SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR		
JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC.,	:	12 Civ. 6500 (WHP)
Plaintiff,	:	ORDER
-against-		USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED
JOHN DOE NOS. 1-27,	•	
Defendants.	: ·X	DOC #: DATE FILED: 10/19/12-
WILLIAM H. DALILEV III. Digtriot Indo		

Defendant John Doe No. 2 ("Doe No. 2") moves to quash the subpoena served on his or her internet service provider, Time Warner Cable, and dismiss the case. According to Doe No. 2, his or her daughter—and not Doe No. 2—infringed Plaintiff's copyright. But that averment does not support dismissing this action or quashing the subpoena. And this court has rejected motions to quash in similar cases. See, e.g., John Wiley & Sons, Inc. v. John Doe Nos. 1-44, 12 Civ. 1568 (WHP), 2012 WL 3597075, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 21, 2012). Accordingly, Doe No. 2's motion is denied, and Time Warner Cable is directed to disclose Doe No. 2's identity. The Clerk of the Court is directed to terminate the motion pending at ECF No. 7.

Dated: October 18, 2012 New York, New York

INTERNATION OF LARGE PARTIES AND THE

SO ORDERED:

U.S.D.J.

WILLIAM H. PAULEY III

## Counsel of Record:

Benjamin D. Liebowitz, Esq. William I. Dunnegan, Esq. Dunnegan & Scileppi LLC 350 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10118
Counsel for Plaintiff