1	Adam M. Silverstein (197638)					
2	CAVALLUZZI & CAVALLUZZI					
3	9200 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 807					
4	Los Angeles, California 90069					
5	Telephone: (310) 246-2601					
6	Facsimile: (310) 246-2606					
7	Email: adam@cavalluzzi.com					
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
9	UNITED CTATES DISTRICT COLDS					
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11						
12	MALIBU MEDIA, LLC., a California corporation,	Case No. '12CV0358 BTM WVG				
13						
14	Plaintiff,					
15	VS.					
16	JOHN DOES 1-13,	COMPLAINT				
17						
18	Defendants.					
19						
20	Plaintiff, Malibu Media, LLC, sues John Does 1-13, and alleges:					
21	<u>Introduction</u>					
22	1. This matter arises under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, as					
23	amended, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Copyright Act").					
24	amenaea, 17 0.5.c. gg 101 et seq. (me Copyright Act).					
25	2. Through this suit, Plaintiff alleges each Defendant is liable for:					
26	 Direct copyright infringement in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501; 					
27	Contributory copyright infringement;					
28		Case No.				
		Case No.				

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Jurisdiction and Venue

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 3. U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (patents, copyrights, trademarks and unfair competition).
- As set forth on Exhibit A, each of the Defendants' acts of copyright 4. infringement occurred using an Internet Protocol address ("IP address") traced to a physical address located within this District, and therefore pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 410.10, this Court has personal jurisdiction over each Defendant because each Defendant committed the tortious conduct alleged in this Complaint in the State of California, and (a) each Defendant resides in the State of California, and/or (b) each Defendant has engaged in continuous and systematic business activity in the State of California.
- Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), 5. because: (i) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District; and, (ii) a Defendant resides (and therefore can be found) in this District and all of the Defendants reside in this State; additionally, venue is proper in this District pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) (venue for copyright cases) because each Defendant or each Defendant's agent resides or may be found in this District.

Parties

Plaintiff is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the 6. Case No.

State of California and has its principal place of business located at 31356 Broad Beach Road, Malibu, CA 90265.

- 7. Each Defendant is known to Plaintiff only by an IP address.
- 8. An IP address is a number that is assigned by an Internet Service Provider (an "ISP") to devices, such as computers, that are connected to the Internet.
- 9. The ISP to which each Defendant subscribes can correlate the Defendant's IP address to the Defendant's true identity.

Joinder

10. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(2), each of the Defendants was properly joined because, as set forth in more detail below, Plaintiff asserts that: (a) each of the Defendants is jointly and severally liable for the infringing activities of each of the other Defendants, and (b) the infringement complained of herein by each of the Defendants was part of the same series of transaction, involving the exact same piece of Plaintiff's copyrighted Work, and was accomplished by the Defendants acting in concert with each other, and (c) there are common questions of law and fact; indeed, the claims against each of the Defendants are identical and each of the Defendants used the BitTorrent protocol to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted Work.

Factual Background

- I. Plaintiff Owns the Copyright to a Motion Picture
- 11. Plaintiff is the owner of United States Copyright Registration Number Case No.

PA0001762412 (the "Registration") for the motion picture entitled "Veronica Wet Orgasm" (the "Work").

- 12. The Work was registered on or about November 23, 2011.
- 13. A copy of an internet screen shot from the U.S. Copyright Office's website evidencing, among other things, Plaintiff's ownership of the Registration and the registration date is attached as Exhibit B.

II. <u>Defendants Used BitTorrent To Infringe Plaintiff's Copyright</u>

- 14. BitTorrent is one of the most common peer-to-peer file sharing protocols (in other words, set of computer rules) used for distributing large amounts of data; indeed, it has been estimated that users using the BitTorrent protocol on the internet account for over a quarter of all internet traffic. The creators and users of BitTorrent developed their own lexicon for use when talking about BitTorrent; a copy of the BitTorrent vocabulary list posted on www.wikipedia.org is attached as Exhibit C.
- 15. The BitTorrent protocol's popularity stems from its ability to distribute a large file without creating a heavy load on the source computer and network. In short, to reduce the load on the source computer, rather than downloading a file from a single source computer (one computer directly connected to another), the BitTorrent protocol allows users to join a "swarm" of host computers to download and upload from each other simultaneously (one computer connected to numerous computers).

- A. Each Defendant Installed a BitTorrent Client onto his or her Computer
- 16. Each Defendant installed a BitTorrent Client onto his or her computer.
- 17. A BitTorrent "Client" is a software program that implements the BitTorent protocol. There are numerous such software programs including µTorrent and Vuze, both of which can be directly downloaded from the internet. See www.utorrent.com and http://new.vuze-downloads.com/.
- 18. Once installed on a computer, the BitTorrent "Client" serves as the user's interface during the process of uploading and downloading data using the BitTorrent protocol.
 - B. The Initial Seed, Torrent, Hash and Tracker
- 19. A BitTorrent user that wants to upload a new file, known as an "initial seeder," starts by creating a "torrent" descriptor file using the Client he or she installed onto his or her computer.
- 20. The Client takes the target computer file, the "initial seed," here the copyrighted Work, and divides it into identically sized groups of bits known as "pieces."
- 21. The Client then gives each one of the computer file's pieces, in this case, pieces of the copyrighted Work, a random and unique alphanumeric identifier known as a "hash" and records these hash identifiers in the torrent file.
- 22. When another peer later receives a particular piece, the hash identifier for that piece is compared to the hash identifier recorded in the torrent file for that Case No.

piece to test that the piece is error-free. In this way, the hash identifier works like an electronic fingerprint to identify the source and origin of the piece and that the piece is authentic and uncorrupted.

- 23. Torrent files also have an "announce" section, which specifies the <u>URL</u> (Uniform Resource Locator) of a "tracker," and an "info" section, containing (suggested) names for the files, their lengths, the piece length used, and the <u>hash</u> identifier for each piece, all of which are used by Clients on peer computers to verify the integrity of the data they receive.
- 24. The "tracker" is a computer or set of computers that a torrent file specifies and to which the torrent file provides peers with the URL address(es).
- 25. The tracker computer or computers direct a peer user's computer to other peer user's computers that have particular pieces of the file, here the copyrighted Work, on them and facilitates the exchange of data among the computers.
- 26. Depending on the BitTorrent Client, a tracker can either be a dedicated computer (centralized tracking) or each peer can act as a tracker (decentralized tracking).

C. Torrent Sites

27. "Torrent sites" are websites that index torrent files that are currently being made available for copying and distribution by people using the BitTorrent protocol. There are numerous torrent websites, including www.TorrentZap.com, Case No.

www.Btscene.com, and www.ExtraTorrent.com.

- 28. Upon information and belief, each Defendant went to a torrent site to upload and download Plaintiff's copyrighted Work.
 - D. Uploading and Downloading a Work Through a BitTorrent Swarm
- 29. Once the initial seeder has created a torrent and uploaded it onto one or more torrent sites then other peers begin to download and upload the computer file to which the torrent is linked (here the copyrighted Work) using the BitTorrent protocol and BitTorrent Client that the peers installed on their computers.
- 30. The BitTorrent protocol causes the initial seed's computer to send different pieces of the computer file, here the copyrighted Work, to the peers seeking to download the computer file.
- 31. Once a peer receives a piece of the computer file, here a piece of the Copyrighted Work, it starts transmitting that piece to the other peers.
- 32. In this way, all of the peers and seeders are working together in what is called a "swarm."
- 33. Here, each Defendant peer member participated in the same swarm and directly interacted and communicated with other members of that swarm through digital handshakes, the passing along of computer instructions, uploading and downloading, and by other types of transmissions. A print out of a computer screen illustrating the type of interactions between and among peers and seeders in a typical swarm is attached as Exhibit D.

- 34. In this way, and by way of example only, one initial seeder can create a torrent that breaks a movie up into hundreds or thousands of pieces saved in the form of a computer file, like the Work here, upload the torrent onto a torrent site, and deliver a different piece of the copyrighted Work to each of the peers. The recipient peers then automatically begin delivering the piece they just received to the other peers in the same swarm.
- 35. Once a peer, here a Defendant, has downloaded the full file, the BitTorrent Client reassembles the pieces and the peer is able to view the movie. Also, once a peer has downloaded the full file, that peer becomes known as "an additional seed" because it continues to distribute the torrent file, here the copyrighted Work.
 - E. Plaintiff's Computer Investigators Identified Each of the Defendants' IP Addresses as Participants in a Swarm That Was Distributing Plaintiff's Copyrighted Work
- 36. Plaintiff retained IPP, Limited ("IPP") to identify the IP addresses that are being used by those people that are using the BitTorrent protocol and the internet to reproduce, distribute, display or perform Plaintiffs' copyrighted works.
- 37. IPP used forensic software named INTERNATIONAL IPTRACKER v1.2.1 and related technology enabling the scanning of peer-to-peer networks for the presence of infringing transactions.
- 38. IPP extracted the resulting data emanating from the investigation, reviewed the evidence logs, and isolated the transactions and the IP addresses

 Case No.

pay said counsel a reasonable fee for its services. 1 COUNT I 2 **Direct Infringement Against Does 1-13** 3 The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-45 are hereby re-alleged as if 45. 4 5 fully set forth herein. 6 Plaintiff is the owner of the Registration for the Work which contains an 46. 7 original work of authorship. 8 9 By using the BitTorrent protocol and a BitTorrent Client and the 47. 10 processes described above, each Defendant copied the constituent elements of the 11 registered Work that are original. 12 13 Plaintiff did not authorize, permit or consent to Defendants' copying of 48. 14 its Work. 15 As a result of the foregoing, each Defendant violated Plaintiff's 49. 16 17 exclusive right to: 18 Reproduce the Work in copies, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1) and (A) 19 20 501; 21 Redistribute copies of the Work to the public by sale or other transfer of (B) 22 ownership, or by rental, lease or lending, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(3) and 23 24 501; 25 Perform the copyrighted Work, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(4) and (C) 26 501, by showing the Work's images in any sequence and/or by making the sounds 27 28 Case No.

16

19 20

21 22

24

25

23

26 27

28

accompanying the Work audible and transmitting said performance of the Work, by means of a device or process, to members of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definitions of "perform" and "publically" perform); and

- Display the copyrighted Work, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(5) and (D) 501, by showing individual images of the Work nonsequentially and transmitting said display of the Work by means of a device or process to members of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definition of "publically" display).
- Each of the Defendants' infringements was committed "willfully" 50. within the meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).
- Plaintiff has suffered actual damages that were proximately caused by 51. each of the Defendants including lost sales, price erosion and a diminution of the value of its copyright.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- Permanently enjoin each Defendant and all other persons who are in (A) active concert or participation with each Defendant from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted Work;
- Order that each Defendant delete and permanently remove the torrent (B) file relating to Plaintiff's copyrighted Work from each of the computers under each such Defendant's possession, custody or control;

each Defendant induced, caused or materially contributed to the infringing conduct of each other Defendant.

- 56. Plaintiff did not authorize, permit or consent to Defendants' inducing, causing or materially contributing to the infringing conduct of each other Defendant.
- 57. Each Defendant knew or should have known that other BitTorrent users, here the other Defendants, would become members of a swarm with Defendant.
- 58. Each Defendant knew or should have known that other BitTorrent users in a swarm with it, here the other Defendants, were directly infringing Plaintiff's copyrighted Work by copying constituent elements of the Work that are original.
- 59. Indeed, each Defendant directly participated in and therefore materially contributed to each other Defendant's infringing activities.
- 60. Each of the Defendants' contributory infringements were committed "willfully" within the meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).
- 61. Plaintiff has suffered actual damages that were proximately caused by each of the Defendants including lost sales, price erosion, and a diminution of the value of its copyright.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- (A) Permanently enjoin each Defendant and all other persons who are in active concert or participation with each Defendant from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted Work;
 - (B) Order that each Defendant delete and permanently remove the torrent Case No.

Respectfully submitted, By: /s/ Adam M. Silverstein Adam M. Silverstein (197638) CAVALLUZZI & CAVALLUZZI 9200 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 807 Los Angeles, California 90069 Telephone: (310) 246-2601 Facsimile: (310) 246-2606 Email: adam@cavalluzzi.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Case No. ©JS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

Case 3:12-cv-00358-BTMWYG COCUMENTAL Eiled 02/09/12 Page 16 of 16

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS MALIBU MEDIA, LLC			DEFENDANTS JOHN DOES 1-13			
C251 P	of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles Coun XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN L	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
	s, Address, and Telephone Number) 9200 Sunset Boulevard, Suite 807, Lo 2601	Attorneys (If Known		/0358 BTM WVG		
II. BASIS OF JURISE U.S. Government Plaintiff	PICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ▼ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Only Citizen of This State	P PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place y) an PTF DEF 1 1 1 1 Incorporated or Princip of Business In This Stat	d One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF al Place		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State Citizen or Subject of a	□ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principof Business In Anoth			
IV NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Foreign Country				
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Y BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Exel. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forcelosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage	7 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88: 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 4 Reinstated or (specify) 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 17 U.S.C. Section 106 Brief description of cause:						
Copvright Infringement						
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	: MAĞ. JUDĞE			
10	1,0	54	54			