

Central District of California.

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

Counsel please note the changes made to former Local Rule 6, now superseded by

- 4 Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 and 26(f), effective December 1, 2000. A
 - Scheduling Conference is set for the date and time set forth in the caption.¹
- 6 Counsel shall meet at least twenty-one (21) days in advance of the Scheduling

Conference to prepare a jointly signed report for the court to be submitted no less

than fourteen (14) days before the Scheduling Conference. The report is to contain

the items set forth below. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c), the parties shall be

represented by counsel with authority to enter into stipulations regarding all

matters pertaining to conduct of the case.

The joint report to be submitted shall contain the items listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties' recommendations and agreements, if any, about the final scheduling order as listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(1) through (6), and those items listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(c) which counsel believe will be useful to discuss at the Scheduling Conference. Items which must be listed are the following:

- (1) a listing and proposed schedule of written discovery, depositions, and a proposed discovery cut-off date;
- (2) a listing and proposed schedule of law and motion matters, and a proposed dispositive motion cut-off date;
- (3) a statement of what efforts have been made to settle or resolve the case to date and what settlement procedure is recommended pursuant to Local Rule 16-15.4 (specifically

2324

25

26

28

27

¹ Unless there is a likelihood that upon motion by a party the Court would order that any or all discovery is premature, it is advisable for counsel to begin to conduct discovery actively before the Scheduling Conference required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b). At the very least, the parties shall comply fully with the letter and spirit of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) and thereby obtain and produce most of what would be produced in the early stage of discovery, because at the Scheduling Conference the Court will impose tight deadlines to complete discovery.

1		discussed);
3	(4)	an estimated length of trial and a proposed date for the Final Pretrial Conference and for Trial;
4 5	(5)	a discussion of other parties likely to be added;
6	(6)	whether trial will be by jury or to the court;
7	(7)	any other issues affecting the status or management of the case; and
8	(8)	proposals regarding severance, bifurcation or other ordering of proof.
10	In addition,	the Scheduling Conference Report shall contain the following:
11	(1)	a short synopsis of the principal issues in the
12	(2)	a statement of whether pleadings are likely
13	(2)	to be amended;
14 15	(3)	a statement as to issues which any party believes may be determined by motion. ²
16	At the Sche	duling Conference, the court will set a date for discovery cut-
17	off, ³ a final date by	y which dispositive motions must be set for hearing, a Final
18		
19	Where the Plaintiff's claim is predicated in whole or in part on denial of benefits under a plan regulated by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. § 1000 <i>et seq.</i> (ERISA), the parties shall address the following additional issues in their Joint Report: (1) Standard of Review: What standard of review is applicable? If the parties are in disagreement, they shall propose a schedule for early briefing and decision of this issue on Motion; and (2) Pre-emption: Is there any contention that any state-law claim asserted by Plaintiff is pre-empted by ERISA? If so, the parties shall propose a schedule for early briefing and decision of the issue on Motion.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		the date by which discovery requests must be served; but the date by which
25	thust be fried timery, served and calendared sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff date	
26		
27	motions. Except in the	ne case of an extreme emergency which was not created by the lawyer discovery motions may not be heard on an ex parte basis.
28	ormania me monon,	3

Pretrial Conference date, and a trial date.

2.2

A continuance of the Scheduling Conference will be granted only for good cause. (Counsel are informed that continuance of the Scheduling Conference causes commensurate delay in the trial date.) The failure to submit a joint report in advance of the Scheduling Conference or the failure to attend the Scheduling Conference may result in the dismissal of the action, striking the answer and entering a default, and/or the imposition of sanctions.

A settlement procedure appropriate to the particular case will be used in every civil action pursuant to Local Rule 16-15.1. In the Scheduling Conference Report, counsel are to recommend a specific settlement procedure provided for in Local Rule 16-15 which will be utilized in this case. Available alternatives for consideration, not to the exclusion of others, include:

- (1) a settlement conference before the magistrate or district judge assigned to this case (Local Rule 16-15.4(1));
- (2) appearance before an attorney selected from the Attorney Settlement Officer Panel (Local Rule 16-15.4(2));
- (3) appearance before a retired judicial officer or other private or non-profit dispute resolution body for non-judicial settlement or mediation proceedings (Local Rule 16-15.4(3));
- such other settlement mechanism proposed by the parties and approved by the court.

The report to the court as to the above items should be preceded by a thorough and frank discussion among the attorneys for the parties. A Joint Scheduling Report which does not comply with FRCP 16, 26(f) and this Order may cause continuance of the Scheduling Conference and possible award of sanctions under FRCP 16(f) against the party or parties responsible. A conformed courtesy copy of the Joint Report shall be delivered to the courtesy box on the wall outside the entrance to chambers on the Spring Street level of the U.S. Courthouse, 312

North Spring Street, by 3:00 p.m. the following business day.

Motions shall be filed in accordance with Local Rule 7; the next available motion date can be found on the Court's motion calendar, which can be viewed on the Court's website.⁴ This Court hears motions on **Mondays, commencing at 1:30 p.m.** No supplemental brief shall be filed without prior leave of Court. Conformed courtesy copies of opposition and reply papers shall be delivered to the courtesy box on the wall outside the entrance to chambers on the Spring Street level of the U.S. Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, by 3:00 p.m. the following business day. Adherence to the timing requirements is mandatory for chambers' preparation of motion matters.

Counsel should take note of the changes to the Local Rules affecting motion practice in the Central District. Among other things, Local Rule 7-3 requires counsel to engage in a pre-filing conference "to discuss thoroughly . . . the substance of the contemplated motion and any potential resolution." Counsel should discuss the issues sufficiently that if a motion is still necessary, the briefing may be directed to those substantive issues requiring resolution by the Court. Counsel should resolve minor procedural or other nonsubstantive matters during the conference.

Memoranda of Points and Authorities in support of or in opposition to motions shall not exceed 25 pages. Replies shall not exceed 12 pages. Only in rare instances and for good cause shown will the Court grant an application to extend these page limitations. Typeface shall comply with Local Rule 11-3.1.1.

NOTE: Times New Roman font must be used and the size must be no less than 14. Footnotes shall be in typeface no less than two sizes smaller than text size and shall be used sparingly. Filings which do not conform to the Local Rules and this

⁴ All law and motion matters, except for motions in limine, must be set for hearing (not filing) by the motion cutoff date.

Order will not be considered.

Each party filing or opposing a motion or seeking the determination of any matter shall serve and lodge a Proposed Order setting forth the relief or action sought and a brief statement of the rationale for the decision with appropriate citations.

Counsel are reminded ex parte applications are solely for extraordinary relief. *See Mission Power Engineering Co. v. Continental Casualty Co.*, 883 F. Supp. 488 (C.D. Cal. 1995).

Counsel for plaintiff shall immediately serve this Order on all parties, including any new parties to the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 10, 2012

Otis D. Wright II United States District Judge

Mit A Wright

Rev. 10/08