

*PSM*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

MALIBU MEDIA, LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action Case No. 12 C 07578
	)	Judge Shadur
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
JOHN DOES 1-25,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

**ORDER ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SERVE  
THIRD PARTY SUBPOENAS PRIOR TO A RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE**

**THIS CAUSE** came before the Court upon Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Serve Third Party Subpoenas Prior to a Rule 26(f) Conference (the "Motion"), and the Court being duly advised in the premises does hereby:

**FIND, ORDER AND ADJUDGE:**

Plaintiff established that "good cause" exists for it to a serve third party subpoena on the Internet Service Provider only as to John Doe 1, Argonne National Laboratory, (the "ISP"). See UMG Recording, Inc. v. Doe, 2008 WL 4104214, \*4 (N.D. Cal. 2008); and Arista Records LLC v. Does 1-19, 551 F. Supp. 2d 1, 6-7 (D.D.C. 2008).

Plaintiff may serve the ISP with a Rule 45 subpoena commanding it to provide Plaintiff with the true name, address, telephone number, e-mail address and Media Access Control ("MAC") address of the Defendant to whom the ISP assigned an IP address as set forth on Exhibit A to the Motion. Plaintiff shall attach to any such subpoena a copy of this Order.

The subpoenaed ISP shall not require Plaintiff to pay a fee in advance of providing

the subpoenaed information; nor shall the subpoenaed ISP require Plaintiff to pay a fee for an IP address that is not controlled by such ISP, or for duplicate IP addresses that resolve to the same individual, or for an IP address that does not provide the name of a unique individual, or for the ISP's internal costs to notify its customers. If necessary, the Court shall resolve any disputes between the ISP and Plaintiff regarding the reasonableness of the amount proposed to be charged by the ISP after the subpoenaed information is provided to Plaintiff.

Plaintiff may use the information disclosed in response to a Rule 45 subpoena served on the ISP only for the purpose of protecting and enforcing Plaintiff's rights as set forth in its Complaint.

DONE AND ORDERED this 18 day of December, 2012.

By   
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

]