

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

BREAKING GLASS PICTURES,

Plaintiff,

v.

SWARM SHARING HASH FILE

SHA1:

973F491D02C1E0220DBC534D8F8EDC15FC53FAEF;
AND DOES 1 through 91,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.:

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff, Breaking Glass Pictures (hereinafter "Plaintiff") files this Complaint against multiple unknown Defendants and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Plaintiff is the registered owner of the copyright to a motion picture entitled "6 Degrees of Hell" (hereinafter the "Motion Picture"). **THE MOTION PICTURE IS NOT A PORNOGRAPHIC FILM.** A true and correct copy of the Certificate of Registration for the Motion Picture is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

2. Defendants, whose true identities are currently unknown, acted in a collective and interdependent manner in the unlawful reproduction and distribution of the Motion Picture using the "BitTorrent" file transfer protocol.

3. Each time a Defendant unlawfully distributes a copy of the copyrighted Motion Picture to others over the Internet, particularly via BitTorrent, each recipient can then distribute that unlawful copy of the Motion Picture to others without degradation in sound or picture

quality. Thus, a Defendant's distribution of even a single unlawful copy of the Motion Picture can result in the nearly instantaneous worldwide distribution of that single copy to a limitless number of people. In this case, each Defendant's copyright infringement built upon the prior infringements, in a cascade of infringement.

4. Plaintiff seeks redress for Defendants' rampant infringement of its exclusive rights in the Motion Picture, and for injunctive relief to stop Defendants from continuing to infringe upon Plaintiff's copyrighted work.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims for copyright infringement and related claims pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq., and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

6. Defendants either reside in, solicit, transact, or are doing business within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts; and they have committed unlawful and tortious acts both within and outside the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with the full knowledge that their acts would cause injury in the Commonwealth. As such, Defendants have sufficient contacts with this judicial district to permit the Court's exercise of personal jurisdiction over each.

7. Plaintiff's claims arise out of Defendants' conduct which gives rise to personal jurisdiction over Defendants.

8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(2) and 1400(a). Although the true identities of each and every member of the collective formed by Defendants are unknown to Plaintiff at this time, on information and belief, each Defendant may be found in this District and/or a substantial part of the infringing acts complained of herein occurred in this District, and Defendants can reasonably anticipate being haled into court in this District.

THE PARTIES

I. The Plaintiff

9. Breaking Glass Pictures is limited liability company with a place of business located at 133 N. 4th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106.

II. The Defendants

10. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of Doe Defendants 1 through 91 are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff knows each Defendant only by the Internet Protocol ("IP") address assigned to the Internet Service Provider ("ISP") account through which each Defendant accessed the Internet on the date and at the time at which the infringing activity of each Defendant took place. The IP address used by each Defendant thus far identified, together with the date and time at which his or her infringing activity was observed, is listed below. Plaintiff intends to subpoena the ISPs that issued the IP addresses and/or take other discovery in order to learn the identities of the account holders for the below IP addresses.

11. The Defendants are a group of BitTorrent users or peers, known as a "swarm," whose computers are collectively interconnected for the purpose of sharing of a particular unique file. The particular file that a BitTorrent swarm is associated with has a unique "hash" (a file identifier generated by an algorithm developed and implemented by the National Security Agency). The hash in this case is identified as: SHA1:
973F491D02C1E0220DBC534D8F8EDC15FC53FAEF (hereinafter the "Shared Hash").

12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each Defendant was and is the agent of the other Defendants, acting within the purpose and scope of said agency.

Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each Defendant authorized and ratified the conduct herein alleged of each of the other Defendants.

13. Plaintiff believes that information obtained in discovery will lead to the identification of each Defendant. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint to include Defendants' proper names and capacities when they have been determined. Plaintiff further believes that the information obtained in discovery may lead to the identification of additional infringing parties to be added to this action as defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants participated in, and is responsible for, the acts described in this Complaint and the damage resulting therefrom.

14. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that each Defendant named herein performed, participated in, or abetted in some manner, the acts alleged herein, proximately caused the damages alleged, and is liable to Plaintiff for the damages and relief sought herein.

15. Each of the Defendants engaged in their copyright infringement scheme together. Defendants all shared and republished the Motion Picture, and thus collectively participated in the same swarm sharing the Shared Hash.

16. The swarm in this case is not an actual entity, but rather is made up of numerous individuals, acting in concert with each other, to achieve the common goal of infringing upon Plaintiff's copyrights both by illegally duplicating and illegally distributing the Motion Picture. While other members of the swarm may be sued in other jurisdictions, each of the IP addresses noted in this Complaint has specifically been traced to this jurisdiction.

Defendant Doe 1

17. Defendant Doe 1 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.174.129.127.

18. Doe 1 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

19. The infringing activity took place on December 29, 2012, at 9:24 AM.

Defendant Doe 2

20. Defendant Doe 2 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
70.91.139.146.

21. Doe 2 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

22. The infringing activity took place on December 29, 2012, at 11:30 AM.

Defendant Doe 3

23. Defendant Doe 3 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.192.133.51.

24. Doe 3 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

25. The infringing activity took place on December 31, 2012, at 4:20 AM.

Defendant Doe 4

26. Defendant Doe 4 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
68.187.217.170.

27. Doe 4 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

28. The infringing activity took place on December 31, 2012, at 9:10 PM.

Defendant Doe 5

29. Defendant Doe 5 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.107.226.38.

30. Doe 5 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

31. The infringing activity took place on January 2, 2013, at 3:20 AM.

Defendant Doe 6

32. Defendant Doe 6 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
72.74.207.77.

33. Doe 6 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

34. The infringing activity took place on January 2, 2013, at 4:45 AM.

Defendant Doe 7

35. Defendant Doe 7 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.91.206.62.

36. Doe 7 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

37. The infringing activity took place on January 2, 2013, at 9:58 PM.

Defendant Doe 8

38. Defendant Doe 8 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
174.62.175.129.

39. Doe 8 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

40. The infringing activity took place on January 6, 2013, at 11:45 PM.

Defendant Doe 9

41. Defendant Doe 9 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
76.118.238.165.

42. Doe 9 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

43. The infringing activity took place on January 7, 2013, at 6:37 AM.

Defendant Doe 10

44. Defendant Doe 10 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.184.207.37.

45. Doe 10 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

46. The infringing activity took place on January 9, 2013, at 1:29 PM.

Defendant Doe 11

47. Defendant Doe 11 is unknown, but used the following IP address: 24.41.9.93.

48. Doe 11 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

49. The infringing activity took place on January 10, 2013, at 3:09 AM.

Defendant Doe 12

50. Defendant Doe 12 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.184.178.171.

51. Doe 12 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

52. The infringing activity took place on January 10, 2013, at 9:12 PM.

Defendant Doe 13

53. Defendant Doe 13 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.147.169.156.

54. Doe 13 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

55. The infringing activity took place on January 11, 2013, at 1:22 AM.

Defendant Doe 14

56. Defendant Doe 14 is unknown, but used the following IP address:

24.63.106.163.

57. Doe 14 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

58. The infringing activity took place on January 12, 2013, at 9:40 PM.

Defendant Doe 15

59. Defendant Doe 15 is unknown, but used the following IP address:

74.104.173.30.

60. Doe 15 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

61. The infringing activity took place on January 13, 2013, at 8:27 PM.

Defendant Doe 16

62. Defendant Doe 16 is unknown, but used the following IP address:

24.177.55.239.

63. Doe 16 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

64. The infringing activity took place on January 14, 2013, at 9:02 PM.

Defendant Doe 17

65. Defendant Doe 17 is unknown, but used the following IP address:

71.126.54.246.

66. Doe 17 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

67. The infringing activity took place on January 15, 2013, at 6:30 PM.

Defendant Doe 18

68. Defendant Doe 18 is unknown, but used the following IP address:

71.87.213.90.

69. Doe 18 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

70. The infringing activity took place on January 16, 2013, at 1:34 AM.

Defendant Doe 19

71. Defendant Doe 19 is unknown, but used the following IP address:

24.177.55.239.

72. Doe 19 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

73. The infringing activity took place on January 16, 2013, at 6:05 PM.

Defendant Doe 20

74. Defendant Doe 20 is unknown, but used the following IP address:

98.216.47.152.

75. Doe 20 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

76. The infringing activity took place on January 17, 2013, at 12:02 AM.

Defendant Doe 21

77. Defendant Doe 21 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.233.48.139.

78. Doe 21 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

79. The infringing activity took place on January 17, 2013, at 2:14 AM.

Defendant Doe 22

80. Defendant Doe 22 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.49.162.142.

81. Doe 22 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

82. The infringing activity took place on January 19, 2013, at 2:05 PM.

Defendant Doe 23

83. Defendant Doe 23 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.63.62.128.

84. Doe 23 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

85. The infringing activity took place on January 20, 2013, at 1:22 AM.

Defendant Doe 24

86. Defendant Doe 24 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.235.222.218.

87. Doe 24 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

88. The infringing activity took place on January 20, 2013, at 6:14 PM.

Defendant Doe 25

89. Defendant Doe 25 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.49.163.188.

90. Doe 25 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

91. The infringing activity took place on January 21, 2013, at 8:56 PM.

Defendant Doe 26

92. Defendant Doe 26 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.20.252.22.

93. Doe 26 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

94. The infringing activity took place on January 22, 2013, at 10:42 PM.

Defendant Doe 27

95. Defendant Doe 27 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
69.64.109.2.

96. Doe 27 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

97. The infringing activity took place on January 23, 2013, at 5:05 PM.

Defendant Doe 28

98. Defendant Doe 28 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.49.162.178.

99. Doe 28 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

100. The infringing activity took place on January 23, 2013, at 10:43 PM.

Defendant Doe 29

101. Defendant Doe 29 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.20.246.204.

102. Doe 29 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

103. The infringing activity took place on January 24, 2013, at 4:11 AM.

Defendant Doe 30

104. Defendant Doe 30 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.20.249.246.

105. Doe 30 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

106. The infringing activity took place on January 25, 2013, at 12:59 AM.

Defendant Doe 31

107. Defendant Doe 31 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.183.189.179.

108. Doe 31 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

109. The infringing activity took place on January 25, 2013, at 10:51 AM.

Defendant Doe 32

110. Defendant Doe 32 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.49.161.237.

111. Doe 32 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

112. The infringing activity took place on January 25, 2013, at 6:03 PM.

Defendant Doe 33

113. Defendant Doe 33 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.192.36.252.

114. Doe 33 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

115. The infringing activity took place on January 25, 2013, at 9:08 PM.

Defendant Doe 34

116. Defendant Doe 34 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.20.240.86.

117. Doe 34 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

118. The infringing activity took place on January 26, 2013, at 12:46 AM.

Defendant Doe 35

119. Defendant Doe 35 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
76.19.20.64.

120. Doe 35 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

121. The infringing activity took place on January 26, 2013, at 1:49 AM.

Defendant Doe 36

122. Defendant Doe 36 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.49.162.210.

123. Doe 36 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

124. The infringing activity took place on January 26, 2013, at 4:20 AM.

Defendant Doe 37

125. Defendant Doe 37 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
66.31.233.16.

126. Doe 37 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

127. The infringing activity took place on January 27, 2013, at 8:36 PM.

Defendant Doe 38

128. Defendant Doe 38 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
209.6.70.64.

129. Doe 38 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

130. The infringing activity took place on January 28, 2013, at 2:29 AM.

Defendant Doe 39

131. Defendant Doe 39 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.34.247.182.

132. Doe 39 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

133. The infringing activity took place on January 28, 2013, at 3:21 PM.

Defendant Doe 40

134. Defendant Doe 40 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.20.244.134.

135. Doe 40 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

136. The infringing activity took place on February 1, 2013, at 4:15 PM.

Defendant Doe 41

137. Defendant Doe 41 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
76.19.24.50.

138. Doe 41 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

139. The infringing activity took place on February 3, 2013, at 2:57 PM.

Defendant Doe 42

140. Defendant Doe 42 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
72.93.170.160.

141. Doe 42 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

142. The infringing activity took place on February 3, 2013, at 3:46 PM.

Defendant Doe 43

143. Defendant Doe 43 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
173.166.98.78.

144. Doe 43 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

145. The infringing activity took place on February 4, 2013, at 3:20 PM.

Defendant Doe 44

146. Defendant Doe 44 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
96.237.197.232.

147. Doe 44 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

148. The infringing activity took place on February 5, 2013, at 6:31 PM.

Defendant Doe 45

149. Defendant Doe 45 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
98.229.77.107.

150. Doe 45 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

151. The infringing activity took place on February 5, 2013, at 9:34 PM.

Defendant Doe 46

152. Defendant Doe 46 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.49.163.198.

153. Doe 46 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

154. The infringing activity took place on February 5, 2013, at 10:59 PM.

Defendant Doe 47

155. Defendant Doe 47 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
98.216.115.20.

156. Doe 47 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

157. The infringing activity took place on February 5, 2013, at 11:17 PM.

Defendant Doe 48

158. Defendant Doe 48 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.20.249.201.

159. Doe 48 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

160. The infringing activity took place on February 5, 2013, at 11:43 PM.

Defendant Doe 49

161. Defendant Doe 49 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.255.174.162.

162. Doe 49 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

163. The infringing activity took place on February 6, 2013, at 3:53 AM.

Defendant Doe 50

164. Defendant Doe 50 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
50.138.213.129.

165. Doe 50 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

166. The infringing activity took place on February 6, 2013, at 4:24 AM.

Defendant Doe 51

167. Defendant Doe 51 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
50.138.253.195.

168. Doe 51 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

169. The infringing activity took place on February 6, 2013, at 9:22 PM.

Defendant Doe 52

170. Defendant Doe 52 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.34.229.63.

171. Doe 52 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

172. The infringing activity took place on February 7, 2013, at 5:02 AM.

Defendant Doe 53

173. Defendant Doe 53 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.235.247.108.

174. Doe 53 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

175. The infringing activity took place on February 7, 2013, at 4:01 PM.

Defendant Doe 54

176. Defendant Doe 54 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
216.211.248.148.

177. Doe 54 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

178. The infringing activity took place on February 7, 2013, at 8:19 PM.

Defendant Doe 55

179. Defendant Doe 55 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.63.151.196.

180. Doe 55 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

181. The infringing activity took place on February 7, 2013, at 9:11 PM.

Defendant Doe 56

182. Defendant Doe 56 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
173.48.94.38.

183. Doe 56 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

184. The infringing activity took place on February 7, 2013, at 10:17 PM.

Defendant Doe 57

185. Defendant Doe 57 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
50.137.98.184.

186. Doe 57 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

187. The infringing activity took place on February 8, 2013, at 12:35 AM.

Defendant Doe 58

188. Defendant Doe 58 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.60.15.97.

189. Doe 58 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

190. The infringing activity took place on February 8, 2013, at 7:21 AM.

Defendant Doe 59

191. Defendant Doe 59 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
75.68.106.168.

192. Doe 59 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

193. The infringing activity took place on February 8, 2013, at 4:50 PM.

Defendant Doe 60

194. Defendant Doe 60 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
65.110.130.60.

195. Doe 60 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

196. The infringing activity took place on February 9, 2013, at 12:13 AM.

Defendant Doe 61

197. Defendant Doe 61 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.41.16.198.

198. Doe 61 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

199. The infringing activity took place on February 9, 2013, at 12:50 AM.

Defendant Doe 62

200. Defendant Doe 62 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
107.3.20.118.

201. Doe 62 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

202. The infringing activity took place on February 9, 2013, at 1:53 AM.

Defendant Doe 63

203. Defendant Doe 63 is unknown, but used the following IP address: 209.6.66.4.

204. Doe 63 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

205. The infringing activity took place on February 9, 2013, at 1:58 AM.

Defendant Doe 64

206. Defendant Doe 64 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.192.61.29.

207. Doe 64 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

208. The infringing activity took place on February 9, 2013, at 5:51 AM.

Defendant Doe 65

209. Defendant Doe 65 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.183.176.74.

210. Doe 65 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

211. The infringing activity took place on February 9, 2013, at 7:46 AM.

Defendant Doe 66

212. Defendant Doe 66 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
98.229.248.168.

213. Doe 66 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

214. The infringing activity took place on February 9, 2013, at 11:50 AM.

Defendant Doe 67

215. Defendant Doe 67 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
98.229.77.107.

216. Doe 67 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

217. The infringing activity took place on February 9, 2013, at 6:36 PM.

Defendant Doe 68

218. Defendant Doe 68 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.147.255.9.

219. Doe 68 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

220. The infringing activity took place on February 11, 2013, at 4:46 AM.

Defendant Doe 69

221. Defendant Doe 69 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.60.140.239.

222. Doe 69 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

223. The infringing activity took place on February 12, 2013, at 4:41 AM.

Defendant Doe 70

224. Defendant Doe 70 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
72.74.27.201.

225. Doe 70 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

226. The infringing activity took place on February 13, 2013, at 12:01 AM.

Defendant Doe 71

227. Defendant Doe 71 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
66.30.79.183.

228. Doe 71 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

229. The infringing activity took place on February 13, 2013, at 7:03 AM.

Defendant Doe 72

230. Defendant Doe 72 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.218.53.11.

231. Doe 72 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

232. The infringing activity took place on February 14, 2013, at 12:07 AM.

Defendant Doe 73

233. Defendant Doe 73 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
209.6.178.208.

234. Doe 73 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

235. The infringing activity took place on February 14, 2013, at 3:04 AM.

Defendant Doe 74

236. Defendant Doe 74 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.20.245.243.

237. Doe 74 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

238. The infringing activity took place on February 14, 2013, at 4:14 AM.

Defendant Doe 75

239. Defendant Doe 75 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
76.19.51.186.

240. Doe 75 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

241. The infringing activity took place on February 15, 2013, at 2:33 AM.

Defendant Doe 76

242. Defendant Doe 76 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
108.20.251.17.

243. Doe 76 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

244. The infringing activity took place on February 15, 2013, at 6:17 AM.

Defendant Doe 77

245. Defendant Doe 77 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
107.3.47.183.

246. Doe 77 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

247. The infringing activity took place on February 15, 2013, at 6:32 PM.

Defendant Doe 78

248. Defendant Doe 78 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
98.229.49.31.

249. Doe 78 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

250. The infringing activity took place on February 16, 2013, at 3:16 AM.

Defendant Doe 79

251. Defendant Doe 79 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.87.213.247.

252. Doe 79 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

253. The infringing activity took place on February 17, 2013, at 6:24 AM.

Defendant Doe 80

254. Defendant Doe 80 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.184.142.67.

255. Doe 80 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

256. The infringing activity took place on February 19, 2013, at 7:44 PM.

Defendant Doe 81

257. Defendant Doe 81 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
72.93.108.188.

258. Doe 81 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

259. The infringing activity took place on February 19, 2013, at 11:17 PM.

Defendant Doe 82

260. Defendant Doe 82 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
76.24.177.113.

261. Doe 82 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

262. The infringing activity took place on February 23, 2013, at 2:19 AM.

Defendant Doe 83

263. Defendant Doe 83 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
75.68.252.58.

264. Doe 83 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

265. The infringing activity took place on February 24, 2013, at 12:44 AM.

Defendant Doe 84

266. Defendant Doe 84 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.174.70.99.

267. Doe 84 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

268. The infringing activity took place on February 24, 2013, at 9:39 AM.

Defendant Doe 85

269. Defendant Doe 85 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
98.216.211.250.

270. Doe 85 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

271. The infringing activity took place on February 24, 2013, at 7:03 PM.

Defendant Doe 86

272. Defendant Doe 86 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.232.85.179.

273. Doe 86 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

274. The infringing activity took place on February 25, 2013, at 10:11 PM.

Defendant Doe 87

275. Defendant Doe 87 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
68.112.252.7.

276. Doe 87 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

277. The infringing activity took place on February 26, 2013, at 12:51 AM.

Defendant Doe 88

278. Defendant Doe 88 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
75.150.90.6.

279. Doe 88 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

280. The infringing activity took place on February 26, 2013, at 6:14 AM.

Defendant Doe 89

281. Defendant Doe 89 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.218.53.11.

282. Doe 89 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

283. The infringing activity took place on February 27, 2013, at 12:05 AM.

Defendant Doe 90

284. Defendant Doe 90 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
24.91.238.196.

285. Doe 90 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

286. The infringing activity took place on February 27, 2013, at 11:45 PM.

Defendant Doe 91

287. Defendant Doe 91 is unknown, but used the following IP address:
71.233.2.209.

288. Doe 91 used this IP address to illegally copy and illegally republish and distribute copies of the Motion Picture, through the use of the Shared Hash, to an unknown number of other individuals over the Internet.

289. The infringing activity took place on February 28, 2013, at 12:55 AM.

III. Copyright And BitTorrent

290. BitTorrent is a peer-to-peer (hereinafter "P2P") file-sharing protocol used for distributing and sharing data on the Internet, including files containing digital versions of motion pictures. Rather than downloading a file from a single source, the BitTorrent protocol allows users to join a "swarm," or group of hosts, to download and upload from each other simultaneously. The process works as follows:

291. First, a user downloads a torrent file onto his or her computer. These torrent files do not contain audio or visual media, but instruct the user's BitTorrent program where to go and how to obtain the desired content. The torrent file contains a unique "hash code" known as the SHA-1 hash — which is a unique identifier generated by a mathematical algorithm developed by the National Security Agency. This torrent file also contains a "roadmap" to the IP addresses of other users who are sharing the media file identified by the unique hash code,

as well as specifics about the media file. The media file could be any large file, including a digital motion picture or a music file.

292. Because BitTorrent client software generally lacks the ability to search for torrents, end-users use search engines or other websites that contain indices of torrent files to locate torrent files being made available by other BitTorrent users.

293. Second, the user opens the torrent file with a BitTorrent program, also known as a BitTorrent "client" application, which is capable of reading the roadmap encoded in the torrent file. This client program, after reading the roadmap, connects "uploaders" of the file (i.e., individuals that are distributing the content) with "downloaders" of the file (i.e., individuals that are copying the content). During this process, the BitTorrent client reaches out to one or more "trackers" that are identified on the roadmap. A tracker is an Internet server application that records the IP addresses associated with users who are currently sharing any number of media files identified by their unique hash values and then directs a BitTorrent user's computer to other users who have the particular file each user is seeking to download.

294. Each IP address identified by the tracker is an uploading user who is currently running a BitTorrent client on his or her computer and who is currently offering the desired media file for download. The downloading user's BitTorrent software then begins downloading the media file by communicating with the BitTorrent client programs running on the uploading users' computers.

295. The life cycle of a file shared using BitTorrent begins with just one individual — the initial propagator, sometimes called a "seed" user or "seeder." The initial propagator intentionally elects to share a file with a torrent swarm. The original file, in this case, contains Plaintiff's entire copyrighted Motion Picture.

296. Other members of the swarm connect to the seed to download the file, wherein the download creates an exact digital copy of the media file on the downloaders' computers. As additional users request the same file, he or she joins the collective swarm, and each receives pieces of the file from every other person in the swarm who have already downloaded any part of the file. Eventually, once the initial propagator has distributed each piece of the file to at least one swarm member, so that together all pieces downloaded comprise the entire media file when reassembled, the initial propagator may leave the swarm, and the remaining members can still obtain a full copy of the media file by exchanging the pieces of the media file that each one has.

297. Files downloaded using the aforementioned method are received in hundreds or even thousands of individual pieces. Each piece may be contributed from a different member of the swarm. Moreover, each piece that is downloaded is immediately thereafter made available for distribution to other users seeking the same complete media file. Thus, the effect of this technology is to make every downloader of the content also an uploader. This means that every user who has a copy of the infringing media file in a swarm may also be a source for later downloaders of that media file.

298. The distributive nature of BitTorrent leads to a rapid viral sharing of a file throughout the collective of peer users. As more peers join the collective swarm, the frequency of successful downloads also increases. Because of the nature of the BitTorrent protocol, any seed peer that has downloaded a file prior to the time that a subsequent peer downloads the same file is automatically a source for the subsequent peer, so long as that first peer is online at the time the subsequent peer requests the file from the swarm. Because of the nature of the collective swarm, every infringer is — and by necessity all infringers together are —

simultaneously both stealing the Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture and illegally redistributing it.

299. Plaintiff has verified that each Defendant named herein actually published the Motion Picture via BitTorrent. At various times, Plaintiff discovered and documented the Motion Picture being publicly distributed by Defendants through the BitTorrent network.

300. Plaintiff's Motion Picture is easily discernable as a professional work. Plaintiff created the work using actors, directors, cinematographers, camera crews, production designers and editors.

301. Each legitimate copy of the Motion Picture is marked with a copyright notice, a warning that unauthorized copying is illegal and will be prosecuted.

302. Defendants, without authorization, copied and distributed the work owned by and registered to Plaintiff in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1) and (3).

IV. Defendants Are Members Of A Single BitTorrent Swarm

303. Defendants are peer members who have all collectively participated in the same P2P network swarm that was utilized to unlawfully infringe upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the Motion Picture without permission.

304. Defendants initiated their infringement by searching for and obtaining a torrent file containing information sufficient to locate and download Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture. Thereafter, each Defendant opened the torrent file using a BitTorrent client application that was specifically developed to reach such files.

305. The unique file identifier generated by an algorithm developed by the National Security Agency associated with the instant action is the SHA1:
973F491D02C1E0220DBC534D8F8EDC15FC53FAEF Hash. Each Defendant is a member of

the same collective swarm associated with the Shared Hash, and each acted collectively, and in concert, in effectuating the illegal and unauthorized sharing of the Motion Picture.

306. Each Defendant owns or otherwise had or has control of a different computer collectively connected to the Internet that contained — or possibly still contains — a torrent file identifying the Motion Picture. Each computer also contained or still contains the Motion Picture, which was downloaded using the information encoded in that torrent file.

307. Due to the fact that some IP addresses are "dynamic" (that is, a single computer could be assigned a different IP address on different dates or times), or that persons have access to and often use more than one computer, it is possible that various Defendants named in this Complaint actually could be the same person.

308. All Defendants republished and duplicated the Motion Picture. Moreover, as members of the same swarm, Defendants republished, duplicated, and replicated the precise same copy and same Shared Hash version of the Motion Picture, thus demonstrating that all of Defendants shared and replicated the Motion Picture with one another, thus linking them all together in a vast conspiracy and concerted effort to deprive Plaintiff of its exclusive rights in the Motion Picture under the Copyright Act.

309. The Defendant peers each utilized a torrent file to upload and download Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture without permission through use of the BitTorrent file transfer protocol.

310. Each Defendant peer, consistent with using a BitTorrent P2P network, obtained a torrent file containing sufficient information to locate and download a copy of the Motion Picture.

311. After each Defendant peer downloaded a torrent containing information concerning sources of the copyrighted Motion Picture, each Defendant used that information to connect to other Defendants for the purpose of sharing the Motion Picture with other members of the BitTorrent collective network.

312. The copyrighted Motion Picture was then uploaded and downloaded through a single swarm collective among the various Defendants acting in concert — all members sharing the same exact file, using the same exact hash identifier.

313. Once connected to the BitTorrent swarm sharing Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture, the Defendant peers shared the Motion Picture between each other by trading small portions of the file containing a digital copy of the Motion Picture. More precisely, the BitTorrent network divided the Motion Picture into many small pieces and distributed these pieces throughout the swarm until each of the collectively participating Defendants in the swarm had a partial or complete infringing copy of the Motion Picture.

92. Based on this information, Defendants all participated in the same collective swarm, infringing upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the Motion Picture by uploading (distributing) and downloading (reproducing) Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture, and through their actions each Defendant assisted each and every other Defendant, each member of the P2P network swarm, by illegally downloading Plaintiff's copyrighted Motion Picture.

COUNT I
(Copyright Infringement 17 U.S.C. § 501)

93. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each paragraph above.

94. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times has been, the copyright owner of the Motion Picture infringed upon by all Defendants. The copyright to the Motion Picture is protected under Title 17 of the United States Code.

95. Among the exclusive rights granted to Plaintiff under the Copyright Act are the exclusive rights to reproduce and distribute the Motion Picture — rights upon which Defendants maliciously and intentionally infringed.

96. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants have used, and continue to use, the BitTorrent file transfer protocol to make infringing copies of the Motion Picture, to distribute the Motion Picture to the public, and/or make the Motion Picture available for distribution to others, including other BitTorrent users. All such uses were without any valid permission, consent or other authorization from or by Plaintiff. In doing so, Defendants have violated Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution. Defendants' actions constitute infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights and exclusive rights under the Copyright Act.

97. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the foregoing acts of infringement were willful and intentional.

98. As a result of Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights and exclusive rights under the Copyright Act, Plaintiff is entitled to either actual or statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), and to its attorney fees pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

99. The conduct of Defendants is causing and will continue to cause Plaintiff great and irreparable injury. Such harm will continue unless Defendants are enjoined from such conduct by this Court. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting Defendants from further infringing

Plaintiff's copyrights, and ordering Defendants to destroy all copies of the Motion Picture made in violation of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under the Copyright Act.

COUNT II
(Contributory Copyright Infringement)

100. The Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each paragraph above.

101. "Torrenting" is similar conceptually to constructing a puzzle, in that a file is deconstructed into tiny pieces and then the pieces are uploaded and distributed among one or more peers. When an infringer seeks to download the original file, he or she downloads a torrent file containing information as to where each of the distributed pieces of the file can be found and how to contact each peer who has uploaded a piece of the file. Each torrent file that contains information about the same original file contains the same "hash" value. This torrent file is capable of locating the unique corresponding pieces that make up the original file (and copies of the original file). Once all of the pieces are located and downloaded they are reconstructed back into the original order completing the entire original copyrighted file, much like one would assemble the pieces of a puzzle to complete the final image.

102. When, as in this case, each user possesses the same infringing work with the same hash value, it is because each infringer possesses an exact digital copy, containing the exact bits unique to that file, of the original work. In essence, although hundreds of users may be uploading the copyrighted work, each will only receive the exact parts of a singular upload, not a compilation of available pieces from various uploads.

103. Here, each Defendant published the precise same Shared Hash to the BitTorrent network.

104. Each Defendant downloaded, uploaded and distributed the Motion Picture to each other, in concert with one another and through the use of the exact same protocol.

105. Because each Defendant was involved in uploading and downloading the Motion Picture, using the same hash value, in the same general timeframe, the occurrence of events at issue in this Complaint is common to all Defendants, making them properly joined in this action.

106. BitTorrent users upload infringing works in concert in order to gain access to and gain the ability to download other infringing, copyrighted works.

107. As each of the people who illegally downloaded the Motion Picture accessed the Shared Hash, they derived portions of their illegal replication of the file from multiple persons, including the Defendants in this action.

108. The Defendants knew of the infringement, were conscious of their own infringement, and were conscious of the fact that multiple other persons likely derivatively downloaded the file containing the Motion Picture.

109. The infringement by other BitTorrent users could not have occurred but for Defendants' material contribution to the infringing activities of the other users in uploading the Motion Picture. As such, Defendants' participation in the infringing activities of others is substantial.

110. Defendants each profited from their contributory infringement by obtaining an illicit copy of the Motion Picture without paying for it.

COUNT III
(Civil Conspiracy)

111. The Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in each paragraph above.

112. Defendants entered into various agreements to use the BitTorrent file transfer protocol to make copies of the Motion Picture, distribute the Motion Picture to the public and/or make the Motion Picture available for distribution to others in violation of the Copyright Act.

113. As a result of Defendants conspiracies, Plaintiff has been injured and suffered damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Breaking Glass Pictures prays that this Court:

- (a) Enter a judgment in favor of Plaintiff on all Counts of this Complaint;
- (b) Award, at Plaintiff's election, all actual damages or statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504, resulting from Defendants' conduct, as described above;
- (c) Enter a permanent injunction: (i) enjoining each Defendant from directly or indirectly infringing upon Plaintiff's copyrights in the Motion Picture or any other works, whether now in existence or later created, that are owned or controlled by Plaintiff (or any parent, subsidiary, or affiliate of Plaintiff), including without limitation, by using the Internet or any online media distribution system to reproduce (i.e., download) any of Plaintiff's works, to distribute (i.e., upload) any of Plaintiff's works, or to make any of Plaintiff's works available for distribution to the public, except pursuant to a lawful license or with Plaintiff's express consent; and (ii) ordering each Defendant to destroy all copies of 6 Degrees of Hell that he or she has downloaded onto any computer hard drive or server and destroy all copies of those downloaded copies transferred onto any physical medium or device in each Defendant's possession, custody, or control;
- (d) Award Plaintiff all costs, expenses and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with this matter; and
- (e) Award Plaintiff such other and further relief that justice so requires.

PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: March 29, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

BREAKING GLASS PICTURES

By its attorneys

/s/ Alexandra Capachietti
Shepard Davidson, Esq. (BBO#557082)
sdavidson@burnslev.com
Alexandra Capachietti, Esq. (BBO#666765)
acapachietti@burnslev.com

Burns & Levinson LLP
125 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 345-3000
Facsimile: (617) 345-3299

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document filed through the CM/ECF system will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (“NEF”) and paper copies will be sent to those indicated as non-registered participants on March 29, 2013.

Dated: March 29, 2013

/s/ Alexandra Capachietti
Alexandra Capachietti