

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

PATRICK COLLINS, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
vs.	)	NO. 1:12-cv-00844-TWP-MJD
	)	
JOHN DOE 1,	)	
JOHN DOE 2,	)	
JOHN DOE 3,	)	
JOHN DOE 4,	)	
JOHN DOE 5,	)	
JOHN DOE 6,	)	
JOHN DOE 7,	)	
JOHN DOE 8,	)	
JOHN DOE 9,	)	
JOHN DOE 10,	)	
JOHN DOE 11,	)	
JOHN DOE 12,	)	
JOHN DOE 13,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**SCHEDULING ORDER**  
**HON. MARK J. DINSMORE, MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The Court has become aware of several court opinions from across the country that have raised concerns regarding potentially inappropriate procedures being utilized by plaintiffs in cases similar to the instant case to extract settlements from putative defendants without any intention by the Plaintiff of ever actually litigating the case on its merits. See, e.g., *K-Beech, Inc. v. John Does 1-85*, No. 3:11cv469-JAG, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124581, at \*6-7 (E.D. Va. Oct. 5, 2011); *Pac. Century Int'l Ltd. v. Does*, C-11-02533 DMR, 2011 WL 5117424, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 27, 2011); *SBO Pictures, Inc. v. Does 1-3036*; No. 11-4220 SC, 2011 WL 6002620, at \*3-4 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 30, 2011); *In re*

*BitTorrent Adult Film Copyright Infringement Cases*, No. 11-3995 DRH, 2012 WL 1570765, at \* 9-10 (E.D.N.Y. May 1, 2012). While the Court does not assume that Plaintiff or its counsel have engaged in any improper conduct, the Court will impose the following procedures in this matter to ensure the prompt identification and service of the putative Defendants in this matter:

1. No later than two days after receipt by Plaintiff of any response or any other communication relating to a subpoena issued to any Internet Service Provider or any other person or entity in this matter, Plaintiff shall file under seal in this matter a copy of the subpoena issued and the complete response received, including all communications between Plaintiff or its counsel and the person or entity upon whom the subpoena was served. In the event Plaintiff received responses to any subpoenas issued in this matter prior to the date of this order, Plaintiff shall file under seal in this matter a copy of the subpoena issued and the complete response and all communications received within two days of the date of this order. Plaintiff shall likewise file under seal any subsequent or supplemental responses or communications regarding any subpoenas previously filed within two days of Plaintiff's issuance or receipt of such response or communication, with reference to the docket number of the subpoena to which such response relates.


2. Plaintiff, its counsel, and any other person or entity acting for or on their behalf shall hereafter refrain from any communication with any of the putative Defendants without express leave of the Court. The sole exception to this prohibition is that counsel for Plaintiff may arrange to effect service of a Summons and the Complaint upon a putative Defendant once the Complaint has been amended to name such putative Defendant, and following service upon a Defendant may properly serve any other papers filed with the Court upon that Defendant.

3. Within seven days of the date of this order, Plaintiff shall file under seal a copy of any and all correspondence between Plaintiff or anyone acting for or on behalf of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel and any of the putative Defendants. Such submission should include any agreements or proposed agreements between Plaintiff and any of the putative Defendants. Such submission shall also include a log of any oral communications between Plaintiff or anyone acting for or on behalf of Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel and any of the putative Defendants identifying the date of such communication, the identity of the individuals who participated in such communication and a summary of the substance of such communication.

4. Within seven days of the identification through discovery or otherwise of any putative Defendant, Plaintiff shall file an Amended Complaint naming that Defendant and shall undertake immediate efforts to effect service of process upon

that Defendant and file such proof of service with the Court. To facilitate such procedure, Plaintiff is granted ongoing leave of the Court to amend its Complaint without further motion or order, so long as the only amendment of the pleading is to identify and name the putative Defendants in this matter. All other amendments of the Complaint require proper motion to the Court. With regard to any of the putative Defendants whose identity is known to the Plaintiff as of the date of this Order, the amendment of the Complaint to name those Defendants required by this paragraph shall be accomplished within seven days of the date of this order.

Dated: 09/19/2012



---

Mark J. Dinsmore  
United States Magistrate Judge  
Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

Mark Simpson Davis  
MARK DAVIS LAW FIRM  
mdavis@davislaw.com

Paul J. Nicoletti  
NICOLETTI & ASSOCIATES, P.C.  
paul@nicoletti-associates.com

JOHN DOE 7  
D. Hillman  
2099 Malibu Drive  
West Lafayette, IN 47906