```
1
             DEPOSITION OF ARTHUR BILGER,
 2
             taken on behalf of the Plaintiff at
 3.
             880 Apollo Street , Suite 222,
 4
             El Segundo, California, on Tuesday,
 5
             June 5, 2007, at 1:10 P.M.
 6
             before Chia Mei Jui, CSR No. 3287.
 7
     APPEARANCES:
 8
             For Plaintiff:
 9
               IO GROUP, INC.
               BY: GILL SPERLEIN, GENERAL COUNSEL
10
               121 Capp Street
11
               Suite 200
               San Francisco, California 94110-1209
12
               (415) 487-1211
13
             For Defendant Veoh Networks, Inc., and
14
             Shelter Capital Partners, LLC, and the
             Deponent Arthur Bilger:
15
               WINSTON & STRAWN, LLP
16
                    JENNIFER A. GOLINVEAUX, ESQ.
               101 California Street
17
               San Francisco, California 94111-5894
               (415) 591-1506
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                        2
```

1	INDEX
2	WITNESS PAGE
3	· ARTHUR BILGER
4	By Mr. Sperlein 4
5	by Mr. Sperrein 4
6	EXHIBITS
7	PLAINTIFF'S PAGE
8	1 Amended Notice of Deposition of 45 Shelter Capital Partners, LLC
9	Pursuant to FRCP 30(b)(6)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	INFORMATION REQUESTED
17	(None.)
18	
19	QUESTIONS MARKED
20	(None.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	3

1	El Segundo, California
2	Tuesday, June 5, 2007
3	1:10 P.M.
4	
5	-000-
6	
7	ARTHUR BILGER,
8	having been duly sworn
9	to tell the truth,
10	was examined and testified as follows:
11	
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. SPERLEIN:
14	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Bilger.
13:10:32 15	Thank you for coming. I know you're busy.
16	I'm going to try to keep things as short as possible
17	today. And I hope it wasn't too far for you. We
18	tried to get a location that was convenient.
19	Have you ever had your deposition taken
13:10:45 20	before?
21	A Yes.
22	Q So you are familiar with this process. But
23	I am going to go over the ground rules just to make
24	sure that we have a record, that we're clear on that
13:10:53 25	process.
	4

13:55:43	1	A Not necessarily.
	2	Q Did Veoh ever indicate to you that with the
	3	appearance of YouTube.com coming onto the scene that
	4	there was a requirement that content got put onto
13:56:01	5	the system at a faster pace than previously
	6	required?
	7	MS. GOLINVEAUX: Object to the extent the
	8	question is misleading and misstates facts and
	9	mischaracterizes evidence in this case.
13:56:17	10	BY MR. SPERLEIN:
	11	Q Did Veoh ever give you any indication that
	12	that was the case?
	13	MS. GOLINVEAUX: Would you read back the
	14	question, please.
13:56:23	15	(The record was read.)
	16	THE WITNESS: Not that I recall.
	17	BY MR. SPERLEIN:
	18	Q Did Veoh ever indicate that, because of the
	19	popularity of YouTube, that they needed to change
13:57:01	20	any procedures relating to the Veoh system?
	21	A Nothing specific that I can recall.
	22	Q Was there any kind of general awareness of
	23	YouTube and changes that needed to be made because
	24	of that?
13:57:22	25	A Not that I recall.
		32

13:57:44	1	Q Did Veoh have discussions with you about
	2	how their technology would work generally?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q Did Veoh ever specifically tell you that,
13:57:57	5	when a video file was submitted, that the technology
	6	in use would create still images from that video
	7	file?
	8	A Not that I recall.
	9	Q Did Shelter know that Veoh would permit
13:58:17	10	sexually explicit video files on their Web site?
	11	Let me rephrase that restate that.
	12	Did Shelter know that Veoh would allow
	13	users to submit sexually explicit content to the
	14	Veoh platform?
13:58:38	15	MS. GOLINVEAUX: I'm sorry.
	16	Could you read back the question, please.
	17	(The record was read.)
	18	THE WITNESS: At some point, yes.
	19	BY MR. SPERLEIN:
13:58:59	20	Q At some point Shelter became aware of that;
	21	is that
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Do you know at what point?
	24	A Spring of '06.
13:59:31	25	Q Do you recall how Shelter Capital became
	·	33

1	I, CHIA MEI JUI, CSR 3287, certify:
2	That the foregoing deposition of
3	ARTHUR BILGER was taken before me at the time and
4	place therein set forth, at which time the witness
5	declared under penalty of perjury to tell the truth;
6	That the testimony of the witness and all
7	objections made at the time of the deposition were
8	recorded stenographically by me and were reduced to
9	a computerized transcript under my direction;
10	That the transcript is a true record of the
11	testimony of the witness and of all objections and
12	colloquy made at the time of the deposition.
13	I further certify that I am neither counsel
14	for nor related to any party to said action nor
15	interested in the outcome thereof.
16	The certification of this transcript does
17	not apply to any of the same by any means unless
18	under the direct control and direction of the
19	certifying deposition reporter.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my
21	name this 18th day of June, 2006.
22	•
23	An: = /
24	Chianel Jul, CSR No. 3287
25	