Case 2:10-cv-02150-RLH -RJJ Document 20 Filed 06/22/11 Page 1 of 3

BACKGROUND

This dispute arises out of Barham's alleged copyright infringement of a Las Vegas Review-Journal ("LVRJ") illustration. Barham operates a website called Urban Neighbourhood. This website discusses global architectural issues. In October 2010, Barham published a post which included an illustration entitled "Vdara death-ray," which had originally been published by the LVRJ. Righthaven claims that it purchased the rights to this illustration sometime after Barham republished it. Righthaven then brought suit against Barham and his website in December alleging copyright infringement. Barham answered the complaint in February 2011, and also brought a declaratory judgment counterclaim seeking a declaration of non-infringement.

In a separate case before this Court, Stephens Media, the original owner of "Vdara death-ray," disclosed the Strategic Alliance Agreement ("SAA") entered into between Stephens Media and Righthaven which governs future assignments from Stephens Media to Righthaven and the relationship between them.¹ Stephens Media and Righthaven entered into the SAA in January 2010, prior to Stephens Media assigning "Vdara death-ray" to Righthaven. For the reasons discussed below, the Court grants Barham's motion and dismisses Righthaven's complaint for lack of standing, however, the Court also grants Righthaven's motion and dismisses Barham's counterclaim for lack of standing.

DISCUSSION

Recently this Court determined that Righthaven lacked standing to pursue copyright infringement claims based on assignments made under the SAA because the SAA prevents subsequent assignments from transferring "the exclusive rights necessary to maintain standing in a copyright infringement action." *Righthaven v. Democratic Underground*, 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-GWF, --- F. Supp. 2d ---, 2011 WL 2378186 at *6; *see also Righthaven v. Hoehn*, 2:10-cv-00050-

¹ The Court takes judicial notice of the following cases and directs the reader to these cases for further information regarding the background of the SAA: *Righthaven v. Democratic Underground*, 2:10-cv-01356-RLH-GWF, --- F. Supp. 2d ---, 2011 WL 2378186 (D. Nev. June 14, 2011) and *Righthaven v. Hoehn*, 2:10-cv-00050-PMP-RJJ, --- F. Supp. 2d ---, 2011 WL 2441020 (D. Nev. June 20, 2011).

1 PMP-RJJ, --- F. Supp. 2d ---, 2011 WL 2441020 at *6 (D. Nev. June 20, 2011). The standing 2 issues in this case are the same as those in *Democratic Underground* and *Hoehn*. Because the 3 issues are the same, the same analysis applies and the Court directs readers to the reasoning in 4 those cases on the issue of standing. As the Court did in both of those cases, the Court dismisses 5 Righthaven for lack of standing. 6 The Court, however, also grants Righthaven's motion and dismisses Barham's 7 counterclaim. First, the Court could grant Righthaven's motion as unopposed. However, the 8 Court also grants the motion based on its above analysis that Righthaven does not have standing to 9 assert its copyright infringement claim. As Righthaven does not hold the "Vdara death-ray" 10 copyright, Barham lacks standing to assert his claim against Righthaven just as Righthaven lacks 11 standing to assert its purported claim. Accordingly, the Court grants Righthaven's motion and 12 dismisses Barham's counterclaim for a lack of standing. 13 **CONCLUSION** 14 Accordingly, and for good cause appearing, 15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Barham's Motion to Dismiss (#6) is GRANTED. 16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Righthaven's Motion to Dismiss (#14) is 17 GRANTED. As no claims remain outstanding, the Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. 18 Dated: June 22, 2011. L. Hant 19 20 United States District Judge 21 22 23 24 25 26