## Case 2:13-mc-00030-SRB Document 8 Filed 04/22/1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

L3 Page 1.0f3	
1 7-	LODGED
MECEIVED	COPY
APR 2 2 20	
0-SRELERKUS DOTRICT	
DS PRICT OF ARIZO	NA I

Prenda Law, Inc.,

Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s),

vs.

Paul Godfread, Alan Cooper, and John Does 1-10,

Defendant(s)/Respondent(s)

CASE NO: 2:13-mc-0003

Application of Attorney For Admission To Practice Pro Hagy Vice Pursuant to LRCiv 83.1(b)(2)

NOTICE:	\$50.00 AP	PLICATION	FEE R	EQUIRED!

ımıssıon to app	pear and practice in this a	ction on behalf of	Doe "Die Troll Die"				
	e of Principal Residence Electronic Frontier F	San Francisco, Ca			:		
Address:	815 Eddy Street				Suite:		
City:	San Francisco		State: C	CA	Zip: 94109		
Firm/Business	s Phone: (415	436-9333					
Firm Fax Pho	415	436-9993	E-mail Ac	ldress:	kurt@eff.org		
	TITLE OF COU	JRT	itional sheets if necessary)  DATE OF ADMI	SSION	IN GOOD STAND		
•	ort for the State of Califo	ornia	12/09/1997		✓ Yes	No*	
USDC ND CA Ninth Circuit			12/09/1997		✓ Yes No* ✓ Yes No*		
			12/24/1997				
↑ Hynlain'				as been a	dmitted dated no more than	145 day	
	ertificate of Good Standin ion of this application is r		<b>AR</b> in which an applicant h				
An <b>Original</b> Cerior to submission	ion of this application is ratly, or within 1 year of the	equired.)	AR in which an applicant has a hac vice applications to		t in the following actions	(attach	
An <b>Original</b> Cerior to submission to submission the concurrent difficult in the concurrent difficult difficult in the concurrent difficult in	ion of this application is r	equired.) is application, made pr			t in the following actions  Date Granted or Denie		
An <b>Original</b> Cerior to submission to submission the concurrent difficult in the concurrent difficult difficult in the concurrent difficult in	ion of this application is rately, or within 1 year of the if necessary):	equired.) is application, made pr	o hac vice applications to				
An <b>Original</b> Cerior to submission have concurrer dditional sheets  Case	ion of this application is rately, or within 1 year of the if necessary):	equired.) is application, made pr	o hac vice applications to				
An Original Cerior to submission to submission to submission the concurrent distributional sheets  Case N/A  * Explain:	ion of this application is ratly, or within 1 year of the sif necessary):  Number  ALL APPLICANTS	equired.) is application, made pr  Title of	o hac vice applications to of Action  O ANSWER THE FOLLO	this Cour	Date Granted or Denie		
An Original Cerior to submission have concurrent iditional sheets  Case N/A  * Explain:	ion of this application is ratly, or within 1 year of the sif necessary):  Number  ALL APPLICANTS you answer YES to either	equired.) is application, made pr  Title of  ARE REQUIRED TO of the following question	o hac vice applications to	owing (	Date Granted or Denie  QUESTIONS.  s on a separate page.		

have read and will ascribe to the Standards for Professional Conduct, will comply with the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona ("Local Rules"), and will subscribe to receive court notices as required by LRCiv 83.1(c).

Date
Fee Receipt # PKB73

Signature of Applicant

Prenda Law v. Godfread, et al. Case No. 2:13-mc-00030-SRB

## Admissions for Kurt Opsahl Continued from *Pro Hac Vice* Application

Fourth Circuit August 11, 2003

USDC CD CA December 23, 1997

USDC ED CA December 17, 1997

USDC CO July 21, 2011

US Supreme Court March 22, 2005

## Certificate of Good Standing

United States of District Court

34.

Northern District of California

member of the bar of said Court. duly admitted to practice in said Court on **December 9, 1997**, and is in good standing as a District of California, do hereby certify that Kurt Bradford Opsahl, Bar No. 191303 was I, Richard W. Wieking, Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern

Dated at San Francisco on April 16, 2013 Townsord W. Wishing