	Case	2:12-cv-08333-ODW-JC Document 1	Filed 09/27/12 Page 1 of 17 Page ID #:25
ĥ	ĩ		FILED
	1	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc.	2012 SEP 27 AM 11: 09
	2	38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES
	3	415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com	
	4	Attorney for Plaintiff	BY
	5		
	6 7	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
	8	CENTRAL DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	9		
	10	INGENUITY 13 LLC,	No.CV12-8333
	11	Plaintiff,)	Judge: SVW (PJWX)
	12	JOHN DOE	COMPLAINT
	13) Defendant.	
	14)	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
	15		
	16	Plaintiff Ingenuity13 LLC ("Plaintiff), through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this
	17	Complaint requesting damages and injunctive	relief, and alleges as follows:
	18	NATURI	COF THE CASE
	19	1. Plaintiff files this action for co	pyright infringement under the United States Copyright
	20	Act and related contributory infringement an	d negligence claims under the common law to combat
	21	the willful and intentional infringement of	its creative works. Unidentified Defendant John Doe
	22	("Defendant"), whose name Plaintiff expects	to ascertain during discovery, knowingly and illegally
	23		ghted Video by acting in concert with others via the
	24		
	25		ormation and belief, continues to do the same. In using
	26	BitTorrent, Defendant's infringment actions f	urthered the efforts of numerous others in infringing on
	27	Plaintiff's copyrighted works. The result: exp	ponential viral infringment. Plaintiff seeks a permanent
	28		

injunction, statutory or actual damages, award of costs and attorney's fees, and other relief to curb this behavior.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff Ingenuity13 LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under 2. the laws of the Federation of Saint Kitts and Nevis. Plaintiff is a holder of rights to various copyrighted works, and is the exclusive holder of the relevant rights with respect to the copyrighted creative work at issue in this Complaint.

The copyrighted work at issue in this complaint is one of Plaintiff's adult 3. 9 entertainment videos, "A Peek Behind the Scenes at a Show" (the "Video"). 10

11 Defendant's actual name is unknown to Plaintiff. Instead, Defendant is known to 4. 12 Plaintiff only by an Internet Protocol address ("IP address"), which is a number assigned to devices, 13 such as computers, that are connected to the Internet. In the course of monitoring Internet-based 14 infringement of its copyrighted content, Plaintiff's agents observed unlawful reproduction and 15 distribution occurring over IP address 108.13.119.253 via the BitTorrent file transfer protocol. 16 Plaintiff cannot ascertain Defendant's actual identity without limited expedited discovery. 17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19 This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's copyright infringement 5. 20 claim under 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq., (the Copyright Act), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (actions arising under 21 the laws of the United States), and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (actions arising under an Act of Congress 22 relating to copyrights). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's contributory 23 infringement and negligence claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because they are so related to 24 Plaintiff's copyright infringement claim, which is within this Court's original jurisdiction, that the 25 claims form part of the same case and controversy under Article III of the United States 26 27 Constitution.

This Court has personal jurisdiction because, upon information and belief, Defendant 1 6. 2 either resides in or committed copyright infringement in the State of California. Plaintiff used 3 geolocation technology to trace the IP address of the Defendant to a point of origin within the State 4 of California. Geolocation is a method for ascertaining the likely geographic region associated with a 5 given IP address at a given date and time. Although not a litmus test for personal jurisdiction, the use 6 of geolocation gives Plaintiff good cause for asserting that personal jurisdiction is proper over the 7 8 Defendant.

9 7. Venue is properly founded in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)
10 and 1400(a) because Defendant resides in this District, may be found in this District, or a substantial
11 part of the events giving rise to the claims in this action occurred within this District.

BACKGROUND

8. BitTorrent is a modern file sharing method ("protocol") used for distributing data via the Internet.

9. Traditional file transfer protocols involve a central server, which distributes data directly to individual users. This method is prone to collapse when large numbers of users request data from the central server, in which case the server can become overburdened and the rate of data transmission can slow considerably or cease altogether. In addition, the reliability of access to the data stored on a server is largely dependent on the server's ability to continue functioning for prolonged periods of time under high resource demands.

10. Standard P2P protocols involve a one-to-one transfer of whole files between a single
uploader and single downloader. Although standard P2P protocols solve some of the issues
associated with traditional file transfer protocols, these protocols still suffer from such issues as
scalability. For example, when a popular file is released (e.g. an illegal copy of the latest blockbuster
movie) the initial source of the file performs a one-to-one whole file transfer to a third party, who

28

12

13

14

then performs similar transfers. The one-to-one whole file transfer method can significantly delay the spread of a file across the world because the initial spread is so limited.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

11. In contrast, the BitTorrent protocol is a decentralized method of distributing data. Instead of relying on a central server to distribute data directly to individual users, the BitTorrent protocol allows individual users to distribute data among themselves. Further, the BitTorrent protocol involves breaking a single large file into many small pieces, which can be transferred much more quickly than a single large file and, in turn, redistributed much more quickly than a single large file. Moreover, each peer can download missing pieces of the file from multiple sources—often simultaneously—which causes transfers to be fast and reliable. After downloading a piece, a peer automatically becomes a source for the piece. This distribution method contrasts sharply with a oneto-one whole file transfer method.

12. In BitTorrent vernacular, individual downloaders/distributors of a particular file are 15 called peers. The group of peers involved in downloading/distributing a particular file is called a 16 swarm. A server which stores a list of peers in a swarm is called a tracker. A computer program that 17 implements the BitTorrent protocol is called a BitTorrent client. Each swarm is unique to a particular 18 file.

- 19 The BitTorrent protocol operates as follows. First, a user locates a small "torrent" file. 13. 20 This file contains information about the files to be shared and about the tracker, the computer that 21 coordinates the file distribution. Second, the user loads the torrent file into a BitTorrent client, which 22 automatically attempts to connect to the tracker listed in the torrent file. Third, the tracker responds 23 24 with a list of peers and the BitTorrent client connects to those peers to begin downloading data from 25 and distributing data to the other peers in the swarm. When the download is complete, the BitTorrent 26 client continues distributing data to other peers in the swarm until the user manually disconnects 27 from the swarm or the BitTorrent client otherwise does the same.
- 28

14. The degree of anonymity provided by the BitTorrent protocol is extremely low. Because the protocol is based on peers connecting to one another, a peer must broadcast identifying information (i.e. an IP address) before it can receive data. Nevertheless, the actual names of peers in a swarm are unknown, as the users are allowed to download and distribute under the cover of their IP addresses.

15. The BitTorrent protocol is an extremely popular method for transferring data. The size of swarms for popular files can reach into the tens of thousands of unique peers. A swarm will commonly have peers from many, if not every, state in the United States and several countries around the world. And every peer in the swarm participates in distributing the file to dozens, hundreds, or even thousands of other peers.

12
13
14
16. The BitTorrent protocol is also an extremely popular method for unlawfully copying,
14
14
15 reproducing, and distributing files in violation of the copyright laws of the United States. A broad
16 range of copyrighted albums, audiovisual files, photographs, software, and other forms of media are
16 available for illegal reproduction and distribution via the BitTorrent protocol.

17 17. Efforts at combating BitTorrent-based copyright infringement have been stymied by 18 BitTorrent's decentralized nature. Because there are no central servers to enjoin from unlawfully 19 distributing copyrighted content, there is no primary target on which to focus anti-piracy efforts. 20 Indeed, the same decentralization that makes the BitTorrent protocol an extremely robust and 21 efficient means of transferring enormous quantities of data also acts to insulate it from anti-piracy 22 measures. This lawsuit is Plaintiff's only practical means of combating BitTorrent-based 23 24 infringement of the Video.

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

18. Plaintiff is the exclusive rights holder with respect to BitTorrent-based reproduction and distribution of the Video.

19. The Video is currently registered in the United States Copyright Office (Copyright No. PA0001802629). (See Exhibit A to Complaint.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

20. The torrent file used to access the copyrighted material was named in a manner that would have provided an ordinary individual with notice that the Video was protected by the copyright laws of the United States.

Plaintiff employs proprietary peer-to-peer network forensic software to perform
 exhaustive real time monitoring of the BitTorrent-based swarm involved in distributing the Video.
 This software is effective in capturing data about the activity of peers in a swarm and their infringing
 conduct.

11 22. Defendant, using IP address 108.13.119.253, without Plaintiff's authorization or 12 license, intentionally downloaded a torrent file particular to Plaintiff's Video, purposefully loaded 13 that torrent file into his BitTorrent client—in this case, libtorrent/0.15.10.0—entered a BitTorrent 15 swarm particular to Plaintiff's Video, and reproduced and distributed the Video to numerous third 16 parties.

17 23. Plaintiff's investigators detected Defendant's illegal download on 18 2012-08-21 at 19:49:43 (UTC). However, this is a simply a snapshot observation of when the IP 19 address was observed in the BitTorrent swarm; the conduct took itself place before and after this 20 date and time. 21

22 24. Defendant was part of a group of BitTorrent users or peers in a single swarm—a 23 process generally described above—whose computers were collectively interconnected for the 24 sharing of a particular unique file. The particular file a BitTorrent swarm is associated with has a 25 unique file "hash"—i.e. a unique file identifier generated by an algorithm. The unique hash value in 26 this case is identified as 7571E2F7C1972FC5A383A4D87DA00CC3333FB32E (hereinafter "Hash 27 Tag."), and common to all of the participants in the swarm.

1	. COUNT I – COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT				
2	25. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the				
3	preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth fully herein.				
4	26. Defendant's conduct infringes upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and				
5	distribution that are protected under the Copyright Act.				
6	27. Defendant knew or had constructive knowledge that his acts constituted copyright				
7 8	infringement of Plaintiff's Video.				
9	28. Defendant's conduct was willful within the meaning of the Copyright Act:				
10	intentional, and with indifference to the Plaintiff's rights.				
11	29. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's conduct, including but not limited to				
12	economic and reputation losses. Plaintiff continues to be damaged by such conduct, and has no				
13 14	adequate remedy at law to compensate the Plaintiff for all of the possible damages stemming from				
14	the Defendant's conduct.				
16	30. Plaintiff hereby reserves the right, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), to elect to recover				
17	statutory damages for each infringement, in lieu of seeking recovery of actual damages.				
18	31. As Defendant's infringement was intentional and willful, Plaintiff is entitled to an				
19	award of statutory damages, exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and the costs of the suit.				
20	COUNT II – CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGEMENT				
21	32. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the				
22 23	preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth fully herein.				
24	33. When users in this unique swarm all possess the same infringing work with the same				
25	exact hash value, it is because each infringer possesses an exact digital copy, containing the exact				
26	bits and pieces unique to that specific file of Plaintiff's original copyrighted work. They only way				
27	this happens in a BitTorrent swarm is through the sharing of these bits and pieces of each same				
28	7COMPLAINT CASE NO.	1			

unique file, with the same unique hash value, between the users in the swarm. In essence, although hundreds of users may be uploading the copyrighted work, a single user will receive only the exact parts of a singular upload through that exact swarm, not a compilation of available pieces from various uploads.

6

1

2

3

4

5

34. Defendant published the Hash Tag to the BitTorrent network.

7 35. Defendant downloaded, uploaded and distributed the Video to other BitTorrent users
8 through use of the hash-specified protocol in the unique swarm.

9 36. As each of the thousands of people who illegally downloaded the movie accessed this
10 illegal publication, they derived portions of their illegal replication of the file from multiple persons,
11 including, but not limited to, Defendant.

12

13 14

15

37. Defendant knew of the infringement, was conscious of his own infringement, and Defendant was fully concsious that his actions resulted in multiple other persons derivatively downloaded the file containing Plaintiff's Video.

16 38. The infringement by the other BitTorrent users could not have occurred without 17 Defendant's participation in uploading Plaintiff's copyrighted works. As such, Defendant's 18 participation in the infringing activities of others is substantial and contributed, for profit, to the 19 infringing activity of thousands of other peers over the Internet across the world.

39. Defendant profited from this contributory infringement by way of being granted
access to a greater library of other infringing works, some of which belonged to Plaintiff and some
of which belonged to other copyright owners.

24

COUNT III – NEGLIGENCE

40. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the
preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth fully herein.

8

Defendant accessed, or controlled access to, the Internet connection used in 41. performing the unauthorized copying and sharing of Plaintiff's Video, proximately causing financial harm to Plaintiff.

Defendant had a duty to secure his Internet connection. Defendant breached that duty 42. by failing to secure his Internet connection.

Reasonable Internet users take steps to secure their Internet access accounts 43. 7 8 preventing the use of such accounts for an illegal purpose. Defendant's failure to secure his Internet 9 access account, thereby allowing for its illegal use, constitutes a breach of the ordinary care that a 10 reasonable Internet account holder would do under like circumstances.

11 44. In the alternative, Defendant secured his connection, but permitted an unknown third 12 party to use his Internet connection to infringe on Plaintiff's Video. Defendant knew, or should have 13 known, that this unidentified individual used Defendant's Internet connection for the aformentioned 14 illegal activities. Defendant declined to monitor the unidentified third-party infringer's use of his 15 16 computer Internet connection, demonstrating further negligence.

17 45. In the alternative, Defendant knew of, and allowed for, the unidentified third party 18 infringer's use of his Internet connection for illegal purposes and thus was complicit in the 19 unidentified third party's actions.

46. Upon information and belief, Defendant's failure to secure his Internet access account 21 directly allowed for the copying and sharing of Plaintiff's Video over the BitTorrent protocol 22 through Defendant's Internet connection, and interfered with Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the 23 24 copyrighted work.

25 47. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew, or should have known of, the 26 unidentified third party's infringing actions, and, despite this, Defendant directly, or indirectly, 27 allowed for the copying and sharing of Plaintiff's Video over the BitTorrent protocol through 28

COMPLAINT

20

1

2

3

4

5

1

2

3

4

5

6

15

16

17

Defendant's Internet connection, and interfered with Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the copyrighted Video.

48. By virtue of his unsecured access, Defendant negligently allowed the use of his Internet access account to perform the above-described copying and sharing of Plaintiff's copyrighted Video.

Had Defendant taken reasonable care in securing access to this Internet connection, or
 monitoring the unidentified third-party individual's use of his Internet connection, such
 infringements as those described above would not have occurred by the use of Defendant's Internet
 access account.

11 50. Defendant's negligent actions allow others to unlawfully copy and share Plaintiff's
 12 copyrighted Video, proximately causing financial harm to Plaintiff and unlawfully interfering with
 13 Plaintiff's exclusive rights in the Video.

JURY DEMAND 51. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial in this case.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

18 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests Judgment and relief as follows:

19 1) Judgment against Defendant that he has: a) willfully infringed Plaintiff's rights in
 20 federally registered copyrights pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 501; and b) otherwise injured the business
 21 reputation and business of Plaintiff by Defendant's acts and conduct set forth in this Complaint;
 22

23 2) Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against Defendant for actual damages or statutory
24 damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504, at the election of Plaintiff, in an amount to be ascertained at
25 trial;

26 27 28

COMPLAINT

3) Order of impoundment under 17 U.S.C. §§ 503 & 509(a) impounding all infringing copies of Plaintiff's audiovisual works, photographs or other materials, which are in Defendant's possession or under his control;

4

6

7

8

18

19

1

2

3

4) On Count II, an order that Defendant is jointly and severally liable to the Plaintiff in the full amount of Judgment on the basis of a common law claim for contributory infringement of copyright; for an award of compensatory damages in favor of the Plaintiff and against Defendant, jointly and severally, in an amount to be determined at trial;

9 5) On Count III, an order that Defendant is jointly and severally liable to the Plaintiff in 10 the full amount of Judgment on the basis of Defendant's negligence in allowing an unidentified third 11 party access his Internet account and, through it, violate Plaintiff's copyrighted works; for an award 12 of compensatory damages in favor of the Plaintiff and against Defendant, jointly and severally, in an 13 amount to be determined at trial;

15 6) Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against the Defendant awarding the Plaintiff attorneys'
16 fees, litigation expenses (including fees and costs of expert witnesses), and other costs of this action;
17 and

7) Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against Defendant, awarding Plaintiff declaratory and injunctive or other equitable relief as may be just and warranted under the circumstances.

20 Respectfully Submitted, 21 PRENDA LAW INC. 22 DATED: September 24, 2012 23 24 By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs 25 Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000) Of Counsel to Prenda Law Inc. 26 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 27 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com Attorney for Plaintiff 28 11 COMPLAINT CASE NO.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as provided by FRCP 38(a).

By:

Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)

/s/ Brett L. Gibbs

Attorney for Plaintiff



EXHIBIT A

Case 2:12-cv-08333-ODW-JC Document 1 Filed 09/27/12 Page 14 of 17 Page ID #:38

WebVoyage Record View 1




Public Catalog

9/17/12

Copyright Catalog (1978 to present)

Search Request: Left Anchored Copyright Number = PA0001802629

Search Results: Displaying 1 of 1 entries



Labeled View

A Peek Behind the Scenes at a Show.

Type of Work: Motion Picture

Registration Number / Date: PA0001802629 / 2012-08-24

Application Title: A Peek Behind the Scenes at a Show.

Title: A Peek Behind the Scenes at a Show.

Description: Electronic file (eService)

Copyright Claimant: Ingenuity13 LLC. Address: Springates East, Government Road, Charlestown, Saint Kitts-Nevis.


Date of Creation: 2012

Date of Publication: 2012-07-25

Nation of First Publication: United States

Authorship on Application: Ingenuity13 LLC, employer for hire; Domicile: United States; Citizenship: United States. Authorship: entire motion picture, production/producer, direction/director, script/screenplay, cinematography/cinematographer, editing/editor.

Names: Ingenuity13 LLC



Save, P	rint and Email (<u>Help Page</u>)
Select Download Format	Full Record Format for Print/Save
Enter your email address:	Emai

Help Search History Titles Start Over

<u>Contact Us</u> | <u>Request Copies</u> | <u>Get a Search Estimate</u> | <u>Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) about Copyright</u> | <u>Copyright Office Home Page</u> | <u>Library of Congress Home Page</u>

C:/Users/User/Desktop/.../1-1 EXHIBIT A - COPYRIGHT TO A PEEK BEHIND THE SCENES AT A SHOW.htm

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Stephen V. Wilson and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Patrick J. Walsh.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 8333 SVW (PJWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516 L Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

1 (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box i INGENUITY13 LLC	f you are representing yourself [])		DEFENDANTS JOHN DOE		-		
 (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Add yourself, provide same.) Brett L. Gibbs, Of Counsel 38 Miller Avenue, #263 Mill Valley, CA 94941 (41) 		are representing	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	(Place an X in one box only)		HIP OF PRINCIPAL			Oniy	
🗇 I U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U S Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This		PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business in this		PTF DEF
□ 2 U S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh of Parties in Item III)				Incorporated and I of Business in An		
N ODICIN (Places Viscos	hav only)	Citizen of Subje	et of a Foreign Country	دل دی	Foreign Nation	une en la constante (an la constante de 1976) de	
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) I Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multi- 7 Appeal to District Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened District Judge from Litigation Litigation Litigation Litigation Magistrate Judge							
17 U.S.C. Sections 121 et a VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place OTHER STATIOTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Anutrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Sorvice 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Act 782 Fconomic Stabilization Act	the U.S. Civil Statute under which y an X in one box only) CONTRACT 10 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholder's Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	 TORFS TORFS PERSONAL INJUR 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Productability 320 Assauh, Libel & Stander 330 Fed Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 350 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury 365 Personal Injury 366 Asbestos Perso 	TORES PERSONAL PROPERTY PROPERTY Other Frau 371 Truth in Le 380 Other Perse Property Di 385 Property Di 70 duct Le 128 Product Le 128 VitArwa USC 157 CVH RIGHU 442 Employme 441 Voting 444 Vetfare mmodation ty 444 Wetfare 1445 American M	use. Do not c i nding mal 530 amage 540 bility 250 555 128 128 128 1610 555 128 1610 555 128 1610 555 1625 1620 1625 1620 1625 1620 1625 1620 1625 16	PRISONFX PETITIONS Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus General Death Penalty Mandamus/ Other Civil Rights Prison Condition IRFEITCRE 7 PENALTY Agriculture Other Food & Drug Related Seizure of	1 AT 710 Far La Act 720 Labor// Relatio 730 Labor// Report Disclo 740 Railwa 790 Other I Litigat 791 Empl.] Securit 820 Copyri 830 Patent 840 Trader	IOR bor Standards Mgmt ins Sure Act y Labor Act Labor ion Ret. Inc y Act Y RIGHTS ghts nark ICONTY 395(ff) Lung (923) /DIWW
 3 893 Environmental Matters 3 894 Energy Allocation Act 3 895 Freedom of Info Act 9 00 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 9 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 245 All Other Real Property	Injury Product Liability AMNIORATION 462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigra Actions	Disabilities Other - 1440 Other Civi Rights	m □ 650 with □ 660 s - □ 690	R.R. & Truck Airline Regs Occupational Safety Health Other	□ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (4 ■ PEDERAL □ 870 Taxes	Fitle XVI U5(g)) TAX SUITS (U S. Plaintiff endant) hird Party 26

CV12-8333

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71. COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number _____

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? St No 🖂 Yes If yes, list case number(s):

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? MNO \square Yes If yes, list case number(s):

Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:

(Check all boxes that apply) - CLA. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or

- ETB Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact, or
- $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C})$ For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or
- F1D Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present

IX. VENUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)

(a) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District. State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides
 Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).

and a subscription of the local division of	County in this District.*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
Concernance of the local division of the loc		St. Kitts and Nevis

(b) List the County in this District. California County outside of this District. State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c)

Carrie Carrier	County in this District.*	Califorma County outside of this District, State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
and a constant	Unknown - Geolocation tracks IP address to Los Angeles County	
our management		

(c) List the County in this District: California County outside of this District: State if other than California, or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved.

County in this District *	California County outside of this District, State, if other than California, or Foreign Country
Unknown - Geolocation tracks IP address to Los Angeles County	

* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties

Note. In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land avolved

X -SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PROPER)earrow

Date September 24, 2012 L

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases.

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended, plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security. Act as amended
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U S C, (g))