UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION

ACHTE/NEUNTE BOLL KINO BTEILIGUNGS GMBH & CO. KG,

Plaintiff,

v. Case No. 2:11-cv-72-FtM-29DNF
MICHELLE FELIX,

Defendant.

RELATED CASE ORDER AND TRACK TWO NOTICE

It is hereby **ORDERED** that, no later than fourteen (14) days from the date of the filing of the complaint (or a copy of a notice of removal) in this Court, counsel and any *pro se* party shall comply with Local Rule 1.04(d), and shall file and serve a certification as to whether the instant action should be designated as a similar or successive case pursuant to Local Rule 1.04(a) or (b). The parties shall utilize the attached form **NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS**. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that, in accordance with Local Rule 3.05, this action is designated a **Track Two** case. All parties must comply with the requirements established in Local Rule 3.05 for Track Two cases. Counsel and any unrepresented party shall meet within sixty days after service of the complaint upon any defendant for the purpose of preparing and filing a Case Management Report. The parties shall utilize the **attached CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT** form. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, a party may not seek discovery from any source before the meeting. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 (d); Local Rule 3.05(c)(2)(B). *Plaintiff (or defendant in a removal case) is*

responsible for serving a copy of this notice and order with attachments upon each party no later than fourteen (14) days after appearance of the party.

February 24, 2011

JOHN E. STEELE

John E. Steele

United States District Court Judge

DOUGLAS N. FRAZIER

Douglas N. Frazier

United States Magistrate Judge

CHARLENE EDWARDS HONEYWELL

Charlene Edwards Honeywell

United States District Court Judge

United States District Court Judge

SHERI POLSTER CHAPPELL

Sheri Polster Chappell

United States Magistrate Judge

Attachments: Notice of Pendency of Other Actions [mandatory form]

Case Management Report [mandatory form]

Note: A Magistrate Consent form, Local Rules and PacerNet can be found on the Court's website at http://www.flmd.uscourts.

Copies: All Parties of Record

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. MYERS DIVISION

ACHTE/NEUNTE BOLL KINO BTEILIGUNGS GMBH & CO. KG,

	Plaintiff,	
v.		Case No. 2:11-cv-72-FtM-29DNF
MICHELLE FE	LIX,	
	Defendant.	
	Notice Of Pende	ENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS
In accorda	nce with Local Rule 1.04(c), I certify that the instant action:
IS	<u> </u>	closed civil or criminal case(s) previously filed in this Federal or State court, or administrative agency as
IS NOT	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	g or closed civil or criminal case filed with this Court, or State court, or administrative agency.
	•	opy of this NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTIONS days after appearance of the party.
Dated:		
[Counsel of Reco	rd or <i>Pro Se</i> Party] Telephone]	[Counsel of Record or <i>Pro Se</i> Party] [Address and Telephone]

United States District Court Middle District of Florida Ft. Myers Division

ACHTE/NEUNTE BOLL KINO	
BTEILIGUNGS GMBH & CO. K	G.

DIELEIGENGS GMBH	<i>x</i> co. n o,	
	Plaintiff,	
v.		Case No. 2:11-cv-72-FtM-29DNF
MICHELLE FELIX,		
	Defendant.	

CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT

The parties have agreed on the following dates and discovery plan pursuant to Fed. R.Civ $\,$.P. 26(f) and Local Rule 3.05(c):

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE			
Mandatory Initial Disclosures (pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1) [Court recommends 30 days after CMR meeting]				
Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement [each party who has not previously filed must file immediately]				
Motions to Add Parties or to Amend Pleadings [Court recommends 1 - 2 months after CMR meeting]				
Disclosure of Expert Reports Plaintiff: Defendant: [Court recommends last exchange 6 months before trial and 1 - 2 months before discovery deadline to allow expert depositions]				

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
Discovery Deadline [Court recommends 5 months before trial to allow time for dispositive motions to be filed and decided; all discovery must be commenced in time to be completed before this date]	
Dispositive Motions, Daubert, and Markman Motions [Court requires 4 months or more before trial term begins]	
Meeting In Person to Prepare Joint Final Pretrial Statement [(14) days before Joint Final Pretrial Statement]	
Joint Final Pretrial Statement (Including a Single Set of Jointly-Proposed Jury Instructions and Verdict Form [a Word or WordPerfect version may be e-mailed to the Chambers mailbox] Voir Dire Questions, Witness Lists, Exhibit Lists with Objections on Approved Form) [Court recommends 3 weeks before Final Pretrial Conference and no later than 7 days before the Final Pretrial Conference]	
All Other Motions Including Motions In Limine, Trial Briefs [Court recommends 3 weeks before Final Pretrial Conference]	
Final Pretrial Conference [Court will set a date that is approximately 3 weeks before trial]	
Trial Term Begins [Local Rule 3.05 (c)(2)(E) sets goal of trial within 1 year of filing complaint in most Track Two cases, and within 2 years in all Track Two cases; trial term <i>must not</i> be less than 4 months after dispositive motions deadline (unless filing of such motions is waived); district judge trial terms begin on the first business day of the first full week of each month; trials before magistrate judges will be set on a date certain after consultation with the parties]	
Estimated Length of Trial [trial days]	
Jury / Non-Jury	

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
MediationDeadline: Mediator: Address:	
Telephone:	
[Absent arbitration, mediation is <i>mandatory</i> ; Court recommends either 2 - 3 months after CMR meeting, or just after discovery deadline]	
All Parties Consent to Proceed Before Magistrate Judge	Yes No
	Likely to Agree in Future

1 The Case Management Report has been amended to conform with amendments and proposed amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or Local Rules

I. Meeting of Parties in Person

II. Preliminary Pretrial Conference

² A copy of the Local Rules may be viewed at http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov

Local Rule 3.05(c)(30(B) provides that preliminary pretrial conferences are mandatory in

Track	Three cases.			
	Track Two cases:	Parties (check one) [] red	quest	[]do not request a
prelim	inary pretrial conference	before entry of a Case Mar	nagement a	nd Scheduling Order in this
track 7	Γwo case. Unresolved is	sues to be addressed at such	a confere	nce include:
III.	Pre-Discovery Initial I	Disclosures of Core Inform	ation	
	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)	O(C) - (D) Disclosures		
	Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, as am	ended, provides that these d	isclosures a	are mandatory in Track Two
and Tra	ack Three cases, except	as stipulated by the parties	or otherwi	se ordered by the court (the
amend	ment(s) to Rule 26 super	sedes Middle district of Flo	rida Local	Rule 3.05, to the extent that
Rule 3.	.05 opts out of the manda	atory discovery requirement	s):	
	The parties have e	xchanged agree to exc	change (chec	ck one)
	information described in	n Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(C) - (D)	
	on b	y (check one)		(date).
	Below is a description of	of information disclosed or s	cheduled f	or disclosure.

IV. Agreed Discovery Plan for Plaintiffs and Defendants

A. Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement —

This Court has previously ordered each party, governmental party, intervenor, non-party movant, and Rule 69 garnishee to file and serve a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement using a mandatory form. No party may seek discovery from any source before filing and serving a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement. A motion, memorandum, response, or other paper — including emergency motion — is subject to being denied or stricken unless the filing party has previously filed and served its Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement. Any party who has not already filed and served the required certificate is required to do so immediately.

• • •	that has appeared in this action to date has fil nd Corporate Disclosure Statement, which re	
Yes		
No	Amended Certificate will be filed be (party) on or before	•

B. Discovery Not Filed —

The parties shall not file discovery materials with the Clerk except as provided in Local Rule 3.03. The Court encourages the exchange of discovery requests on diskette. *See* Local Rule 3.03 (e). The parties further agree as follows:

C. Limits on Discovery —

Absent leave of Court, the parties may take no more than ten depositions per side (not per party). Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 31(a)(2)(A). Absent leave of Court, the parties may serve no more than twenty-five interrogatories, including sub-parts. Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a). The parties may agree by stipulation on other limits on discovery. The Court will consider the parties' agreed dates, deadlines, and other limits in entering the scheduling order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 29. In addition to the deadlines in the above table, the parties have agreed to further limit discovery as follows:

- 1. Depositions
- 2. Interrogatories
- 3. Document Requests

- 4. Requests to Admit
- 5. Supplementation of Discovery

D. Discovery Deadline —

Each party shall timely serve discovery requests so that the rules allow for a response prior to the discovery deadline. The Court may deny as untimely all motions to compel filed after the discovery deadline. In addition, the parties agree as follows:

E. Disclosure of Expert Testimony —

On or before the dates set forth in the above table for the disclosure of expert reports, the parties agree to fully comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) and 26(e). Expert testimony on direct examination at trial will be limited to the opinions, basis, reasons, data, and other information disclosed in the written expert report disclosed pursuant to this order. Failure to disclose such information may result in the exclusion of all or part of the testimony of the expert witness. The parties agree on the following additional matters pertaining to the disclosure of expert testimony:

F. Confidentiality Agreements —

Whether documents filed in a case may be filed under seal is a separate issue from whether the parties may agree that produced documents are confidential. The Court is a public forum, and disfavors motions to file under seal. The Court will permit the parties to file documents under seal only upon a finding of extraordinary circumstances and particularized need. *See Brown v. Advantage Engineering, Inc.*, 960 F.2d 1013 (11th Cir. 1992); *Wilson v. American Motors Corp.*, 759 F.2d 1568 (11th Cir. 1985). A party seeking to file a document under seal must file a motion to file under seal requesting such Court action, together with a memorandum of law in support. (See Local Rule 1.09). The motion, whether granted or denied, will remain in the public record.

The parties may reach their own agreement regarding the designation of materials as "confidential." There is no need for the Court to endorse the confidentiality agreement. The Court discourages unnecessary stipulated motions for a protective order. The Court will enforce appropriate stipulated and signed confidentiality agreements. *See* Local Rule 4.15. Each confidentiality agreement or order shall provide, or shall be deemed to provide, that "no party shall file a document under seal without first having obtained an order granting leave to file under seal

on a sh as follo	_	of particularized need." With respect to confidentiality agreements, the parties agree					
	G.	Electronically Stored Information and Claims of Privilege —					
	Pursua	nt to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, the parties have made the following agreements regarding					
the dis	closure	and discovery of electronically stored information as well as the assertion of					
claims	of privi	lege or protection of trial preparation materials after production:					
 V.		her Matters Regarding Discovery — nent and Alternative Dispute Resolution.					
	A.	Settlement —					
		The parties agree that settlement is					
	likely	unlikely (check one)					
		The parties request a settlement conference before a United States Magistrate					
Judge.							
	yes	no likely to request in future					
	В.	Arbitration —					

L	ocal Rule 8.02(a) defines those civil cases that will be referred to arbitration
automatically. I	Does this case fall within the scope of Local Rule 8.02(a)?
yes	no
F	For cases not falling within the scope of Local Rule 8.02(a), the parties consent to
arbitration pursu	ant to Local Rules 8.02(a)(3) and 8.05(b):
yes _	no likely to agree in future
Binding	gNon-Binding
Ir	n any civil case subject to arbitration, the Court may substitute mediation for
arbitration upon	a determination that the case is susceptible to resolution through mediation. Local
Rule 8.02(b). The	he parties agree that this case is susceptible to resolution through mediation, and
therefore jointly	request mediation in place of arbitration:
yes	no likely to agree in future
C. M	Mediation —

Absent arbitration or a Court order to the contrary, the parties in every case will participate in Court-annexed mediation as detailed in Chapter Nine of the Court's Local Rules. The parties have agreed on a mediator from the Court's approved list of mediators as set forth in the table above, and have agreed to the date stated in the table above as the last date for mediation. The list of mediators is available from the Clerk, and is posted on the Court's web site at http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov.

D. Other Alternative Dispute Resolution —

The parties intend to pursue the following other methods of alternative dispute resolution:

Date:										
Signature of Counsel Unrepresented Parties.	(with	information	required	by	Local	Rule	1.05(d))	and	Signature	of
			_							
			_							
			_	-						