UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

LIBERTY MEDIA HOLDINGS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS.

SWARM SHARING HASH FILE A3E6F65F2E3D672400A5908F64ED55B66A 0880B8; AND DOES 1 through 9,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 11-cv-10801-WGY

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EMERGENCY EX-PARTE MOTION FOR EARLY DISCOVERY

Upon consideration of plaintiff's Emergency Motion for Leave For Early Discovery (D.N 2), plaintiff's supporting memorandum and the Dinkela Declaration (D.N. 3, 4), plaintiff's Motion is hereby **GRANTED**. It is further **ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs may take immediate discovery of Comcast Cable, Charter Communications, Verizon Internet Services (collectively, the "ISPs") to obtain the identity of each Doe defendant by serving a Rule 45 subpoena that seeks information sufficient to identify each Doe defendant, including name, address (present and at the time of infringement), telephone number, e-mail address, Media Access Control ("MAC") address, and the ISPs' terms of service applicable for each defendant.
- 2. The ISPs are authorized, pursuant to the Cable Privacy Act, 47 U.S.C. § 551(c)(2)(B), to comply with this subpoena, subject to the further conditions set forth in this Order.
- 3. Plaintiffs may use the information obtained by this Rule 45 subpoena solely for the purpose of protecting plaintiffs' rights under the Copyright Act.

Case 1:11-cv-10801-WGY Document 8 Filed 05/10/11 Page 2 of 2

4. Plaintiffs shall attach the Court Directed Notice Regarding Issuance of Subpoena, a copy

of which is attached to this Order, to its Rule 45 subpoena. The Rule 45 subpoena shall

instruct the ISPs to distribute a copy of the Notice to each Doe defendant within seven

days of service of the subpoena.

5. The ISPs shall not respond to the Rule 45 subpoena until twenty one days after it has sent

the Notice to each Doe defendant.

6. The Plaintiff may serve additional limited discovery on a Doe defendant, once identified,

William A. Young

for the sole purpose of ascertaining whether the individual identified is a proper

defendant in the case.

SO ORDERED

Dated: May 10, 2011

2