	STEVEN A. GIBSON, ESQ.	
1	Nevada Bar No. 6656	
2	sgibson@righthaven.com J. CHARLES COONS, ESQ.	
	Nevada Bar No. 10553	
3	ccoons@righthaven.com	
4	JOSEPH C. CHU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11082	
4	jchu@righthaven.com	
5	Righthaven LLC	
	9960 West Cheyenne Avenue, Suite 210	
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89129-7701 (702) 527-5900	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CIVITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		
11		
	RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-	Case No.: 2:10-cv-0852
12	liability company,	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND
13		FOR JURY TRIAL
	D1-:4:66	
14	Plaintiff,	
15	v.	
16	THE PRESCRIPTION LLC, a business entity of unknown origin and nature; and RX	
17	ADVERTISING, INC., a business entity of	
	unknown origin and nature,	
18		
19	Defendants.	
2.0		
21		
2.0	Righthaven LLC ("Righthaven") complains as follows against The Prescription LLC	
22		
23	("Prescription") and RX Advertising, Inc. ("RX;" collectively with Prescription known herein a	
24	the "Defendants"), on information and belief:	
25		
26	NATURE OF ACTION	
	1. This is an action for copyright in	afringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §501.
27	1. This is an action for copyright in	in ingenion paradum to 17 0.0.0. 3001.
28		

PARTIES

- 2. Righthaven is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, a Nevada limited-liability company with its principal place of business in Nevada.
- 3. Righthaven is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, in good standing with the Nevada Secretary of State.
- 4. Prescription is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, a business entity of unknown origin and nature.
- 5. Attempts to find evidence of the formal organizational status in the respective Secretary of State offices of Delaware, California, Illinois, New York, Texas, Tennessee, and Nevada demonstrate that, at least with respect to these states, Prescription is not a formally organized business entity.
- 6. RX is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, a business entity of unknown origin and nature.
- 7. Attempts to find evidence of the formal organizational status in the respective Secretary of State offices of Delaware, California, Illinois, New York, Texas, Tennessee, and Nevada demonstrate that, at least with respect to these states, RX is not a formally organized business entity.
- 8. Prescription is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, identified by the current registrar, Network Solutions LLC ("Network Solutions"), as the registrant of the Internet domain found at <therxforum.com> (the "Domain").
- 9. RX is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, identified by Network Solutions as the administrative contact for the Domain.
- 10. RX is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, in control of the content accessible through the Domain (said content accessible through the Domain known herein as the "Website").

JURISDICTION

- 11. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this copyright infringement action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 28 U.S.C. §1338(a).
- 12. Prescription purposefully directs activities at Nevada residents, which activities have resulted in the copyright infringement alleged herein.
- 13. RX purposefully directs activities at Nevada residents, which activities have resulted in the copyright infringement alleged herein.
- 14. Righthaven is the owner of the copyright in the literary work entitled, "IRS targets strip clubs, taxis over bonuses" (the "Work"), attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 15. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, the Work depicted and depicts the original source publication as the Las Vegas Review-Journal.
- 16. The Defendants willfully copied, on an unauthorized basis, the Work from a source emanating from Nevada.
- 17. On or about April 5, 2010, the Defendants displayed and continue to display an unauthorized reproduction of the Work (the "Infringement"), attached hereto as Exhibit 2, on the Website.
- 18. The Infringement subject matter, at least in part, is Las Vegas, Nevada-based entertainment clubs, taxi drivers, and limousine drivers.
- 19. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, the Defendants knew that the Work was originally published in the Las Vegas Review-Journal.
- 20. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, the Defendants knew that the Infringement was and is of specific interest to Las Vegas, Nevada residents.
- 21. The Defendants' display of the Infringement was and is purposefully directed at Nevada residents.
- 22. The Defendants copied, on an unauthorized basis, the literary work entitled, "Analyst says default likely for Hooters" (the "Hooters Article"), attached hereto as Exhibit 3, from a source emanating from Nevada.

- 23. On or about February 10, 2009, the Defendants displayed and continue to display the Hooters Article on the Website.
- 24. The Defendants' display of the Hooters Article was and is purposefully directed at Nevada residents.
- 25. The Defendants copied, on an unauthorized basis, the literary work entitled, "Sheriff sees hotels, casinos helping" (the "Sheriff Article"), attached hereto as Exhibit 4, from a source emanating from Nevada.
- 26. On or about April 22, 2009, the Defendants displayed and continue to display the Sheriff Article on the Website.
- 27. The Defendants' display of the Sheriff Article was and is purposefully directed at Nevada residents.
- 28. The Defendants purposefully direct and effectuate the unauthorized reproduction of Righthaven-owned copyrighted works on the Website.
- 29. The Defendants' unauthorized reproduction of Righthaven-owned copyrighted works found on the Website is purposefully targeted at Nevada residents.
- 30. The Defendants' contacts with Nevada are continuous and systematic because the Defendants published and publish, on the Website, content emanating from Nevada-based daily publications.
- 31. The Defendants' contacts with Nevada are continuous and systematic because the Defendants published and publish, on the Website, information of specific interest to Las Vegas, Nevada residents about sports wagering and other gambling activities.

VENUE

32. The United States District Court for the District of Nevada is an appropriate venue, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2), because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim for relief are situated in Nevada.

33. The United States District Court for the District of Nevada is an appropriate venue, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(c), because both Prescription and RX are subject to personal jurisdiction in Nevada.

FACTS

- 34. The Work constitutes copyrightable subject matter pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §102(a)(1).
 - 35. Righthaven is the owner of the copyright in the Work.
 - 36. The Work was originally published on April 5, 2010.
- 37. On May 26, 2010, the United States Copyright Office (the "USCO") granted Righthaven the registration to the Work, copyright registration number TX0007145863 (the "Registration") and attached hereto as Exhibit 5, is evidence of the Registration in the form of a printout of the official USCO database record depicting the occurrence of the Registration.
- 38. As of June 1, 2010, the Defendants claim ownership of the copyright(s) in the literary works posted on the Website as evidenced by a copyright notice displayed on the Website: "(c) 2007 RX Advertising, Inc. LLC [sic]."
- 39. No later than April 5, 2010, the Defendants displayed the Infringement on the Website.
- 40. The Defendants did not seek permission, in any manner, to reproduce, display, or otherwise exploit the Work.
- 41. The Defendants were not granted permission, in any manner, to reproduce, display, or otherwise exploit the Work.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF: COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

42. Righthaven repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 41 above.

- 43. Righthaven holds the exclusive right to reproduce the Work, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §106(1).
- 44. Righthaven holds the exclusive right to prepare derivative works based upon the Work, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §106(2).
- 45. Righthaven holds the exclusive right to distribute copies of the Work, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §106(3).
- 46. Righthaven holds the exclusive right to publicly display the Work, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §106(5).
- 47. The Defendants reproduced the Work in derogation of Righthaven's exclusive rights under 17 U.S.C. §106(1).
- 48. The Defendants created an unauthorized derivative of the Work in derogation of Righthaven's exclusive rights under 17 U.S.C. §106(2).
- 49. The Defendants distributed, and continue to distribute, an unauthorized reproduction of the Work on the Website, in derogation of Righthaven's exclusive rights under 17 U.S.C. §106(3).
- 50. The Defendants publicly displayed, and continue to display, an unauthorized reproduction of the Work on the Website, in derogation of Righthaven's exclusive rights under 17 U.S.C. §106(5).
 - 51. Prescription has willfully engaged in the copyright infringement of the Work.
 - 52. RX has willfully engaged in the copyright infringement of the Work.
- 53. Defendants' acts as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those acts, have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Righthaven in an amount Righthaven cannot ascertain, leaving Righthaven with no adequate remedy at law.
- 54. Unless the Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further infringement of the Work, Righthaven will be irreparably harmed, and Righthaven is thus entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further infringement by the Prescription of the Work, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §502.

4 5

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Righthaven requests that this Court grant Righthaven's claim for relief herein as follows:

- 1. Preliminarily and permanently enjoin and restrain the Defendants, and the Defendants' officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, parents, subsidiaries, related companies, partners, and all persons acting for, by, with, through, or under the Defendants, from directly or indirectly infringing the Work by reproducing the Work, preparing derivative works based on the Work, distributing the Work to the public, and/or displaying the Work, or ordering, directing, participating in, or assisting in any such activity;
- 2. Direct the Defendants to preserve, retain, and deliver to Righthaven in hard copies or electronic copies:
 - a. All evidence and documentation relating in any way to Defendants' use of the Work, in any form, including, without limitation, all such evidence and documentation relating to the Website;
 - b. All evidence and documentation relating to the names and addresses
 (whether electronic mail addresses or otherwise) of any person with whom the
 Defendants have communicated regarding the Defendants' use of the Work; and
 - c. All financial evidence and documentation relating to the Defendants' use of the Work;
- 3. Direct Network Solutions and any successor domain name registrar for the Domain to lock the Domain and transfer control of the Domain to Righthaven;
- 4. Award Righthaven statutory damages for the willful infringement of the Work, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504(c);
- 5. Award Righthaven costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred by Righthaven in bringing this action, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505;
- 6. Award Righthaven pre- and post-judgment interest in accordance with applicable law; and
 - 7. Grant Righthaven such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Righthaven requests a trial by jury pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 38. Dated this fourth day of June, 2010. RIGHTHAVEN LLC By: /s/ J. Charles Coons STEVEN A. GIBSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6656 J. CHARLES COONS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10553 JOSEPH C. CHU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 11082 9960 West Cheyenne Avenue, Suite 210 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129-7701 Attorneys for Plaintiff