

1 Dean A. Morehous (CA Bar No. 111841) dam@thelenreid.com
 2 THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
 3 101 Second Street
 4 Suite 1800
 5 San Francisco, CA 94105
 6 Telephone: (415) 371-1200
 7 Facsimile: (415) 371-1211

E-FILED ON 9/14/06

8 Michael S. Elkin (*pro hac vice pending*) melkin@thelenreid.com
 9 Paul M. Fakler (*pro hac vice pending*) pfakler@thelenreid.com
 10 THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
 11 875 Third Avenue
 12 New York, New York 10022
 13 Telephone: (212) 603-2000
 14 Facsimile: (212) 603-2001

15 Attorneys for Defendant
 16 VEOH NETWORKS, INC.

17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 18 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 19 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

20 IO GROUP, INC., a California Corporation
 21 Plaintiff,
 22 v.
 23 VEOH NETWORKS, Inc., a California
 24 Corporation,
 25 Defendant.

Case No.: C06-3926 (HRL)

26 **STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~**
 27 **ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**
 28 **FOR DEFENDANT VEOH NETWORKS,**
INC. TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-2, Plaintiff IO Group, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Defendant VEOH Networks, Inc. ("Defendant"), hereby stipulate that Defendant shall have an extension of time, until Monday, September 25, 2006, to file its Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Consolidate. This extension will have no effect on any of the other dates currently scheduled in this action.

1 This brief extension of time was necessitated by the very recent retention of Thelen Reid &
2 Priest by defendant and the subsequent substitution of counsel. One previous extension has been
3 sought, stipulated to and ordered in this matter, extending defendant's time to answer plaintiff's
4 complaint until Monday, September 25, 2006.

5 **SO STIPULATED.**

6 Dated: September 12, 2006

THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP

7
8
9 /s/ Dean A. Morehous

Dean A. Morehous

10 Michael S. Elkin (*pro hac vice pending*)

11 Paul M. Fakler (*pro hac vice pending*)

12 Attorneys for Defendant

13 VEOH NETWORKS, INC.

14 Dated: September 12, 2006

THE LAW FIRM OF GILL SPERLEIN

Gill Sperlein

16 /s/ Gill Sperlein

17 GILL SPERLEIN

18 Attorneys for Plaintiff

IO GROUP, INC.

19 I, Dean A. Morehous, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, that the
20 concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.

21 /s/ Dean A. Morehous


22 Dean A. Morehous

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 14, 2006



HONORABLE HOWARD R. LLOYD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE