UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION

MALIBU MEDIA, LLC,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Case No. 2:12-cv-425-FtM-99DNF

JOHN DOES 1-24,

Defendants.

ORDER

This cause came on for consideration on the following motion(s) filed herein:

MOTION: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SERVE THIRD PARTY

SUBPOENAS PRIOR TO A RULE 26(F) CONFERENCE

(Doc. No. 5)

FILED: August 21, 2012

THEREON it is **ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED**.

The Plaintiff, Malibu Media, LLC is requesting leave to take discovery prior to the FED. R. CIV. P. 26(f) conference. The Plaintiff is the owner of United States Copyright Registration Number PA0001794715 for the motion picture entitled "Yoga in the Sky." The Plaintiff filed this action for copyright infringement. The Plaintiff is suing the Defendants for using the internet and the "BitTorrent protocol" to commit copyright infringement. The Plaintiff does not know the identities of the Defendants, but can identify them by their Internet Protocol ("IP") addresses which were assigned to the Defendants by their Internet Service Providers ("ISP"). The Plaintiff is requesting

leave to serve limited discovery on non-party ISPs solely to determine the true identities of the Doe Defendants, as well as any other infringers that the Plaintiff identifies during the course of the litigation. The Plaintiff anticipates serving subpoenas on the ISPs requesting the identifying information for the Defendants. If the first ISP does not have the information, it may be able to identify an intermediary ISP with that information, and the Plaintiff would like to serve a subpoena on that ISP and request identifying information for the Defendants.

Pursuant to Local Rule 3.05(c)(2)(B), normally discovery is not permitted prior to the case management meeting. M.D. Fla. L.R. 3.05(c)(2)(B). Further, FED. R. CIV. P. 26(d) provides that "[a] party may not seek discovery from any source before the parties have conferred as required by Rule 26(f), except in a proceeding exempted from initial disclosure under Rule 26(a)(1)(B), or when authorized by these rules, by stipulation, or by court order."

In the instant case, a Rule 26 conference cannot take place until the identities of the Defendants are known and the Defendants have been served. The Plaintiff has shown that it has attempted to identify the Defendants and is not able to identify them based upon the information known to them. The Plaintiff has shown good cause to conduct limited discovery prior to a Rule 26 conference. The Court will allow the Plaintiff to conduct limited discovery by serving subpoenas on ISPs to determine the names, addresses, telephone numbers, e-mail addresses and Media Access Control addresses of the Defendants to whom the ISP assigned an IP address as set forth in Exhibit A to the Complaint (Doc. 1) or any other service provider that is later identified in response to these initial subpoenas.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

1) The Plaintiff is permitted limited discovery to serve subpoenas to determine the names, addresses, telephone numbers, e-mail addresses and Media Access Control Addresses of the

Defendants to whom the ISP assigned an IP address as set forth in Exhibit A of the Complaint (Doc.

1) or any other service provider that is later identified in response to these initial subpoenas.

2) The Plaintiff shall attach a copy of this Order with the subpoenas, and if the ISP qualifies

as a "cable operator" as defined in 47 U.S.C. §522(5), then this Order shall be considered an

appropriate court order under 47 U.S.C. §551.

3) Any ISP that receives a subpoena pursuant to this Order shall not assess any charge to the

Plaintiff in advance of providing the information requested in the subpoena, however, an ISP may elect

to charge a reasonable amount for the costs of production.

4) Any ISP that receives a subpoena shall preserve all subpoenaed information pending the ISP

delivering such information to Plaintiff or the final resolution of a motion to quash the subpoena.

5) Any information disclosed to Plaintiff in response to a subpoena may be used by Plaintiff

solely for the purposes of protecting and enforcing Plaintiff's rights as set forth in its Complaint.

6) The applicable ISP shall withhold the identifying information of any Defendant who has

filed a motion to quash the subpoena until the motion is resolved and the Court enters an order

authorizing the disclosure.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Chambers in Ft. Myers, Florida this 22nd day of August, 2012.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies: All Parties of Record

-3-