

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Kurt Opsahl, Esq. (Cal. Bar # 191303) (*pro hac vice*)
Mitchell L. Stoltz, Esq. (D.C. Bar # 978149) (*pro hac vice*)
Nathan D. Cardozo, Esq. (Cal. Bar # 259097) (*pro hac vice*)
ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
815 Eddy Street
San Francisco, CA 94109
Tel: (415) 436-9333
Fax: (415) 436-9993
Email: kurt@eff.org

Paul D. Ticen, Esq. (AZ Bar # 024788)
Kelley / Warner, P.L.L.C.
404 S. Mill Ave, Suite C-201
Tempe, Arizona 85281
Tel: 480-331-9397
Fax: 1-866-961-4984
Email: paul@kellywarnerlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant-Movant JOHN DOE “DIE TROLL DIE”

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

PRENDA LAW, INC.,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

v.

PAUL GODFREAD, ALAN COOPER,
and JOHN DOES 1-10

Defendant-Movant.

Case No. MC-13-00030-PHX-SRB

**DECLARATION OF NATHAN
CARDOZO IN SUPPORT OF NOTICE
OF NON-OPPOSITION**

[L.R. Civ. 7.2]

DECLARATION OF NATHAN D. CARDOZO

1
2 I, Nathan D. Cardozo, declare as follows:

3 1. I am over eighteen (18) years of age and I am otherwise competent to sign
4 this declaration based on my personal knowledge of all matters addressed in this
5 declaration.

6 2. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am a
7 Staff Attorney at the Electronic Frontier Foundation, a non-profit legal services
8 organization serving as attorneys for Defendant Doe, a.k.a. "Die Troll Die," (DTD) in the
9 above-captioned matter.

10 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of my email exchange
11 with Paul Duffy, counsel for Prenda Law, on May 7 and May 8, 2013.

12 4. In this exchange, we offered to stipulate to a reasonable extension of time for
13 Prenda Law to respond to the Motion to Quash. We asked Mr. Duffy to respond to this
14 offer by May 10.

15 5. Prenda Law has not responded to this offer.

16 I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
17 Executed on May 15, 2013 at San Francisco, California.

18
19 
20 Nathan D. Cardozo

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1
2 I, Stephanie Shattuck, declare that I am employed in the city and county of San
3 Francisco, California. My business address is 815 Eddy Street, San Francisco, CA 94109. I
4 am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action.

5
6 On May 15, 2013, at the above-referenced address, I served the foregoing document
7 by placing the document in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in
8 accordance with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence with the
9 United States Postal Service, which in the normal course of business provides for the
10 deposit of all correspondence and documents with the United States Postal Service on the
11 same day they are collected and processed for mailing to the persons at the addresses set
12 forth below:
13

14 Paul A Duffy
15 Prenda Law Incorporated
16 161 N Clark St., Ste. 3200
Chicago, IL 60601

17 I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this
18 Court at whose direction the service was made.

19
20 Dated: May 15, 2013



Stephanie Shattuck
Legal Secretary

Exhibit A

Exhibit A

Subject: Re: Activity in Case 2:13-mc-00030-SRB Prenda Law Incorporated v. Godfread et al
Order

From: Nate Cardozo <nate@eff.org>

Date: 5/8/13 12:28 PM

To: paduffy@wefightpiracy.com

Mr. Duffy,

As you are aware, Prenda Law was served with the attached Motion to Quash by U.S. mail on April 18, 2013 (see the Certificate of Filing and Service at the last page of the attached). Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(b)(2)(C), service of a motion, such as this Motion to Quash, is properly accomplished by U.S. mail. You also received a courtesy copy of the Motion to Quash by email from Mr. Ticen on April 17, 2013.

We have served the Order referenced below on Prenda Law by U.S. Mail as well. My original email merely attached a courtesy copy of what we served by mail.

If you would like a reasonable extension of time in which to respond to the Motion to Quash, we would be happy to discuss an appropriate stipulation. If we do not hear from you by close of business on Friday, May 10, 2013, we will assume that Prenda Law has opted not to oppose our Motion. Let me know if you have any additional concerns.

Best,
Nate

On 5/7/13 3:58 PM, Prenda wrote:

There has been no service of in connection with his matter and there is no consent to waiver of service that I am aware of. I do not consent to email service of anything. Thanks very much.

On May 7, 2013, at 5:24 PM, Nate Cardozo<nate@eff.org> wrote:

Dear Mr. Duffy,

Attached, please find a courtesy copy of an order entered today in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. My assistant has also served the attached on your office by mail.

Feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Best,

Nate Cardozo
Staff Attorney
Electronic Frontier Foundation
815 Eddy Street
San Francisco, CA 94109
nate@eff.org | 415.436.9333 x146
Help EFF defend our rights in the digital world
<https://www.eff.org/donate>

<gov.uscourts.azd.774937.12.0.pdf>

—Attachments:—

gov.uscourts.azd.774937.1.0.pdf

378 KB