

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-00397-WJM-MEH

MALIBU MEDIA, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DOES 1-29,

Defendant.

---

**MINUTE ORDER**

---

**Entered by Michael E. Hegarty, United States Magistrate Judge, on May 2, 2012.**

The Motion to Quash or Modify Subpoena [[filed April 30, 2012; docket #26](#)] filed *pro se* by John Doe #3 is **denied without prejudice** for failure to comply with D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1A. The Court reminds the parties that it “will not consider *any motion*, other than a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 or 56, unless counsel for the moving party or a *pro se* party, before filing the motion, has conferred or made reasonable, good-faith efforts to confer with opposing counsel. ” D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1A (emphasis added). It is the responsibility of the moving party to “state in the motion, or in a certificate attached to the motion, the specific efforts to comply with this rule...” *Id.*