```
c97WthiC
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      THIRD DEGREE FILMS, INC. 20525
      Nordhoff Street, Suite 25,
      Chatsworth, CA 91311, a/k/a
 4
      3rd Degree Films,
 5
                      Plaintiff,
 6
                 V.
                                               11 CV 9620 (WHP)
 7
      JOHN DOE 19,
 8
                     Defendant.
9
10
                                                New York, N.Y.
                                                September 7, 2012
                                                12:00 p.m.
11
12
      Before:
13
                         HON. WILLIAM H. PAULEY III,
14
                                                District Judge
15
                                 APPEARANCES
16
      MIKE MEIER
           Attorney for Plaintiff
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 (In the robing room) 2 THE COURT: Give your appearance for the court 3 reporter. 4 MR. MEIER: My name is Mike Meier, for the plaintiff, 5 Third Degree Films, Inc. 6 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Maier. 7 What's the status of this matter? MR. MEIER: The status is that, according to the 8 9 Court's order to serve a defendant by August 31, I did dismiss 10 all defendants except Doe No. 19, and I only identified him by 11 his initials, E. H., and I did serve an amended complaint upon Mr. E. H. Unfortunately, I have not received the return of 12 13 service yet from the process server. The process server did 14 confirm to me that he was able to serve E. H. by the 31st, but 15 I do not have proof yet. THE COURT: I take it E. H., or Doe 19, resides in New 16 17 York. MR. MEIER: Yes, sir, within the district of this 18 19 Court. 20 Why is Doe 19 left in this action? THE COURT: 21 MR. MEIER: Your Honor, you're asking me to disclose 22 the criteria by which I choose individual defendants to name. 23 The reason in this case was of all the defendants that have

infringements, additional infringements. And the reason why I

been identified so far, he was the one with the most

24

25

chose him because of these additional infringements is it helps me to prove my case because we will have a large number of infringements over a lengthy period of time. I don't remember the exact time period, but it is something like six or nine months, and all infringements have been committed through the same port, which shows it's the same computer and, therefore, most likely the same person.

THE COURT: All right. Have you had any contact with Doe 19?

MR. MEIER: No. None whatsoever.

And an additional reason why I chose Doe 19 was I believe I also had him as a defendant in at least two other cases.

THE COURT: What's his status in those other cases?

MR. MEIER: In those other cases, he has been

dismissed without prejudice. So I focused on this case, so he only remains in this case.

THE COURT: All right. Doe 82's motion, Doe 82 has been dismissed, right, from this case? That was the Doe who made a motion to quash?

MR. MEIER: Your Honor, unfortunately, I do not have the file with me. My apologies. I've been traveling for the past ten days. I do respond to all motions to quash that are filed, and I never dismiss a defendant just because they filed a motion. It's only after I've reviewed the case, so I do not

act as some attorneys do who just dismiss those. I don't do that.

THE COURT: If I understand the stipulation of the notice of voluntary dismissal that you filed --

MR. MEIER: Yes.

THE COURT: -- Doe 82 is no longer in this case.

Accordingly, Doe 82's motion to quash and proceed anonymously is moot. And with respect to the amended complaint, it simply names Doe No. 19 with the initials E. H.

MR. MEIER: E. H., yes, sir.

In terms of the substance, it is all the same, regarding the same copyright infringement, etc.

THE COURT: All right. At the end of the day, I'm not making any decision right now about the appropriateness of Doe No. 19 proceeding anonymously because I have no application from him or her before me. What I'm going to do is set this matter down for another conference.

I'll set it down for another conference on October 26 at 3:00, for what I suspect will be an initial conference with Doe No. 19.

MR. MEIER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I'll issue an order to that effect scheduling a conference and requiring Doe 19 to confer with you about a discovery plan and to discuss settlement, and I will consider any application by Doe 19 to proceed anonymously on

October 26. 1 2 MR. MEIER: Yes, your Honor. And I generally do not 3 object to Does proceeding anonymously. 4 THE COURT: That's fine, but I may object. MR. MEIER: 5 Understood, your Honor. 6 Do you think that I'm going to enter THE COURT: 7 orders against someone who is not known to the Court? There's an interesting transparency in the federal courts, so I don't 8 9 know how you can proceed anonymously. I think Doe 19 better 10 acclimate himself or herself to the notion that she or he is 11 going to have to put in an appearance here. 12 MR. MEIER: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I'm going to enter an order today. 14 going to direct you to serve a copy of the order on Doe 19 so 15 that the defendant is aware of the next conference. 16 MR. MEIER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MEIER: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. MEIER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MEIER: Thank you.

THE COURT: I'm going to also direct you to obtain a copy of this transcript so that Doe 19 is informed as to what is going on. And it will be available on the docket.

c97WthiC

MR. MEIER: Yes, sir. I will provide it to chambers the same as last time.

THE COURT: Yes. Perfect.

MR. MEIER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: You're welcome. Have a good weekend.

MR. MEIER: Thank you, your Honor.

(Proceedings adjourned)