

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

11 MAY 31 PM 3:14

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Barry T. Moskowitz
 FROM: R. Uran, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 5/27/11
 CASE NO.: 11CV00619-BTM-MDD DOCUMENT FILED BY: IP Address: 75.138.127.108.00
 CASE TITLE: Liberty Media Holdings, LLC v. Swarm of November 16, 2010 et al
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Letter Objecting to Private Info. Being released

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>OTHER: Civil Rule 83.9 - Correspondence and Communication with the Judge is not authorized.</u>

Date forwarded: 5/27/11

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
 - The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.
- Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: BTM
 By: [Signature]

Dated: 5/31/11
 cc: All Parties

REJECTED

U.S. District Court
Southern District of California
Office of the Clerk
880 Front Street, Suite 4290
San Diego, California 92101-8900

May 24, 2011

Dear Sir,

Reference #-11-CV_619-BTM-BLM
IP Address: 75.138.127.108.00

I wish to object to the release of my private information to Liberty Media Holdings, LLC for the following reasons;

1. No such files exist on my PC or any other electronic media or storage media that I possess.
2. I believe that this would be an invasion of my privacy to release such information.

This letter is in reference to the Civil Action No.

11-CV_619-BTM-BLM,

Liberty Media Holdings, LLC

VS

SWARM OF 11/26/2010; SHARING HASH FILE
A3E6F65F2E3D672400A5908F64ED55B66A0880B8; AND DOES 1 through 95;

..and pertains to the IP address: 75.138.127.108.00

Sincerely,
75.138.127.108.00