

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**\*E-FILED 11/9/2007\***

NOT FOR CITATION  
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

IO GROUP, INC.,

No. C06-03926 HRL

Plaintiff,

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING**

v.

**[Docket No. 109]**

VEOH NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Upon consideration of plaintiff's "Administrative Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Brief Explaining Relevance of Recently Issued Authority," and defendant's opposition to that motion, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

Plaintiff's motion for leave to file supplemental briefing is GRANTED. Defendant shall have until **November 16, 2007** to file a response to plaintiff's supplemental brief, which was filed concurrently with its motion.

Dated: November 9, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HOWARD R. LLOYD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**5:06-cv-3926 Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

Michael S. Elkin melkin@winston.com, rpagliari@winston.com  
Jennifer A. Golinveaux jgolinveaux@winston.com, docketsf@winston.com,  
mabutler@winston.com, vebert@winston.com  
Matthew Alex Scherb mscherb@winston.com, laegan@winston.com  
Dennis Gill Sperlein legal@titanmedia.com

**Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.**