1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 MALIBU MEDIA, LLC, a California corporation, 11 Plaintiff, 12 No. 2:12-cv-1262 JAM DAD 13 VS. JOHN DOES 1 through 64, **ORDER** 14 15 Defendants. 16 17 In this action plaintiff filed an ex parte application for expedited discovery to 18 serve Rule 45 subpoenas on Internet Service Providers to obtain identifying information 19 applicable for the Doe defendants. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge 20 pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(1). 21 On October 10, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 22 herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice that any objections to the 23 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days after service of the findings 24 and recommendations. The fourteen-day period has expired, and no objections have been filed 25 by any party.

/////

26

Case 2:12-cv-01262-JAM-DAD Document 16 Filed 11/29/12 Page 2 of 2

| 1 | The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be |
|----|--|
| 2 | supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. |
| 3 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: |
| 4 | 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 10, 2012, (Doc. No. 15) are |
| 5 | adopted in full; and |
| 6 | 2. Does 2-64 are dismissed without prejudice. |
| 7 | DATED: November 29, 2012 |
| 8 | |
| 9 | /s/ John A. Mendez |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |