

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

VISION FILMS, INC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 4:13CV20 RWS
)	
DOES 1-30,)	
)	
Defendants.)	


MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter is before me on plaintiff’s second motion for leave to take discovery prior to Rule 26(f) conference. The first motion was denied without prejudice for failure to provide a proposed order for the Court’s consideration and signature. In response, plaintiff simply attached a one-line “this motion is granted” proposed order to its second motion. The Court is not going to sign such a broad Order.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file a Proposed Protective Order defining the scope and parameters of the expedited discovery sought by **January 22, 2013**. Plaintiff shall review the Protective Orders entered in Xpays, Inc. V. Does 1-18, Cause No. 4:12 CV1405 RWS (E.D. Mo. Aug. 20, 2012), West Coast Productions, Inc. v. Swarm Sharing Hash Files 25600BE3C6CC4529AC4247FA9B6BCB8B530857EB, et al., No. 4:12CV748 and Digital Sin, Inc. v. John Does 1-176, No. 12-CV-126, 2012 WL

263491, at *6 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 30, 2012), as examples of what the Court expects plaintiff to file. The motion will be denied if plaintiff does not comply with this Order.



RODNEY W. SIPPEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated this 16th day of January, 2013.