UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

Miami Division

(ase No. []–Civ–[Last Name of District J.]/ [Last Name of M	ag. J.]
PINK LO	US ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,	
Pla	ntiff,	
v.		
JOHN DC	SS 1—53	
De	endants/	

<u>CIVIL COMPLAINT — JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, Pink Lotus Entertainment LLC, by and through undersigned counsel, that hereby files this Complaint requesting damages and injunctive relief, and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Plaintiff files this action for copyright infringement under the United States Copyright

Act and a related civil conspiracy claim under the common law to combat the willful and
intentional infringement of its creative works. Defendants, whose names Plaintiff expects
to ascertain during discovery, illegally reproduced and distributed Plaintiff's copyrighted

Video by acting in concert via the Bit Torrent file sharing protocol and, upon information
and belief, continue to do the same. Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction, statutory or
actual damages, award of costs and attorneys' fees, and other relief.

THE PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, Pink Lotus Entertainment, LLC, is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located in Los Angeles, California. Plaintiff is the exclusive owner of the copyright at issue in this Complaint.

3. Plaintiff is a producer of adult entertainment content. Plaintiff invests substantial capital

in producing the content associated with its brands and has produced thousands of videos

- and photographs. The copyrighted work at issue here is one of these adult videos, "Dexxxter" [hereinafter "Video"].

 4. Defendants' actual names are unknown to Plaintiff. Instead, each Defendant is known to Plaintiff only by an Internet Protocol address ("IP address"), which is a number assigned to devices, such as computers, connected to the Internet. In the course of monitoring Internet-based infringement of its copyrighted content, Plaintiff's agents observed
- Internet-based infringement of its copyrighted content, Plaintiff's agents observed unlawful reproduction and distribution occurring among IP addresses listed on Exhibit A, attached hereto, via Bit Torrent protocol. Plaintiff believes that the Defendants' identities will be revealed in discovery, at which time Plaintiff will seek leave of the Court to amend this Complaint to identify Defendants. Further, Plaintiff believes that the information gathered in discovery will allow Plaintiff to identify additional Defendants not listed in the Exhibit A, as infringement monitoring is ongoing.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the copyright infringement claim under 17 U.S.C. §§ 101, et seq., (the Copyright Act), 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (actions arising under the

- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction because upon information and belief, all Defendants either reside or committed copyright infringement in the State of Florida. Plaintiff used geo-location technology to trace IP addresses of each Defendant to a point of origin within the State of Florida. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over non-resident Defendants under the Florida long-arm statute, Fla. Stat. § 48.193(1)(b), because they downloaded copyrighted content from or uploaded it to Florida residents, thus committing a tortuous act within the meaning of the statute, and because they participated in a civil conspiracy to commit copyright infringement with Florida residents.
- 7. Venue is properly founded in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1400(a) because Defendants reside in this District, may be found in this District, or a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims in this action occurred within this District.
- 8. Joinder of Defendants is proper because all Defendants participated in the same civil conspiracy to commit copyright infringement, which was comprised of a series of transactions involved in the distribution of the Video. The series of transactions in this case involved exchanging pieces of the Video file with other Defendants in the group of individuals who were sharing pieces of the file among one another (i.e. the torrent

swarm) to obtain a complete copy of the Video. The nature of the Bit Torrent distribution protocol necessitates a concerted action by many people in order to disseminate files, such as the Video, and Defendants intentionally engaged in this concerted action with other Defendants and other yet unnamed individuals by entering the torrent swarm. The Defendants are properly joined even if they were not engaged in the swarm contemporaneously because they have contributed to the chain of data distribution. Defendants also share the same questions of law with respect to copyright infringement, including but not limited to:

- (A) Whether the Plaintiff is the owner of the copyrighted works at issue;
- (B) Whether "copying" has occurred within the meaning of the Copyright Act;
- (C) Whether entering a torrent swarm constitutes a willful act of infringement;
- (D) Whether entering a torrent swarm constitutes a civil conspiracy; and
- (E) Whether and to what extent Plaintiff has been damaged by the Defendant's conduct.

BACKGROUND

9. Bit Torrent is a modern file sharing method ("protocol") used for distributing data via the Internet.

10. Traditional file transfer protocols involve a central server, which distributes data directly

to individual users. This method is prone to collapse when large numbers of users request data from the central server, in which case the server can become overburdened and the rate of data transmission can slow considerably or cease altogether. In addition, the

reliability of access to the data stored on a server is largely dependent on the server's

ability to continue functioning for prolonged periods of time under high resource demands.

- 11. In contrast, the Bit Torrent protocol is a decentralized method of distributing data. Instead of relying on a central server to distribute data directly to individual users, the Bit Torrent protocol allows individual users to distribute data among themselves by exchanging pieces of the file with each other to eventually obtain a whole copy of the file. When using the Bit Torrent protocol, every user simultaneously receives information from and transfers information to one another.
- called peers. The group of peers involved in downloading/distributing a particular file is called a swarm. A server which stores a list of peers in a swarm is called a tracker. A computer program that implements the Bit Torrent protocol is called a Bit Torrent client.

13. The Bit Torrent protocol operates as follows. First, a user locates a small "torrent" file.

Each swarm is unique to a particular file.

12. In Bit Torrent vernacular, individual downloaders/distributors of a particular file are

This file contains information about the files to be shared and about the tracker, the computer that coordinates the file distribution. Second, the user loads the torrent file into a Bit Torrent client, which automatically attempts to connect to the tracker listed in the torrent file. Third, the tracker responds with a list of peers and the Bit Torrent client connects to those peers to begin downloading data from and distributing data to the other peers in the swarm. When the download is complete, the Bit Torrent client continues distributing data to other peers in the swarm until the user manually disconnects from the

swarm or the Bit Torrent client otherwise does the same.

- 14. The degree of anonymity provided by the Bit Torrent protocol is extremely low. Because the protocol is based on peers connecting to one another, a peer must broadcast identifying information (i.e. an IP address) before it can receive data. Nevertheless, the actual names of peers in a swarm are unknown, as the users are allowed to download and distribute under the cover of their IP addresses.
- 15. The Bit Torrent protocol is an extremely popular method for transferring data. The size of swarms for popular files can reach into the tens of thousands of unique peers. A swarm will commonly have peers from many, if not every, state in the United States and several countries around the world. And every peer in the swarm participates in distributing the file to dozens, hundreds, or even thousands of other peers.
- reproducing, and distributing files in violation of the copyright laws of the United States.

 A broad range of copyrighted albums, audiovisual files, photographs, software, and other forms of media are available for illegal reproduction and distribution via the Bit Torrent protocol.

16. The Bit Torrent protocol is also an extremely popular method for unlawfully copying,

17. Efforts at combating Bit Torrent-based copyright infringement have been stymied by Bit Torrent's decentralized nature. Because there are no central servers to enjoin from unlawfully distributing copyrighted content, there is no primary target on which to focus anti-piracy efforts. Indeed, the same decentralization that makes the Bit Torrent protocol an extremely robust and efficient means of transferring enormous quantities of data also acts to insulate it from anti-piracy measures.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 18. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff has been the producer and owner of the Video at issue in this action.
- 19. Plaintiff is the owner of all rights, title, and interest in the copyright at issue.
- 20. Plaintiff has applied for and received a certificate of copyright registration for the Video from the United States Copyright Office (U.S. Copyright Reg. No. PA 1-732-551).
- 21. The Video is marked with Plaintiff's logo and trade name and/or is available only to bona fide purchasers of the Video, which contain a copyright notice and a statement that age verification records for all individuals are maintained in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 2257. In addition, the torrent file used to access the copyrighted material was named in a manner that would have allowed an ordinary individual to ascertain that the work was not in the public domain.
- 22. Plaintiff employs proprietary peer-to-peer network forensic software to perform exhaustive real time monitoring of Bit Torrent-based swarms involved in distributing Plaintiff's copyrighted creative works. This software is effective in capturing data about the activity of peers in a swarm and their infringing conduct.
- 23. Defendants, without Plaintiff's authorization or license, intentionally downloaded a torrent file particular to Plaintiff's Video, purposefully loaded that torrent file into their Bit Torrent clients, entered a Bit Torrent swarm particular to Plaintiff's Video, and reproduced and distributed the Video to numerous third parties.

24. Plaintiff observed Defendants' activities in the torrent swarm specific to the Video and created a log of IP address identifying each Defendant and the date and time of Defendant's activity, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

COUNT I – COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth fully herein.
- 25. Defendants' conduct infringes upon Plaintiff's exclusive rights of reproduction and distribution that are protected under the Copyright Act.
- 26. Each Defendant knew or had constructive knowledge that their acts constituted copyright infringement.
- 27. Defendants' conduct was willful within the meaning of the Copyright Act: intentional, and with indifference to the Plaintiff's rights.
- 28. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants' conduct, including but not limited to economic and reputation losses. Plaintiff continues to be damaged by such conduct, and has no adequate remedy at law to compensate the Plaintiff for all of the possible damages stemming from the Defendants' conduct.
- 29. Plaintiff hereby reserves the right, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), to elect to recover statutory damages for each infringement, in lieu of seeking recovery of actual damages.
- 30. As Defendants' infringement was intentional and willful, the Plaintiff is entitled to an award of statutory damages, exemplary damages, attorneys' fees, and the costs of the suit.

COUNT II – CIVIL CONSPIRACY

Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.

- 31. In using the peer-to-peer Bit Torrent file distribution method, each Defendant engaged in a concerted action with other Defendants and yet unnamed individuals to reproduce and distribute Plaintiff's Video by exchanging pieces of the Video file in the torrent swarm.
- 32. Each of the Defendants downloaded a torrent file, opened it using a Bit Torrent client, and then entered a torrent swarm comprised of other individuals distributing and reproducing Plaintiff's Video.
- 33. Participants in the torrent swarm have conspired to provide other individuals with pieces of the Video in exchange for receiving other pieces of the same Video to eventually obtain a complete copy of the file.
- 34. In furtherance of this civil conspiracy, Defendants committed overt tortuous and unlawful acts by using Bit Torrent software to download the Video from and distribute it to others, and were willful participants in this joint activity.
- 35. As a proximate result of this conspiracy, Plaintiff has been damaged, as is more fully alleged above.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial in this case.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests Judgment and relief as follows:

A. Judgment against all Defendants that they have: a) willfully infringed Plaintiff's rights in federally registered copyrights pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 501; and b) otherwise injured the business reputation and business of Plaintiff by Defendants' acts and conduct set forth in this Complaint;

B. Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against Defendants for actual damages or statutory

damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504, at the election of Plaintiff, in an amount to be

- ascertained at trial;

 C. Order of impoundment under 17 U.S.C. §§ 503 & 509(a) impounding all infringing
- copies of Plaintiff's audiovisual works, photographs or other materials, which are in Defendants' possession or under their control;

D. On Count II, an order that Defendants are jointly and severally liable to the Plaintiff in

- the full amount of the Judgment on the basis of a common law claim for civil conspiracy to commit copyright infringement; for an award of compensatory damages in favor of the Plaintiff and against Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount to be determined at trial;
- E. Judgment in favor of Plaintiff against the Defendants awarding the Plaintiff attorneys' fees, litigation expenses (including fees and costs of expert witnesses), and other costs of this action; and
- F. Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against the Defendant, awarding Plaintiff declaratory and injunctive or other equitable relief as may be just and warranted under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

SX Doocumeent11 Entereedcon FILSID Doocket (06) Pink Lotus Entertainment, LLC

DATED: June 9, 2011

NEIL H. RUBIN—Florida Bar No. 416592 Attorney E-Mail Address:

nhrubin@neilrubinlaw.com Rubin & Bickman, PLLC Historic City Hall

1130 Washington Avenue—Fourth Floor

Miami Beach, Florida 33139-4600 Telephone: (305) 672-7200 Extension '2' Telecopier: (305) 672-0101

Attorney for Plaintiff