

1 Dean A. Morehous (CA Bar No. 111841) dam@thelenreid.com
 THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
 2 101 Second Street
 Suite 1800
 3 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: (415) 371-1200
 4 Facsimile: (415) 371-1211

5 Michael S. Elkin (*pro hac vice pending*) melkin@thelenreid.com
 6 Paul M. Fakler (*pro hac vice pending*) pfakler@thelenreid.com
 THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP
 7 875 Third Avenue
 New York, New York 10022
 8 Telephone: (212) 603-2000
 Facsimile: (212) 603-2001

9 Attorneys for Defendant
 10 VEOH NETWORKS, INC.

11
 12
 13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 15 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

17 IO GROUP, INC., a California Corporation
 18
 Plaintiff,
 19
 v.
 20 VEOH NETWORKS, Inc., a California
 Corporation,
 21
 Defendant.

Case No.: C06-3926 (HRL)

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
 FOR DEFENDANT VEOH NETWORKS,
 INC. TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
 MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE**

22
 23 Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-2, Plaintiff IO Group, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and
 24 Defendant VEOH Networks, Inc. ("Defendant"), hereby stipulate that Defendant shall have an
 25 extension of time, until Monday, September 25, 2006, to file its Response to Plaintiff's Motion to
 26 Consolidate. This extension will have no effect on any of the other dates currently scheduled in
 27 this action.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

This brief extension of time was necessitated by the very recent retention of Thelen Reid & Priest by defendant and the subsequent substitution of counsel. One previous extension has been sought, stipulated to and ordered in this matter, extending defendant's time to answer plaintiff's complaint until Monday, September 25, 2006.

SO STIPULATED.

Dated: September 12, 2006

THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP

/s/ Dean A. Morehous

Dean A. Morehous

Michael S. Elkin (*pro hac vice pending*)
Paul M. Fakler (*pro hac vice pending*)

Attorneys for Defendant
VEOH NETWORKS, INC.

Dated: September 12, 2006

THE LAW FIRM OF GILL SPERLEIN
Gill Sperlein

/s/ Gill Sperlein

GILL SPERLEIN
Attorneys for Plaintiff
IO GROUP, INC.

I, Dean A. Morehous, hereby attest, pursuant to N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, that the concurrence to the filing of this document has been obtained from each signatory hereto.

/s/ Dean A. Morehous

Dean A. Morehous

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

HONORABLE HOWARD R. LLOYD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE