	Case 2:11-cv-00050-PMP -RJJ	Docume	nt 68-1	Filed 12/19/11	Page 1 of 3	
1 2	Marc J. Randazza (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vic</i> J. Malcolm DeVoy IV (Nevada Bar No. 1 RANDAZZA LEGAL GROUP	e) 1950)				
3	mjr@Randazza.com jmd@Randazza.com 6525 W. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89118 Telephone: 888-667-1113 Facsimile: 305-437-7662 www.Randazza.com					
4						
5						
6						
7	Attorneys for Defendant,					
8	Wayne Hoehn					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
11	RIGHTHAVEN, LLC, a Nevada limited l	liability	Case No	o. 2:11-cv-00050		
12	company,			LARATION OF .		
13	Plaintiff,		FOR	OY IN SUPPORT WRIT OF BODY		
14	VS.		ATTA	ACHMENT		
15	WAYNE HOEHN, an individual,					
16	Defendant.	DEVOV	IN SUP	ρορτ οε Μοτι	ON FOR WRIT	
17	DECLARATION OF J. MALCOLM DEVOY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR WRIT OF BODY ATTACHMENT					
18	I, J. MALCOLM DEVOY, having personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, declare as					
19	follows:					
20	1. I am a duly licensed attorney in Nevada and a member of the Nevada bar in good					
21	standing, attorney for the Randazza Legal Group law firm (alternatively, the "Firm"), and served					
22	as counsel of record with Marc J. Randazza for Wayne Hoehn ("Hoehn") in this matter.					
23	2. On December 12, this Court granted Hoehn's motion for the appointment of a					
24	receiver and compelled transfer of Righthaven's intellectual property (Doc. # 66).					
25	3. Per the terms of that underlying Motion, Righthaven was to complete the					
26	assignments and notice the Court of them within 7 days of an order granting the motion (Doc. #					
27	62 at 9).					
28 Randazza Legal Group		4				
7001 W Charleston Blvc #1043 Las Vegas, NV 89117 (888) 667-1113		- 1 -				

4. Since December 12, I have received no communication from opposing counsel.

5. On December 16, 2011, The Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals had scheduled a mediation conference call in *Righthaven v. Wolf*, Case No. 11-1469, a case in which Randazza Legal Group is also counsel of record for the defendant, and Attorney Mangano is counsel of record for Righthaven. Attorney Mangano did not attend that conference call.

6. Attorney Mangano has not replied to any of my faxed correspondence – a manner of communication he had previously requested that I use in lieu of e-mail and telephonic communication – since this Court's December 12 Order granting the receivership motion (Doc. 66).

7. Even if my communications were returned, it is strongly unlikely that they would have yielded fruitful negotiations. As described in Document 60-1, from paragraphs 19-22, I attempted to contact Righthaven's counsel regarding the scheduling of a debtors exam on Hoehn's behalf.

8. Shortly after the motion seeking a debtors exam was filed on November 6, 2011 (Doc. # 60), Righthaven's counsel e-mailed me to provide notification that his client did not consent to an examination.

9. As of the time of the instant motion's filing, I have not received any notice of appeal, notice of a motion seeking a stay or extension, notice of a motion before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, notice of a bankruptcy petition, or other correspondence from either Righthaven or the Court regarding its failure to comply with the December 12 order.

10. I have furthermore waited until after the traditional close of business in this district (5:00 p.m. Pacific Time) before bringing this Motion so as to ensure Righthaven had a reasonable time to file a notice with this Court that it has effected the assignment of its intellectual property as required by the December 12 Order (Doc. # 66).

11. Finally, Righthaven did not oppose the underlying motion (Doc. # 62).

12. I believe that Righthaven's current failure to file a notice with this Court that it has complied with the Court's order – and probable failure to assign its intellectual property to the

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
5 6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 Randazza Legal Group 7001 W Charleston Blva #1043 Las Vegas, NV 89117 (888) 667-1113	_

700

receiver - is intentional.

13. Given the nature of the relief sought by this motion, Hoehn, through counsel, stipulates to withdraw the instant motion - and not seek additional sanctions relating to this failing – if Righthaven assigns its intellectual property to the receiver using the forms supplied with its Motion (Doc. 62-5, 62-6, 62-7, 62-8) and provides notice to the Court that these assignments have been completed pursuant to the December 12 Order (Doc. # 66) before responding to this motion for a writ of body attachment.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Dated: December 19, 2011 in Las Vegas, Nevada

By: /s/ J. Malcolm DeVoy IV

J. Malcolm DeVoy IV