	Case 2:11-cv-03073-WBS-KJN Document	15 Filed 07/13/12 Page 1 of 7	
		(SPACE BELOW FOR FILING STAMP ONLY)	
1 2	MARK A. CAMPBELL (SB# 93595) J. DOUGLAS DURHAM (SB# 153476) MURPHY, CAMPBELL, GUTHRIE & ALLISTON 8801 Folsom Boulevard, Suite 230		
3	Sacramento, CA 95826		
4	Telephone: (916) 400-2300 Fax: (916) 400-2311		
5	Attorneys for Defendant Steve Polan		
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SACRAMENT	DIVISION	
11			
12	PINK LOTUS ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,	Case No. 2:11-cv-3073 WBS-KJN	
13 14	Plaintiff(s), vs.	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT STEVE	
15	JOHN DOE AND STEVE POLAN,	POLAN TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
16			
10	Defendant(s).		
18	Defendant STEVE POLAN ("POLAN"), fo	or himself only, responds to the allegations of	
19	the First Amended Complaint ("FAC") by plaintiff PINK LOTUS ENTERTAINMENT, LLC		
20	("PINK") as follows:		
21	1. POLAN is without knowledge or	information sufficient to admit or deny the	
22	facts alleged in Paragraph 1 and denies such a	legations accordingly.	
23	2. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the		
24	facts alleged in Paragraph 2 and denies such allegations accordingly.		
25	3 POLAN is without knowledge or	information sufficient to admit or deny the	
26	facts alleged in Paragraph 3 and denies such a	legations accordingly.	
27	4. POLAN admits he is an individual	over the age of 18 years. POLAN is without	
28	knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining facts alleged in		
	- 1 -		
	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF	DEFENDANT STEVE POLAN TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	

Case 2:11-cv-03073-WBS-KJN Document 15 Filed 07/13/12 Page 2 of 7

Paragraph 4 and denies such allegations accordingly.

5. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the
facts alleged in Paragraph 5 and denies such allegations accordingly.

6. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 6 and denies such allegations accordingly.

POLAN denies the allegations of paragraph 7.

7.

8.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

POLAN denies the allegations of paragraph 8.

9. POLAN admits this Court has original jurisdiction over copyright infringement claims under the Copyright Act. POLAN denies that this Court has or should exercise supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1367(a), but rather, should decline to exercise such jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(c)(4) because of POLAN's affirmative defenses and claims arising under state law, including without limitation civil conspiracy.

10. POLAN admits that he resides in California and denies that he committed any copyright infringement in the State of California.

11. POLAN admits that he resides within this District. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny that the events giving rise to PINK's suit occurred within this District and denies such allegations accordingly.

12. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 12 and denies such allegations accordingly.

13. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 13 and denies such allegations accordingly.

14. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 14 and denies such allegations accordingly.

15. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 15 and denies such allegations accordingly.

16. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 16 and denies such allegations accordingly.

28

17. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the

Case 2:11-cv-03073-WBS-KJN Document 15 Filed 07/13/12 Page 3 of 7

facts alleged in Paragraph 17 and denies such allegations accordingly.

18. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 18 and denies such allegations accordingly.

19. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 19 and denies such allegations accordingly.

20. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 20 and denies such allegations accordingly.

21. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 21 and denies such allegations accordingly.

22. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 22 and denies such allegations accordingly.

23. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 23 and denies such allegations accordingly.

24. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 24 and denies such allegations accordingly.

25. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 25 and denies such allegations accordingly.

26. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 26 and denies such allegations accordingly.

27. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 27 and denies such allegations accordingly.

28. POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraph 28 and denies such allegations accordingly.

29. – 56. POLAN states that the allegations of Paragraphs 29 through 56 are not directed to POLAN, assert no facts or claims against POLAN, and POLAN is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the facts alleged in Paragraphs 29 through 56, and denies such allegations accordingly.

- 3 -

57. POLAN hereby incorporates by reference each and every response to

MURPHY, CAMPBELL, GUTHRIE & ALLISTON

Case 2:11-cv-03073-WBS-KJN Document 15 Filed 07/13/12 Page 4 of 7

Paragraphs 1 through 56 as if fully set forth herein as his response to Paragraph 57.

58.	POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 58.
-----	-----------------------------------------------

- 59. POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 59.
- 60. POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 60.
- 61. POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 61.
- 62. POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 62.
- 63. POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 63.
 - 64. POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 64.
- 65. POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 65.
- 66. POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 66.
- 67. POLAN denies the allegations of Paragraph 67.
- 68. No response to PINK's Jury Demand is required.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

1. The FAC fails to state a claim against POLAN upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Fault)

2. On information and belief, any purported damages suffered by PINK, which
POLAN denies, were proximately caused, in whole or in part, by PINK's own affirmative acts
and/or omissions, and/or negligence, or the acts, omissions and/or negligence of third
parties over whom POLAN has no control and for whom POLAN has no responsibility, such
that any recovery by PINK against POLAN should be reduced by an amount that is in
proportion to the extent such damages are the result of PINK's own conduct or that of such
third parties.

- 4 -

28

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	(Failure to Mitigate)
3.	
	It that it cannot recover damages.
FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
	(Unclean Hands)
4.	
	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	(Waiver)
5.	
	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	(Estoppel)
6.	PINK's claims are barred by application of the doctrine of Estoppel.
	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	(Failure to Properly Register)
7.	On information and belief, PINK failed to properly register the alleged wo
with the	U.S. Copyright Office before filing suit, precluding PINK's claim for attorney's fe
and statu	itory damages.
	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	(Failure to Join Indispensable Parties)
8.	PINK filed its original complaint on November 21, 2011, obtained expedit
discovery to determine the identity of other persons allegedly part of the bit swarm that PINK	
claims POLAN was a part of, obtained information identifying those other persons, but has	
failed to name and join them to preclude their participation with POLAN in defending the	
claims ba	ased on the alleged copyright infringement on March 11, 2011.
///	
///	
///	
1	- 5 -

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(No Damages)

9. On information and belief, PINK has sustained no damages actually or proximately caused by POLAN. PINK has not lost sales or suffered price erosion or diminution in the value of its allegedly copyrighted work through any conduct of POLAN.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Civil Conspiracy)

10. On information and belief, PINK has conspired with others to entice consumers on the Internet to link to a website under the control of PINK and/or its agents in order to create a trail to be used as the basis for claims of copyright infringement, which are then asserted by letters and telephone calls from a law firm outside the State of California, accusing POLAN and others of illegally downloading pornographic materials. In order to coerce the recipient into paying to settle PINK's claims these communications consist of, or are accompanied by, detailed assertions of complex copyright matters not within the knowledge of the average person, threats of suit, demands that documents and materials be preserved, threats of six-figure liability, and threats of public disclosure of the allegation the recipient downloaded pornographic material. These communications include a four figure settlement demand well below the asserted six-figure liability claimed, and a proposed confidential Release.

Rather than pursue legitimate profits, PINK has conspired with others, including at 20 least one law firm, to pursue private individuals on highly technical claims which the average 21 individual lacks the knowledge required to understand or defend, has threatened the ruinous 22 costs of litigation and six-figure liability, has threatened to link such individuals with embarrassing public accusations, and has demanded settlements in a range far less than the likely cost of defending such complex litigation, all to coerce settlements that generate profits for PINK and its co-conspirators. 26

27

///

///

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT STEVE POLAN TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

	Case 2:11-cv-03073-WBS-KJN Document 15 Filed 07/13/12 Page 7 of 7	
1		
2	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
3	WHEREFORE, POLAN respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment in	
4	POLAN's favor and against PINK and issue an Order providing:	
5	1. PINK take nothing and absolving POLAN from any liability to PINK;	
6	2. POLAN shall recover his attorneys' fees and costs from PINK;	
7	3. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate in the	
8	circumstances.	
9		
10	DATED: July 13, 2012 MURPHY, CAMPBELL, GUTHRIE & ALLISTON	
11		
12		
13	By: <u>/s/ J. Douglas Durham</u>	
14	Mark A. Campbell J. Douglas Durham	
15	Attorney for Defendant STEVE POLAN	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT STEVE POLAN TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	