JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States inSeptember 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Patrick Collins, Inc.				DEFENDANT Patricia Butler	`S		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C	os Angeles ASES)		County of Residen	(IN U	J.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	Delaware County SONLY) CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF DILVED.
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Fiore & Barber, LLC, 429) 256-0205	Address, and Telephone Numb 5 Main Street, Suite 20	, 00, Harleysville, PA,	19438	Attorneys (If Known	1)		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	OICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PDINCI	IDAL DADTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintig
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	Ճ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government		2000000	(For Diversity Cases Only,	PTF DE	F	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Principal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	0 2	2 Incorporated and of Business In	
					□ 3 □	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box (Only)	ror	reign Country			
CONTRACT	TO	PRTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY	I	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	- 690	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 423 W 2 PRO ■ 820 C	Appeal 28 USC 158 Vithdrawal 28 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Parent Parent Parent Parent Parent Parent Parent Parent	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	□ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	720 740 751	LABOR D Fair Labor Standards Act D Labor/Mgmt. Relations D Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc.	□ 861 H □ 862 B □ 863 D □ 864 SS	IAL SECURITY IIA (1395ff) Black Lung (923) DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) SID Title XVI SI (405(g))	□ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act
REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 42 Employment 43 Housing/	PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General	S	Security Act	☐ 870 Ta or ☐ 871 IR	eral TAX SUITS axes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) RS—Third Party 6 USC 7609	□ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	□ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Othe □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	□ 463	IMMIGRATION Naturalization Applicatio Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) Other Immigration Actions	100	0 USC 7609	State Statutes
✓ 1 Original	te Court	Appellate Court	Reope	ened anoth	sferred from	☐ 6 Multidistr	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca		filing (D	o not cite jurisdictional st	atutes unles	s diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Copyright Infringe CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	S A CLASS ACTION	DE 150,00	MAND \$ 00.00		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions)	JUDGE			DOCK	KET NUMBER	
ZODATE ZODATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY O	FRECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE_		MAG. JUD	GE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the case

assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 8015 Deering Avenue, Canoga Park, CA	91304
Address of Defendant: 88 Ferne Blvd., Drexel Hill, PA 19026	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: All infringements occurred w	vithin this jurisdictional district.
	~ .
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes□ No Yes□ No
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No.X
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	ear previously terminated action in this court?
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s	Yes□ No X
action in this court?	uit pending or within one year previously terminated
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a retard about it is in the	Yes□ No X
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n terminated action in this court?	
3.00 mg/m/s/cold.200a/s/cold/cold/cold/cold/cold/cold/cold/cold	Yes□ No X
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	s case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No X
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	
5. □ Patent	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
7. □ Civil Rights	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
8. Habeas Corpus	7. Products Liability
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
7 6	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. X All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERTI (Check Appropriate Cat Login Christopher P. Fiore Counsel of record do hereby certify	regory)
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b	:
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	ener, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 7/21/12	83018
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney l.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w except as noted above.	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE:	
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney I.D.#

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by connect to indicate the

assignment to appropriate calendar.	ie used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 8015 Deering Avenue, Canoga Park, CA	91304
Address of Defendant: 88 Ferne Blvd., Drexel Hill, PA 19026	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: All infringements occurred W (Use Reverse Side For A	ithin this jurisdictional district.
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No X
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior staction in this court?	Yes□ No X uit pending or within one year previously terminated
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier no	Yes \square No $f X$
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No X
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	s case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No【X
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	
5. □ Patent	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
7. □ Civil Rights	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
8. Habeas Corpus	7. Products Liability
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases11. X All other Federal Question Cases	(Please specify)
(Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERTING (Check Appropriate Cate Counsel of record do baraby corriforms).	egory)
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	ellet, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 7/21/12	92019
Attorney-at-Law	83018
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	Attorney I.D.# has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or wi except as noted above.	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE:	
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney I.D.#

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Patrick Collins, Inc.

CIVIL ACTION

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
(215) 256-0205	(215) 256-9205	CFiore@FioreBarber.co	m_
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
7/21/12	Christopher P. Fi	ore Plaintiff	
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall in	to any one of the other tracks.	()
the court. (See reverse s management cases.)	ide of this form for a de	special or intense management by tailed explanation of special	(X)
(e) Special Management – C	Cases that do not fall into	tracks (a) through (d) that are	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal	injury or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	ired to be designated for	r arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der	requesting review of a d nying plaintiff Social Se	ecision of the Secretary of Health curity Benefits.	()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C	. § 2241 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE M	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ise Management Track I re a copy on all defendant event that a defendant of shall, with its first appea rties, a Case Manageme	Delay Reduction Plan of this court, couns Designation Form in all civil cases at the tits. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reloes not agree with the plaintiff regarding trance, submit to the clerk of court and ser nt Track Designation Form specifying the assigned.	me of verse g said
Patricia But	ler	NO.	
V.	:		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA					
		X			
PATRICK COLLINS, INC.	,	:			
	Plaintiff,	: :	Civil Action No.		
vs.		:			
PATRICIA BUTLER,		: :			
	Defendant.	:			
		: X			

COMPLAINT-ACTION FOR DAMAGES FOR PROPERTY RIGHTS INFRINGMENT

Plaintiff, Patrick Collins, Inc., by and through its counsel, Fiore & Barber, LLC, sues Patricia Butler, and alleges:

Introduction

- 1. This matter arises under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, as amended, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Copyright Act").
- 2. Defendant is a persistent online infringer of Plaintiff's copyrights. Indeed, Defendant's Internet service was used to illegally distribute each of the copyrighted movies set forth on Exhibit "A."
- 3. Plaintiff is the registered owner of the copyrights set forth on Exhibit "A" (the "Copyrights-in-Suit.")

Jurisdiction And Venue

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (patents, copyrights, trademarks and unfair competition).
- 5. The Defendant's acts of copyright infringement occurred using an Internet Protocol address ("IP address") traced to a physical address located within this District, and therefore this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because (a) Defendant committed the tortious conduct alleged in this Complaint in this State, and (i) Defendant resides in this State and/or (ii) Defendant has engaged in substantial and not isolated business activity in this State.
- 6. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), because: (i) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District; and, (ii) the Defendant resides (and therefore can be found) in this District and resides in this State; additionally, venue is proper in this District pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1400(a) (venue for copyright cases) because each Defendant or Defendant's agent resides or may be found in this District.

Parties

- 7. Plaintiff, Patrick Collins, Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and has its principal place of business located at 8015 Deering Avenue, Canoga Park, CA 91304.
- 8. Defendant, Patricia Butler, is an individual who is a resident of the state of Pennsylvania. As set forth on Exhibit A, Defendant's IP address is 64.121.1.91.
 - 9. Defendant's Internet Service Provider, set forth on Exhibit A, can identify the

Defendant.

Factual Background

- I. <u>Defendant Used BitTorrent To Infringe Plaintiff's Copyrights</u>
- 10. BitTorrent is one of the most common peer-to-peer file sharing protocols used for distributing large amounts of data.
- 11. The BitTorrent protocol's popularity stems from its ability to distribute a large file without creating a heavy load on the source computer and network. In short, to reduce the load on the source computer, rather than downloading a file from a single source computer (one computer directly connected to another), the BitTorrent protocol allows users to join a "swarm" of host computers to download and upload from each other simultaneously (one computer connected to numerous computers).
 - 12. Each of the peers in a BitTorrent swarm distributes pieces of the file to each other.
- 13. After a peer receives all of the pieces of a file, the peer's BitTorrent software program reassembles the pieces so that the file may be opened and used or played.
 - 14. Each piece of BitTorrent file is assigned a unique cryptographic hash value.
- 15. The cryptographic hash value acts as a unique digital fingerprint to ensure a piece of the data belongs in a particular BitTorrent file.
- 16. Plaintiff's investigator, IPP Limited, established a direct TCP/IP connection with the IP address set forth on Exhibit A on each of the hit dates set forth on Exhibits A and B. See Declaration of Tobias Fieser, attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 17. Each of the pieces of data received by IPP Limited, as set forth on Exhibit A, contains a unique cryptographic hash value.
 - 18. Cryptographic hash values act as digital fingerprints to ensure that data belongs to

a particular BitTorrent file.

- 19. Each of the cryptographic hash values set forth on a row of Exhibit A correlates to that certain copyrighted movie owned by Plaintiff identified on that row of Exhibit A, and the Copyright Registration Number on that row.
- 20. Each of the pieces of data distributed by Defendant to IPP Limited as set forth on Exhibit A is part of a computer file containing a copy of a movie covered by a Copyright-In-Suit which is identical (or alternatively, strikingly similar or substantially similar) to the movie covered by the Copyright-In-Suit. Indeed, the infringement was verified by viewing the copy of the movie contained in the computer file associated with the cryptographic hash value to the movie that was registered.
- 21. For each Copyright-in-Suit, the most recent date and time (the "hit date"), (in undersigned's possession when this Complaint was drafted), on which IPP recorded Defendant's IP Address being used to distribute to IPP Limited a piece of a computer file containing the infringing movie is set forth on Exhibit A.
- 22. A chart correlating hit dates to titles and registration numbers is set forth on Exhibit B. As recorded by IPP Limited, Exhibit B sets forth all of the hit dates (in undersigned's possession when this Complaint was drafted) associated with all of Defendant's infringing distributions of pieces of computer files containing copies of the movies covered by the Copyrights-In-Suit.
- 23. As the subscriber of the Internet service being used to distribute Plaintiff's copyrighted movies, Defendant is the most likely infringer. Consequently, Plaintiff hereby alleges Defendant is the infringer.
 - 24. Defendant is the only person who can be identified as the infringer at this time.

Miscellaneous

- 25. All conditions precedent to bringing this action have occurred or been waived.
- 26. Plaintiff has retained counsel and is obligated to pay said counsel a reasonable fee for its services.

COUNT I <u>Direct Infringement Against Defendant</u>

- 27. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-26 are hereby re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 28. Plaintiff is the owner of the Copyrights-in-Suit, each of which covers an original work of authorship.
- 29. By using the BitTorrent protocol, Defendant copied and distributed the constituent elements of each of the original works covered by the Copyrights-in-Suit.
 - 30. Plaintiff did not authorize, permit or consent to Defendant's copying of its works.
 - 31. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant violated Plaintiff's exclusive right to:
 - (A) Reproduce the works in copies, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1) and 501;
- (B) Redistribute copies of the works to the public by sale or other transfer of ownership, or by rental, lease or lending, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(3) and 501;
- (C) Perform the copyrighted works, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(4) and 501, by showing the works' images in any sequence and/or by making the sounds accompanying the works audible and transmitting said performance of the works, by means of a device or process, to members of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definitions of "perform" and "publically" perform); and
- (D) Display the copyrighted works, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(5) and 501, by showing individual images of the works nonsequentially and transmitting said display of the

works by means of a device or process to members of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definition of "publically" display).

- 32. Defendant's infringements were committed "willfully" within the meaning of 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).
- 33. Plaintiff has suffered actual damages that were proximately caused by Defendant including lost sales, price erosion and a diminution of the value of its copyright.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- (A) Permanently enjoin Defendant and all other persons who are in active concert or participation with Defendant from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted works;
- (B) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the torrent files relating to Plaintiff's works from each of the computers under Defendant's possession, custody or control;
- (C) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the infringing copies of the works Defendant has on computers under Defendant's possession, custody or control;
- (D) Award Plaintiff the greater of: (a) Plaintiff's actual damages and any additional profits of the Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504-(a)-(b); or (b) statutory damages in the amount of \$150,000 per infringed Work pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504-(a) and (c);
- (E) Award Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; and
 - (F) Grant Plaintiff any other and further relief this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

FIORE & BARBER, LLC

By:

Christopher P. Fiore, Esquire Aman M. Barber, III, Esquire Attorneys for Plaintiff 425 Main Street, Suite 200 Harleysville, PA 19438

Tel: (215) 256-0205 Fax: (215) 256-9205

Email: cfiore@fiorebarber.com

Defendant: Patricia Butler

IP: 64.121.1.91

ISP: RCN Corporation Location: Drexel Hill, PA

Title	Hash	Date First Pub	Regis Date	Infringement Date
Up That Black Ass #8	41FCA96CED0629A24D77ECF2268242BCBB5BFFFB	04/03/2011	06/03/2011	06/23/2011 02:19:24
Gangbanged	4418AEC05D8026A7B40D308C6CED7B36D8DE9106	03/26/2011	06/03/2011	09/03/2011 02:03:27
Cuties #2	EE7B1E84B6FD741359D99A0397DF043842BAB4D7	03/19/2011	05/26/2011	09/03/2011 02:10:53
A MILF's Tale #2	F90B20FCEB29D7B86F5F813AB297D753DF14EAE8	06/19/2011	08/26/2011	09/03/2011 02:36:40
Hard Bodies	DB33CAB9238F60BBC8AA1A42D61E24EFD9E1F6DC	07/09/2011	08/26/2011	09/06/2011 18:04:31
Latin Booty	FC82023F6AD81E2E21BE52E1B281F55D89717DFE	07/16/2011	08/26/2011	09/06/2011 22:03:14
Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	09/28/2011	10/10/2011	10/10/2011 10:26:15

Total Statutory Copyright Infringements for Defendant Patricia Butler: 7

Defendant: Patricia Butler

IP: 64.121.1.91

ISP: RCN Corporation
Location: Drexel Hill, PA

IP	Hash	Title	Infringement Date UTC
64.121.1.91	4418AEC05D8026A7B40D308C6CED7B36D8DE9106	Gangbanged	09/02/2011 09:32:51
64.121.1.91	EE7B1E84B6FD741359D99A0397DF043842BAB4D7	Cuties #2	09/02/2011 12:41:19
64.121.1.91	EE7B1E84B6FD741359D99A0397DF043842BAB4D7	Cuties #2	09/02/2011 12:49:55
64.121.1.91	EE7B1E84B6FD741359D99A0397DF043842BAB4D7	Cuties #2	09/02/2011 14:06:35
64.121.1.91	EE7B1E84B6FD741359D99A0397DF043842BAB4D7	Cuties #2	09/02/2011 16:15:11
64.121.1.91	F90B20FCEB29D7B86F5F813AB297D753DF14EAE8	A Milf's Tale #2	09/02/2011 19:16:50
64.121.1.91	4418AEC05D8026A7B40D308C6CED7B36D8DE9106	Gangbanged	09/03/2011 02:03:27
64.121.1.91	EE7B1E84B6FD741359D99A0397DF043842BAB4D7	Cuties #2	09/03/2011 02:10:53
64.121.1.91	F90B20FCEB29D7B86F5F813AB297D753DF14EAE8	A Milf's Tale #2	09/03/2011 02:36:40
54.121.1.91	DB33CAB9238F60BBC8AA1A42D61E24EFD9E1F6DC	Hard Bodies	09/03/2011 02:47:37
54.121.1.91	FC82023F6AD81E2E21BE52E1B281F55D89717DFE	Latin Booty	09/06/2011 17:59:50
54.121.1.91	DB33CAB9238F60BBC8AA1A42D61E24EFD9E1F6DC	Hard Bodies	09/06/2011 18:04:31
54.121.1.91	FC82023F6AD81E2E21BE52E1B281F55D89717DFE	Latin Booty	09/06/2011 22:03:14

IP	Hash	Title	Infringement Date UTC
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 07:58:23
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 07:58:52
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 08:01:35
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 08:01:59
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 08:02:57
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 08:04:24
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 08:04:56
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 08:07:10
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 08:09:00
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 09:02:01
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 09:02:31
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 09:04:33
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 09:04:37
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 09:05:54
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 09:29:36
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is Relentless	10/10/2011 09:34:36

IP	Hash	Title	Infringement Date UTC
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is	10/10/2011
		Relentless	10:13:13
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is	10/10/2011
		Relentless	10:13:42
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is	10/10/2011
		Relentless	10:15:13
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is	10/10/2011
		Relentless	10:16:18
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is	10/10/2011
		Relentless	10:17:46
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is	10/10/2011
		Relentless	10:19:14
64.121.1.91	E72E1CEFED815DC7824E45823EF993263B1541B2	Kagney Linn Karter Is	10/10/2011
		Relentless	10:26:15

EASTERN DISTRICT OF	PENNSYLVAN	IA		
		X		
PATRICK COLLINS, INC.,		:		
TATRICK COLLINS, INC.,		:	Civil Action No.	
	Plaintiff,	:		
Vs.		:		
		:		
PATRICIA BUTLER,		:		
	Defendant.	:		
		:		
		X		

DECLARATION OF TOBIAS FIESER

I, TOBIAS FIESER, HEREBY DECLARE:

1. My name is Tobias Fieser.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

- 2. I am over the age of 18 and am otherwise competent to make this declaration.
- This declaration is based on my personal knowledge and, if called upon to do so,
 I will testify that the facts stated herein are true and accurate.
- 4. I am employed by IPP, Limited ("IPP"), a company organized and existing under the laws of Germany, in its litigation support department.
- Among other things, IPP is in the business of providing forensic investigation services to copyright owners.
- 6. As part of my duties for IPP, I routinely identify the Internet Protocol ("IP") addresses that are being used by those people that are using the BitTorrent protocol to reproduce, distribute, display or perform copyrighted Works.
- 7. An IP address is a unique numerical identifier that is automatically assigned to an internet user by the user's Internet Service Provider ("ISP").

- 8. ISPs keep track of the IP addresses assigned to their subscribers.
- Only the ISP to whom a particular IP address has been assigned for use by its subscriber can correlate the IP address to a real person, the subscriber of the internet service.
- 10. From time to time, a subscriber of internet services may be assigned different IP addresses from their ISP. Accordingly, to correlate a person with an IP address the ISP also needs to know when the IP address was being used.
- 11. Many ISPs only retain the information sufficient to correlate an IP address to a person at a given time for a very limited amount of time.
- 12. Plaintiff retained IPP to identify the IP addresses that are being used by those people that are using the BitTorrent protocol and the internet to reproduce, distribute, display or perform Plaintiffs' copyrighted works.
- 13. IPP tasked me with implementing, monitoring, analyzing, reviewing and attesting to the results of the investigation.
- 14. During the performance of my duties, I used forensic software named INTERNATIONAL IPTRACKER v1.2.1 and related technology enabling the scanning of peer-to-peer networks for the presence of infringing transactions.
- INTERNATIONAL IPTRACKER v1.2.1 was correctly installed and initiated on a computer server.
 - 16. I personally extracted the resulting data emanating from the investigation.
- 17. After reviewing the evidence logs, I isolated the transactions and the IP addresses being used on the BitTorrent peer-to-peer network to reproduce, distribute, display or perform Plaintiff's copyrighted works associated with the Unique Hash number.
 - 18. The information set forth on Exhibits A and B to the Complaint accurately states

the results of IPP's investigation of the infringement committed by the Defendant in this case.

19. On each "hit date" listed on Exhibits A and B a computer using the IP address

assigned to Defendant connected to IPP's investigative server and transmitted a full copy, or

portion thereof, of a digital media mile identified by the Unique Hash Numbers that correlate to

the Works covered by the Copyrights-In-Suit which are set forth on Exhibit C to the Complaint.

20. IPP's software analyzed each BitTorrent "piece" distributed by Defendant's IP

address and verified that reassembling the piece(s) using a specialized BitTorrent Client results

in fully playable digital motion pictures.

21. I was provided with control copies of the Works covered by the Copyrights-In-

Suit. I viewed the Works side-by-side with the digital media files identified by those Unique

Hash Numbers which correlate to the copies of the works covered by the Copyrights-In-Suit and

determined that each of the digital media files contained a movie that is identical, striking

similar or substantially similar to the Works covered by the Copyrights-In-Suit..

22. Once provided with Defendant's IP address, plus the date and time of the

detected and documented infringing activity, Defendant's ISP can use its subscriber log to

identify the name, address, billing address, email address and phone number of the Defendant.

FURTHER DECLARANT SAYETH NAUGHT.

DECLARATION

PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this <u>1364</u> day of <u>Jaly</u>, 2012.

TOBIAS FIESER

By: Fig.

3

EXHIBIT C