	- Caccontrat of cacco and a partition of the agent of caccontrate and a partition of cac
1	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
2	Prenda Law Inc. 38 Miller Avenue, #263
3	Mill Valley, CA 94941 415-325-5900
4	blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com
5	Attorney for Plaintiff
6	IN THE INITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
10	
11	HARD DRIVE PRODUCTIONS, INC.,) No. C-11-02330 EDL
12	Plaintiff, NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY
13	v.) DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE ONLY AS TO ONE
14	DOES 1-53, ANONYMOUS DOE DEFENDANT
15	Defendants.)
16	
17	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE
18	ONLY AS TO ONE ANONYMOUS DOE DEFENDANT
19	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
20	41(a)(1), Plaintiff voluntary dismisses all claims without prejudice brought in this action against a
21	
22	single anonymous Doe Defendant associated with the following Internet Protocol ("IP") address:
23	67.169.122.92. As noted on Exhibit A to Plaintiff's Complaint, this IP address was issued by
24	Comcast Cable Communications, and Doe Defendant was personally observed by Plaintiff's agents
25	using this IP address in the particular swarm identified in this case unlawfully infringing Plaintiff's
26	copyrighted works in April of 2011. ¹ Further, from other information gained through Plaintiff's
27	
28	¹ This of course, is not the only times this Doe Defendant was in the swarm, but rather the only times personally observed and his or her available information captured by Plaintiff's agents' proprietary technology.

	<u> </u>
1	agents' proprietary technology and general research, this Doe Defendant appears to have not only
2	infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted works in this case, but also used the same IP address to
3	infringe upon other works produced by Plaintiff. Plaintiff hereby chooses to dismisses Doe
4	Defendant associated with IP addresses 67.169.122.92 without prejudice to pursue that individual in
5	a separate consolidated lawsuit.
6	In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1), the Doe Defendant has neither
7 8	filed an answer to Plaintiff's Complaint, nor a motion for summary judgment. Dismissal under
9	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1) is therefore appropriate.
10	
11	Plaintiff still maintains its claims against the other active anonymous Doe Defendant(s)
12	remaining in this action, and reserves the right to name such individuals and/or serve them when in
13	possession of their identifying information in this case.
14	Respectfully Submitted,
15	
16	PRENDA LAW INC.,
17	DATED: November 18, 2011
18	By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq
19 20	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Prenda Law Inc.
21	38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941
22	blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2 NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ONE DOE DEFENDANT WITHOUT PREJUDICE No. C-11-02330 EDL
	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ONE DOE DEPENDANT WITHOUT FREJUDICE INC. C-11-02530 EDL

1	
2	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
3	The undersigned hereby certifies that on November 17, 2011, all individuals of record who deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served a true and correct copy of foregoing document, and all attachments and related documents, using the Court's ECF system compliance with Local Rule 5-6 and General Order 45.
4	
5	
6	
7	/s/ Brett L. Gibbs Brett L. Gibbs, Esq.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
_0	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ONE DOE DEFENDANT WITHOUT PREJUDICE No. C-11-02330 EDL