1	Marc J. Randazza (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) J. Malcolm DeVoy IV (Nevada Bar No. 11950) RANDAZZA LEGAL GROUP mjr@Randazza.com jmd@Randazza.com 6525 W. Warm Springs Road, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89118 Telephone: 888-667-1113 Facsimile: 305-437-7662 www.Randazza.com	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Attorneys for Defendant, Wayne Hoehn	
8	wyne Hoenn	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	RIGHTHAVEN, LLC, a Nevada limited liability	Case No. 2:11-cv-00050
12	company, Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF'S NON- OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
13	VS.	MOTION FOR WRIT OF BODY ATTACHMENT
14	WAYNE HOEHN, an individual,	ATTACHMENT
15	Defendant.	
16		ZEN LL CIC NON OPPOCITION TO
17	NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF RIGHTHAVEN LLC'S NON-OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR WRIT OF BODY ATTACHMENT	
18 19	Defendant Wayne Hoehn ("Hoehn"), through his attorneys, files this Notice with respec	
20	to his pending Motion for Judgment Debtor Examination, filed on December 19, 2011 (Doc. 7)	
20	68). To date, Plaintiff Righthaven LLC ("Righthaven") has not filed the notice required by thi	
22	Court's December 12 Order granting Hoehn's Motion for a Receiver's appointment (see Docs.	
23	62, 66), and the Receiver's recent report indicates that this transfer of rights has not yet occurre	
24	(Doc. # 70).	
25	At present, Righthaven has not filed a memorandum of law and points of authority i	
26	opposition to Hoehn's Motion seeking a writ of body attachment. Under Local Rule 7-2(d), thi	
27	failure to oppose Hoehn's motion constitutes Righthaven's concession to the pending Motion'	
28	desired relief. As seen in the recently filed Rece	iver's Report, Righthaven's counsel was awar
	1	

1 2

4

5

3

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

of Hoehn's pending motion on December 21, 2011 (Doc. # 70-1 at 4). Nonetheless, Righthaven has not opposed it within the period allowed by this District's Local Rules. It is well established that a party's failure to timely oppose a motion constitutes the non-moving party's consent to granting of the motion. U.S. v. Warren, 601 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1979).

Local Rule 7-2(b) allows 14 days for the filing of responsive briefing. Even allowing an additional three days for a filing to be made under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d), Righthayen's responsive briefing would have been due on January 5, 2012. At the time of this filing, Righthaven has filed no opposition in this matter. This is consistent with Righthaven's non-oppositions to Hoehn's Motions seeking the appointment of a receiver and scheduling of a debtors examination (see Docs. # 64, 65), and failure to appear at the January 5, 2012 debtors examination scheduled by this Court on December 12 (Doc. # 67).

Righthaven is not entitled to a stay in this matter. (Docs. # 56, 57) Righthaven previously moved both this Court and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals for a stay from the execution of Hoehn's Judgment; both courts rejected this request. (Id.) Moreover, on November 1, 2011, this Court granted Hoehn a writ of execution against Righthaven (Doc. # 59), which Hoehn has been using in an effort to freeze and seize Righthaven's assets. (See, e.g., Docs. #61, 63)

For the foregoing reasons, Hoehn respectfully requests that this Court grant his unopposed motion (Doc. 68). The Court shall issue writs of body attachment for Steven A. Gibson and Raisha Y. "Drizzle" Gibson, summoning them before this Court. The Court shall then order the U.S. Marshals execute its above-described writs and apprehend these officers of Righthaven, bringing them to the Court in order to complete the assignments necessary to transfer Righthaven's intellectual property to the court-appointed Receiver, Ms. Pearson, for auction (see, e.g., Docs. # 62 Exhs C-F, 66, 70-5 at 4-8).

Righthaven's counsel claims that it had miscalendared the January 5 examination for Friday, January 6, 2012. Steve Green, Righthaven Defendant Frustrated Again in Debt Collection Attempt, Vegas Inc. (Jan. 6, 2012), http://www.vegasinc.com/news/2012/jan/06/righthaven-defendant-frustrated-again-debt-collect/ (last accessed Jan. 6, 2012). The undersigned are incredulous of this explanation in light of the many correspondences sent to Righthaven's counsel from December 19, 2011 to December 29, 2011, reminding him of the debtors examination, and even requesting confirmation that his client's officers would attend (Doc. # 70 Exhs. A-D).

Case 2:11-cv-00050-PMP -RJJ Document 72 Filed 01/06/12 Page 3 of 4 Dated January 6, 2012 Respectfully Submitted, RANDAZZA LEGAL GROUP Marc J. Randazza J. Walcolm DeVoy IV Attorneys for Defendant, Wayne Hoehn - 3 -