: 1-18		
		:	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management e a copy on all do event that a defe shall, with its fir ties, a Case Ma	Track Designation efendants. (See § 1 endant does not agest appearance, subnagement Track D	nction Plan of this court, couns in Form in all civil cases at the ti :03 of the plan set forth on the re- gree with the plaintiff regarding mit to the clerk of court and set esignation Form specifying the	me of everse g said rve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING C	ASE MANAGEM	ENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases t	orought under 2	8 U.S.C. § 2241 th	rough § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases r and Human Services den				()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	ired to be design	nated for arbitration	n under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for po	ersonal injury or pr	roperty damage from	()
 (e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si management cases.) (f) Standard Management – 	complex and th	at need special or i for a detailed expl	intense management by anation of special	(X)
8/16/12 Date/	Attorney-	s Blecki at-law	Plaintiff Attorney for	<u> </u>
Telephone	FAX Nun	7 <u>> -3656</u> 1ber	E-Mail Address	1001.Com

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of		
Address of Plaintiff: 6423 Wilshire Blud., Los Angel	les <u>CA</u> 90048		
Address of Defendant:			
411	used within this District		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No 💬		
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	year previously terminated action in this court?		
	Yes No X		
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated		
	Yes□ No X		
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	,		
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No¶		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil righ	nts case filed by the same individual?		
	Yes□ No□		
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	 □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 		
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation		
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury		
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)		
7. 🗆 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability		
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos		
9. Gecurities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases		
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)			
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate Counsel of record do hereby certification)	Category) fy:		
Pursuant to Uscal Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of		
Alulia a State	210701		
DATE: D Attended to Lower	Atternay I D #		
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court		
except as noted above.			
DATE: 8/16/12	310791		
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#		

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Case 2:12-cv-04755-BMS Document 1 Filed 08/20/12 Page 4 of 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 6423 Wilshire Blud, Los Angles	s CA 90048
Address of Defendant:	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: All infinements occur (Use Reverse Side For Ad	red within this District
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation an (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	d any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes No 14
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No 💬
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number:	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
•	
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	r previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No[4].
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior su	
action in this court?	V C V M
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier nu	Yes□ No
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No 🔀
A Table	(C) 11 d
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual? Yes \square No \square
	1650 1004
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. N All other Federal Question Cases	
(Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIF	
I, Check Appropriate Cate counsel of record do hereby certify:	rgory)
Yeursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
4/11/12	210761
DATE: 0 10 12	510/11
Aftorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	Attorney I.D.# has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or wi	thin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	terms one year promounts to minuted action in this court
DATE: 8/16/12	310791
DATE: Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

)
NU IMAGE, INC.)
6423 Wilshire Blvd.)
Los Angeles, CA 90048)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No.:
DOES 1 – 18)
Defendants.)

COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, by its attorneys, for its complaint against Defendants, alleges:

JURISDICTION, VENUE AND JOINDER

- 1. This is a civil action seeking damages and injunctive relief for copyright infringement under the copyright laws of the United States (17 US.C. § 101 *et seq.*).
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction under 17 US.C. § 101 et seq.; 28 US.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 US.C. § 1338(a) (copyright).
- 3. The manner of the transfer of Plaintiff's motion picture, "Conan" (also known as Conan the Barbarian), among the P2P network users is called a "BitTorrent protocol" or "torrent," which is different than the standard P2P protocol used for such networks as Kazaa and Limewire. The BitTorrent protocol makes even small computers with low bandwidth capable of participating in large data transfers across a P2P network. The initial file-provider intentionally elects to share a file with a torrent network. This initial file is called a seed. Other users ("peers") on the network connect to the seed file to download. As yet additional peers request the same file each additional user becomes a part of the network from where the file can be downloaded. However, unlike a

traditional peer-to-peer network, each new file downloader is receiving a different piece of the data from each user who has already downloaded the file that together comprises the whole. This piecemeal system with multiple pieces of data coming from peer members is usually referred to as a "swarm." The effect of this technology makes every downloader also an uploader of the illegally transferred file(s). This means that every "node" or peer user who has a copy of the infringing copyrighted material on a torrent network must necessarily also be a source of download for that infringing file.

- 4. This distributed nature of BitTorrent leads to a rapid viral spreading of a file throughout peer users. As more peers join the swarm, the likelihood of a successful download increases. Because of the nature of a BitTorrent protocol, any seed peer that has downloaded a file prior to the time a subsequent peer downloads the same file is automatically a source for the subsequent peer so long as that first seed peer is online at the time the subsequent peer downloads a file. Essentially, because of the nature of the swarm downloads as described above, every infringer is *simultaneously* stealing copyrighted material from many ISPs in numerous jurisdictions around the country.
- 5. Venue in this District is proper under 28 US.C. § 1391(b) and/or 28 US.C. §1400(a). Although the true identity of each Defendant is unknown to the Plaintiff at this time, upon information and belief personal jurisdiction in this District is proper because a substantial part of the tortious acts of infringement complained of herein occurred within the Eastern District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and each Defendant: (a) may be found within the Eastern District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; (b) has ingaged in contunious and systematic business activity, or has contracted to supply goods or services in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania; and/or (c) knew that its tortious activities would cause significant harm to Plaintiff in the Eastern District of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania given the fact that Plaintiff distributed its motion picture through legal distribution channels throughout the entire state. In addition, personal

jurisdiction is proper because due to the tortious acts of infringement of each Defendant within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Plaintiff has suffered substantial and irreparable harm within this District because each Defendant's tortious actions have permanently compromised Plaintiff's efforts and ability to exclusively distribute its motion picture through authorized and legal distribution channels within this District and throughout the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Personal Jurisdiction is also proper because each Defendant contracted for services (internet access accounts) that were provided to the Defendants within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and which are alleged to be the instruments used to commit the infringing actions.

6. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(2), each Defendant is properly joined because each of the Defendant: (a) is jointly and severally liable for the infringing activities of the other Defendants; (b) the infringement complained of herein by each Defendant was part of a series of transactions, each involving the same torrent file containing Plaintiff's copyrighted motion picture, and was accomplished by the Defendants acting in concert with each other, and (c) there are common questions of law and fact.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff Nu Image, Inc. (the "Plaintiff") is a developer, producer, and distributor of motion pictures and television shows. Plaintiff brings this action to stop Defendants from copying and distributing to others over the Internet unauthorized copies of the Plaintiff's copyrighted motion picture. Defendants' infringements allow them and others unlawfully to obtain and distribute for free unauthorized copyrighted works that the Plaintiff spends millions of dollars to create and/or distribute. Each time a Defendant unlawfully distributes a free copy of the Plaintiff's copyrighted motion picture to others over the Internet, each person who copies that motion picture can then distribute that unlawful copy to others without any significant degradation in sound and picture

quality. Thus, a Defendant's distribution of even one unlawful copy of a motion picture can result in the nearly instantaneous worldwide distribution of that single copy to a limitless number of people. The Plaintiff now seeks redress for this rampant infringement of its exclusive rights.

- 8. Plaintiff Nu Image, Inc. is a California Corporation with its principal place of business at 6423 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90048. Plaintiff is engaged in the production and distribution of motion pictures and television shows for theatrical exhibition, home entertainment, and other forms of distribution. Plaintiff is the owner of the copyrights and/or the pertinent exclusive rights under copyright in the United States in the motion picture that has been unlawfully distributed over the Internet by the Defendants.
- 9. The true names of Defendants are unknown to the Plaintiff at this time. Each Defendant is known to the Plaintiff only by the Internet Protocol ("IP") address assigned to that Defendant by his or her Internet Service Provider on the date and at the time at which the infringing activity of each Defendant was observed. The IP address of each Defendant thus far identified, together with the date and time at which his or her infringing activity was observed, is included on Exhibit A hereto. Plaintiff believes that information obtained in discovery will lead to the identification of each Defendant's true name and permit Plaintiff to amend this Complaint to state the same. Plaintiff further believes that additional information obtained will lead to the identification of additional infringing parties, as monitoring of online infringement of Plaintiff's motion picture is ongoing.

COUNT I INFRINGEMENT OF COPYRIGHTS

- 10. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though expressly set forth herein the allegations and averments stated in Paragraphs 1 through 22 to this Complaint
- 11. Plaintiff was responsible for the production of the commercially released motion picture titled "Conan" (also known as Conan the Barbarian) that has significant value and has been

produced and created at considerable expense. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though expressly set forth herein the allegations and averments stated in Paragraphs 1 through 22 to this Complaint

- 12. At all relevant times the Plaintiff has been the holder of the pertinent exclusive rights infringed by Defendants, as alleged hereunder, for certain copyrighted motion pictures, including but not limited to the copyrighted motion picture "Conan" (also known as Conan the Barbarian) ("Copyrighted Motion Picture," including derivative works). The Copyrighted Motion Picture is the subject of a valid Certificate of Copyright Registration # PA 1-760-541 ("Registration") issued by the Register of Copyrights.
- 13. The Copyrighted Motion Picture contains a copyright notice advising the viewer that the motion picture is protected by the copyright laws.
- 14. The Plaintiff is informed and believes that each Defendant, without the permission or consent of the Plaintiff, has used, and continues to use, an online media distribution system to reproduce and distribute to the public, including by making available for distribution to others, the Copyrighted Motion Picture. The Plaintiff has identified each Defendant by the IP address assigned to that Defendant by his or her ISP on the date and at the time at which the infringing activity of each Defendant was observed. In doing so, each Defendant has violated the Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution. Each Defendant's actions constitute infringement of the Plaintiff's exclusive rights protected under the Copyright Act of 1976 (17 US.C. § 101 et seq.). On information and belief, each Defendant participated in a swarm and/or reproduced and/or distributed the same seed file of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Motion Picture in digital form with each other. More specifically, the particular swarm is associated with the unique file hash identified as SHA1: 0D7A2A89BBFD09360DEC265C65530203DB5027CA. The file hash is an identifier generated by

an algorithm developed by the National Security Agency. The file name associated with this particular swarm is Conan the Barbarian (2011) DVDRip XviD-MAXSPEED.

- 15. The foregoing acts of infringement have been willful, intentional, and in disregard of and with indifference to the rights of the Plaintiff.
- 16. As a result of each Defendant's infringement of the Plaintiff's exclusive rights under copyright, the Plaintiff is entitled to relief pursuant to 17 US.C. § 504 and to its attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 US.C. § 505.
- 17. The conduct of each Defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause the Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. The Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, the Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant from further infringing the Plaintiff's copyright and ordering that each Defendant destroy all copies of Copyrighted Motion Picture made in violation of the Plaintiff's copyrights.

COUNT II CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGEMENT

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as though expressly set forth herein the allegations and averments stated in Paragraphs 1 through 22 to this Complaint
- 19. Plaintiff is the owner of the Registration for the Copyrighted Motion Picture, which is an original work of authorship.
- 20. Each Defendant copied and/or distributed seed files of the Copyrighted Motion Picture using the BitTorrent protocol, and by participating in the swarm with the other Defendants, each Defendant induced, caused, or materially contributed to the infringing conduct of each other Defendant.

6

- 21. Plaintiff did not authorize, permit or consent to Defendants' inducing, causing or materially contributing to the infringing conduct of each other Defendant.
- 22. Each Defendant knew or should have known that other BitTorrent users, specifically the other Defendants, would become members of a swarm with Defendant.
- 23. Each Defendant knew or should have known that other BitTorrent users, specifically the other Defendants, participated in a swarm with that Defendant and were directly infringing Plaintiff's Copyrighted Motion Picture by copying original constituent elements of the Copyrighted Motion Picture. Each Defendant directly participated in and therefore materially contributed to each other Defendant's infringing activities.
- 24. The foregoing acts of infringement have been willful, intentional, and in disregard of and with indifference to the rights of the Plaintiff.
- 25. As a result of each Defendant's infringement of the Plaintiff's exclusive rights under copyright, the Plaintiff is entitled to relief pursuant to 17 US.C. § 504 and to its attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 US.C. § 505.
- 26. The conduct of each Defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by this Court, will continue to cause the Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated or measured in money. The Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, the Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant from further infringing the Plaintiff's copyright and ordering that each Defendant destroy all copies of Copyrighted Motion Picture made in violation of the Plaintiff's copyrights.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for judgment against each Defendant as follows:

1. For entry of permanent injunctions providing that each Defendant shall be enjoined from directly or indirectly infringing the Plaintiff's rights in the Copyrighted Motion Picture and any

motion picture, whether now in existence or later created, that is owned or controlled by the

Plaintiff ("the Plaintiff's Motion Pictures"), including without limitation by using the Internet to

reproduce or copy the Plaintiff's Motion Pictures, to distribute the Plaintiff's Motion Pictures, or to

make the Plaintiff's Motion Pictures available for distribution to the public, except pursuant to a

lawful license or with the express authority of the Plaintiff. Each Defendant also shall destroy all

copies of the Plaintiff's Motion Pictures that Defendant has downloaded onto any computer hard

drive or server without the Plaintiff's authorization and shall destroy all copies of those downloaded

motion pictures transferred onto any physical medium or device in each Defendant's possession,

custody, or control.

2. For actual damages or statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504, at the election of the

Plaintiff.

3. For the Plaintiff's costs.

4. For the Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees.

5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Respectfully submitted,

NU IMAGE, INC

DATED: August 16, 2012

By:

Douglas Blecki

Pennsylvania Bar No. 310791

DUNLAP, GRUBB & WEAVER, PLLC

199 Liberty Street, SE

Leesburg, VA 20175

Telephone: 703-777-7319

Facsimile: 703-777-3656

subpoena@dgwlegal.com

Counsel for the Plaintiff

No.	IP Address	Hit Date (UTC)	Title	ISP
1	74.47.116.171	5/7/12 04:11:14 PM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
2	50.41.157.128	5/9/12 11:29:46 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
3	50.51.4.130	5/10/12 09:21:40 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
4	50.41.145.28	5/10/12 01:10:59 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
5	50.121.154.38	5/22/12 05:14:12 PM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
6	74.47.53.5	6/4/12 04:12:29 PM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
7	50.40.250.247	6/4/12 05:54:53 PM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
8	173.84.86.67	6/5/12 06:18:44 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
9	70.101.150.185	6/7/12 12:01:23 PM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
10	184.18.167.188	6/9/12 04:49:05 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
11	70.100.76.59	6/20/12 10:02:26 PM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
12	50.50.86.44	6/24/12 12:41:56 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
13	173.85.114.253	6/25/12 10:19:14 PM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
14	50.103.179.100	6/27/12 05:00:03 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
15	50.40.103.120	7/3/12 10:27:39 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
16	50.40.112.20	7/4/12 10:16:46 PM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
17	50.40.123.71	7/5/12 12:59:21 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications
18	74.40.62.82	7/15/12 02:36:32 AM	Conan the Barbarian (2011)	Frontier Communications

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NU IMAGE, INC. Plaintiff,))))
v.) Case No.:
DOES 1 – 18))
Defendants.)

FINANCIAL INTEREST DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and to enable Judges and Magistrate Judges to evaluate possible disqualification or recusal, the undersigned counsel for NU IMAGE, INC. in the above captioned action, states that there is nothing to report under Rule 7.1 in that there are no parents, subsidiaries, and/or affiliate entities (corporate or otherwise) of Plaintiff that have issued stock or debt securities to the public and no publicly held entity (corporate or otherwise) owns 10% or more of Plaintiff's stock.

DATED: August 16, 2012

By:

Douglas Blecki

Pennsylvania Bar No. 310791 DUNLAP, GRUBB & WEAVER, PLLC

199 Liberty Street, SE Leesburg, VA 20175 Telephone: 703-777-7319

Respectfully submitted, NU IMAGE, INC

Facsimile: 703-777-3656 subpoena@dgwlegal.com Counsel for the Plaintiff