# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 

\author{
MALIBU MEDIA, ) <br> Plaintiff, <br> v. <br> JOHN DOES 1-9, <br> Defendants. <br> ```) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ )``` <br> ```
Case No. 12-1280

```
}

\section*{ORDER}

On February 11, 2013, a Report \& Recommendation was filed by Magistrate Judge John A. Gorman in the above captioned case. More than 14 days have elapsed since the filing of the Report \& Recommendation, and no objections have been made. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); Lockert v. Faulkner, 843 F.2d 1015 ( \(7^{\text {th }}\) Cir. 1988); and Video Views, Inc. v. Studio 21, Ltd., 797 F.2d 538, 539 ( \(7^{\text {th }}\) Cir. 1986). As the parties failed to present timely objections, any such objections have been waived. Id.

The relevant procedural history is sufficiently set forth in the comprehensive Report \& Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Suffice it to say that Plaintiff has brought this litigation alleging that Defendant John Does have illegally downloaded copyrighted files from the Internet using peer-to-peer technology. After an extensive discussion of issues regarding the propriety of permissive joinder, the Magistrate Judge recommended that claims against multiple defendants be severed from the first-named remaining defendant and be dismissed without prejudice to refiling as separate actions. The Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation.

Accordingly, the Court now adopts the Report \& Recommendation [30] of the Magistrate Judge in its entirety. Remaining Defendants John Does \#3 and \#7 are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to refiling as separate actions, and all other pending motions are now MOOT. The matter will proceed solely against John Doe \#2.

ENTERED this \(6^{\text {th }}\) day of March, 2013.
s/ James E. Shadid
James E. Shadid
Chief United States District Judge```

