

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 12-cv-01642-CMA-MEH

PATRICK COLLINS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DOES 1-32,

Defendants.

---

**MINUTE ORDER**

---

**Entered by Michael E. Hegarty, United States Magistrate Judge, on August 30, 2012.**

Before the Court is Defendant John Doe 13's Motion for Leave to Proceed Anonymously [filed August 30, 2012; docket #29] and Motion to Dismiss Party, Motion to Quash Subpoena, and Motion to Sever for Improper Joinder [filed August 30, 2012; docket #30].

Doe 13's Motion for Leave to Proceed Anonymously [docket #29] is **granted** as follows. Defendant may proceed anonymously in this matter as "Doe 10" for the purpose of adjudicating Defendant's pending motion to quash. Upon resolution of the motion to quash, should the Defendant perceive a need to continue proceeding anonymously in this case, the Defendant must then seek permission from the Court to continue proceeding anonymously.

Regarding docket #30, due to its varied requests for relief, adjudication of the single motion will likely require several different standards of review and legal analyses of both dispositive and non-dispositive issues. In the interests of judicial efficiency and the proper management of its docket, the Court **denies** the motion **without prejudice** and instructs the Defendant to file his requests for relief each in separate motions, as applicable. *See* D.C. Colo. LCivR 7.1C ("A motion shall be made in a separate paper.")

Further, the Court notes that Defendant failed to file with his motion to quash a copy of the challenged subpoena. Therefore, if Defendant chooses to re-file his motion, he is instructed to file a copy of the challenged subpoena with the motion.